FEMA 338/March 1999

Building Performance Assessment Report





...Building on Success

Observations, Recommendations, and Technical Guidance





Federal Emergency Management Agency Mitigation Directorate Washington, DC and Region IV, Atlanta, Georgia

The Building Performance Assessment Process

In response to hurricanes, floods, earthquakes, and other disasters, the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) often deploys Building Performance Assessment Teams (BPATs) to conduct field investigations at disaster sites. The members of a BPAT include representatives of public and private sector entities who are experts in specific technical fields such as structural and civil engineering, building design and construction, and building code development and enforcement. BPATs inspect disaster-induced damages incurred by residential and commercial buildings and other manmade structures; evaluate local design practices, construction methods and materials, building codes, and building inspection and code enforcement processes; and make recommendations regarding design, construction, and code issues. With the goal of reducing the damage caused by future disasters, the BPAT process is an important part of FEMA's hazard mitigation activities. For more information about the BPAT program or if you are interested in becoming a member, please visit our website at www.fema.gov/mit/bpat.

Goast

The

Building Performance Assessment Report

Hurricane Georges...

...Building on Success

Observations, Recommendations, and Technical Guidance



Federal Emergency Management Agency Mitigation Directorate Washington, DC and Region IV, Atlanta, Georgia

Table of Contents

	List	of Acronyms	. vii
1	Exe	cutive Summary	1-1
2	Intr 2.1	Poduction Purpose	2-1 2-1
	2.2	Background of Storm	2-1
	2.3	History of Storms	2-5
	2.4	Team Composition	2-6
	2.5	Methodology	2-6
	2.6	Local, State, and Federal Regulations Affecting Development and Construction	2-7
3	Gen	eral Assessment/Characterization of Damages	3-1
	3.1	Flood Damage Observations	3-1
		3.1.1 Riverine Flood Damage	3-1
		3.1.2 Coastal Surge	3-2
	3.2	Wind Damage Observations	3-6
4	Alal	oama Observations	4-1
	4.1	Flood Observations: Damages and Successes	4-1
		4.1.1 Riverine Flooding	4-1
		4.1.2 Coastal Flooding	4-6
	4.2	Wind Observations: Damages and Successes	í-21
5	Flor	rida Observations	5-1
	5.1	Flood Observations: Damages and Successes	5-1
		5.1.1 Riverine Flooding	5-1
		5.1.2 Coastal Flooding	5-5
	5.2	Wind Observations: Damages and Successes 5	5-10
	5.3	Manufactured Homes in the Florida Keys	5-12
6	Mis	sissippi Observations	6-1
	6.1	Flood Observations: Damages and Successes	6-1
		6.1.1 Riverine Flooding	6-1
		6.1.2 Coastal Flooding	6-5
	6.2	Wind Observations: Damages and Successes	5-11
7	Con	clusions and Recommendations	7-1
	7.1	Successful Building Performance	7-1
	7.2	Factors Contributing to Building Damage	7-3
	7.3	Recommendations	7-4
		7.3.1 Flood Mitigation Programs and Planning	7-4
		7.3.2 Mitigating Residual Flood Risk	7-5

i

	7.3.3	Pile Foundation Systems	7-5
	7.3.4	On-Site Utility Systems	7-7
		7.3.4.1 Air Conditioner/Heat Pump Compressor Platforms	7-8
		7.3.4.2 Placement of Utilities Adjacent to Vertical Support Members.	7-10
		7.3.4.3 Septic Tanks	7-12
	7.3.5	Below-Building Concrete Slabs	7-12
	7.3.6	Waterborne Debris Impact	7-14
	7.3.7	Protection of Metal Structural Components from Corrosion	7-14
	7.3.8	Attachments to Manufactured Homes	7-16
	7.3.9	Manufactured Home Anchoring Systems	7-16
	7.4 Other I	Mitigation Guidance	7-17
8	References		8-1
	Appendix	Members of the Building Performance Assessment Team for	
		Hurricane Georges	

Acknowledgments

ii

List of Figures

Figure 2	1 Track of Hurricane Georges	2-2
Figure 2	2 Representative storm surge elevations, Hurricane Georges.	2-3
Figure 2	3 Hurricane Georges total rainfall distribution.	2-4
Figure 2	4 Hurricane Georges peak flow data.	2-5
Figure 3	Houses inundated by to 2 to 3 feet of floodwaters in the Florida	
	Panhandle.	3-1
Figure 3	2 Typical flooding to homes in low-lying areas.	3-2
Figure 3	3 Elevated home along the Fish River in Baldwin County, Alabama,	
D : 0	that received minimal damage.	3-2
Figure 3	4 Front-row house separated from its foundation and destroyed by	
D' 2	the storm on Dauphin Island, Alabama.	3-3
Figure 3	Mississippi Gulf Coast near Biloxi.	3-3
Figure 3	6 Overwashed sand accumulation and minor structural damage near	2 4
Elemente 2	Gautier, Mississippi.	5-4
Figure 5	Remnants of inshing piers in Mobile Bay hear Fairhope, Alabama	3-4
Figure 3	8 In some cases, scour benind bulkneads resulted in structural	25
Eigung 2	Camage along Mobile Bay, Alabama.	···· 3-7
Figure 3	 Sand accumulation from overwash in Pensacola Beach, Florida. Preperty elevated and set healy houses systemed little demage. 	···· 5-5 2 6
Figure 3	10 Property elevated and set back nouses sustained intile damage	5-0
rigure 5	demage to reacting shingles and siding	27
Eigure 2	12 Wind damage to roofs and trees in Pascagoula Mississippi	···· 5-/ 2 7
Figure 5	wind damage to roots and trees in Pascagoula, Mississippi.	3-/
Figure 4	1 Homeowner removing damaged contents from house flooded	
	along the Dog River.	4-2
Figure 4	Approximately 5 feet of flooding at this house along the Fish River	
	caused no damage to the elevated addition.	4-2
Figure 4	3 The previous location of several repetitive-loss properties in	
	Mobile County that had been acquired and demolished	4-3
Figure 4	Elevated house along the Dog River that suffered minimal flood damage.	4-4
Figure 4	5 House along the Fish River, elevated through FEMA's HMGP that	, ,
,	suffered minimal flood damage.	4-4
Figure 4	6 Previously elevated house along the Fish River suffered damage to	<i>.</i> –
	contents below the first floor.	4-5
Figure 4	7 Despite approximately 7 feet of flooding in this area, the Mobile	
	Convention Center suffered only minor damage and was operational	/ -
D '	when the floodwaters receded.	4-5
Figure 4	8 The area below the BFE is used for parking and required only minor	4.6
Elemente de	Clean-up following the flood.	4-0
Figure 4	Side streets perpendicular to the shoreline, combined with a break in	
	the existing scattered dunes and vegetation where the side streets	
	meet the main east-west road, provided a preferred path for storm	4 7
Eiona a	Surge and retreat now across the island.	4-/
rigure 4	weshed into the structure on the left	40
Figure 4	washed hild the surdent of the left.	4- ð
rigure 4	Gulf side of the house	4.0
Figure /	12 The BPAT observing a partially collapsed front row structure	1 -9 ∕.∩
riguit 4	12 The DIAL ODServing a partiany conapsed none-tow structure.	1 -9

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Figure 4-13	Pile failure due to scour around concrete collar and inadequate	(10
	embedment depth.	4-10
Figure 4-14	This house suffered pile settlement due to scour around piles	
	with concrete collars.	. 4-10
Figure 4-15	Significant debris impact created by destruction of a front-row house	. 4-11
Figure 4-16	House on back side of Dauphin Island suffered partial collapse when	
	impacted by a dislodged house from the Gulf side of Dauphin Island	. 4-12
Figure 4-17	Damage to piles of a newly constructed house caused by impact of	
-	waterborne debris from a nearby collapsed deck.	. 4-12
Figure 4-18	This house sustained no damage with the exception of loss of stairs	
U	and items stored below the first-floor elevation.	. 4-13
Figure 4-19	Old concrete septic tanks and drain fields have been superceded	
U	by the new municipal sanitary sewer system.	. 4-13
Figure 4-20	Other than losing part of the lattice screening, this elevated utility	
C	platform performed well.	. 4-14
Figure 4-21	Erosion/scour behind bulkhead and below the concrete slab caused	
U	by storm surge.	. 4-15
Figure 4-22	This cantilever platform performed well.	. 4-15
Figure 4-23	These air conditioners/heat pump compressors in Gulf Shores	-
8	were not elevated and therefore were severely damaged	. 4-16
Figure 4-24	Only remnants of corroded hurricane straps remain.	. 4-16
Figure 4-25	Despite the loss of 3 to 4 feet of sand this structure performed well	4-17
Figure 4-26	These multi-family buildings in Fort Morgan suffered no damage	/
inguie i 20	from coastal storm surge	4-17
Figure 4-27	This properly elevated structure in Gulf Shores suffered no damage	/
riguie 12/	other than the loss of breakaway walls and slight damage to its stairs	4-18
Figure 4.28	Typical shoreline erosion along low-lying areas adjacent to Mobile Bay	4-18
Figure 4-20	Typical erosion along bluffed shoreline areas of Mobile Bay	. 1-10
riguit 1-2/	(western side)	4-10
Figure (130	Bulkheads on Mobile Bay still in place after storm	/ 10
Figure 4 21	Non elevated pre EIPM structure severely demaged by	. 4-17
Figure 4-91	constal storm surge	4 20
Figure (22	Coastal storill surge.	. 4-20
Figure 4-52	Debris accumulation along coastal roadway.	. 4-20
Figure 4-55	A property elevated post-FIRM front-row coastal house that suffered	6 01
F '	only minor damages to stairs.	. 4-21
Figure 4-54	wind damage to composition root sningles and siding on newly built	(22
	coastal nome.	. 4-22
Figure 4-35	Utility poles damaged by wind, coastal surge, and erosion.	. 4-22
Figure 4-36	Houses in Gulf Shores with root damage.	. 4-23
Figure 4-37	Fully exposed front-row houses that exhibited minimal wind damage	. 4-23
Figure 4-38	Metal roofing system on multi-family building in Fort Morgan	
	performed well.	. 4-24
Figure 4-39	Fiber-reinforced concrete siding suffered no damage.	. 4-24
Figure 5-1	Repetitively flooded home slated for acquisition under FEMA's HMGP	5-2
Figure 5-2	A high water mark is visible approximately 3 feet above the first \tilde{a}	
	floor elevation.	5-2
Figure 5-3	The home pictured above is one of approximately 10 homes in this	
	subdivision which experienced flood depths of 2 to 3 feet when the	
	water levels exceeded the BFE and extended beyond the limits of	
	the SFHA.	5-4

Figure 5-4	Pensacola Beach before Hurricane Georges	. 5-5
Figure 5-5	Pensacola Beach after Hurricane Georges.	. 5-5
Figure 5-6	Excess sand from beneath houses and on roadways that was returned	
-	to the beach.	. 5-6
Figure 5-7	Navarre Beach before Hurricane Georges	. 5-7
Figure 5-8	Navarre Beach after Hurricane Georges.	. 5-7
Figure 5-9	The structures to the left were elevated and set back and	
0	performed well.	. 5-8
Figure 5-10	An existing slab-on-grade home was expanded with a properly	
U	elevated addition.	. 5-8
Figure 5-11	An older hotel that suffered significant damage to the interior due to	
0	storm surge and roof leakage.	. 5-9
Figure 5-12	A newer hotel with an elevated first floor that suffered minimal damage	. 5-9
Figure 5-13	The house on the left suffered wind damage to roofing shingles.	5-10
Figure 5-14	Window shutters on the Pensacola Fire Station No. 4.	5-10
Figure 5-15	Window shutters on the Pensacola Police Headquarters.	5-11
Figure 5-16	Window shutters on the Escambia County Administration Building	5-11
Figure 5-17	This home suffered damage when its awning blew off	5-13
Figure 5-18	Reinforced masonry pier foundation system under a newer	1 10
inguite y ite	manufactured home that performed well	5-14
Figure 5-19	Reinforced masonry pier with metal anchoring plate	5-14
Figure 5-20	Older non-elevated manufactured home with an addition that	<i>,</i> , , ,
rigure y 20	sustained substantial damage	5-15
Figure 5.21	This anchor is only encased on the edge of the concrete fill pad)1)
rigure y 21	and could easily be dislodged when the home is subjected to more	
	severe wind or flood loads	5-16
Figure 5.22	Inadequate turnbuckle anchor installed by homeowner on this older	10
Figure J-22	manufactured home	5-16
Figure 5-23	Rusted anchor under an older manufactured home	5-17
Figure 5 24	Improper strap installation	5 17
Figure 5 25	Proper strep installation	5 10
Figure 5.26	The addition to this manufactured home was destroyed, causing	J-10
rigule J-20	appriderable damage to the rest of the home	5 10
Eigung 5 27	The underside of a dealy that has been attached to the sidewall of	5-19
rigule 3-27	a new manufactured home	5 10
	a new manufactured nome.	5-19
Figure 6 1	Home in Passagoula that sustained wind and flood damage	62
Figure 6.2	Tripical pre FIPM at grade house flooded several feet in Pascagoula	6.2
Figure 6.2	This home has suffered flood damage on numerous occasions	62
Figure 6.4	Home in eastern Jackson County elevated approximately 40 inches	. 0-5
Figure 65	The high water mark on the air conditioner compressor indicates that	. 0-4
Figure 0-5	the flood level was an apprinted by 2 in shee shere the lowest floor	()
Eigung 6.6	Coostal area poor Cylfport	. 0-4
Figure 6-6	Coastal area hear Guiport.	. 0-7
Figure 6-7	Another coastal area hear Guiport	. 0-7
Figure 0-8	Lagalla chimand only suffered minor devices due to coastal storm surge.	. 0-0
Figure 6-9	ingails singuard only suffered minor damage due to coastal storm surge	. 0-0
rigure 6-10	scour bening bulkneag near Belle Fontaine.	. 6-/
Figure 6-11	Severe beach erosion caused by wave action and storm surge.	. 6-/
Figure 6-12	Highly exposed structure that suffered damage from storm surge	()
	and nign winds.	. 6-8
Figure 6-13	Unly the deck remains standing on this home.	. 0-8

Figure 6-14	The houses in this area were destroyed
Figure 6-15	Inadequate embedment of piles from a destroyed home
Figure 6-16	All that remains of this oceanfront manufactured home that was
U	located on this lot is the bent metal frame
Figure 6-17	This house was set back 100 feet or more from the open coast out
-	of reach of velocity flow and wave action
Figure 6-18	Singing River Hospital, where emergency roof repairs are underway 6-11
Figure 6-19	Mississippi Gulf Coast Community College in Gautier, where
	restoration services are underway to dry out interior areas
Figure 6-20	Roof damage to a hotel in Pascagoula
Figure 6-21	Shingle roof damage to homes along the open Mississippi coast 6-13
Figure 6-22	Sign damage in Pascagoula
Figure 6-23	Window and door shutters on the Harrison County Municipal Building 6-14
Figure 7-1	Shingle installation technique implemented on Dauphin Island, Alabama 7-2
Figure 7-2	Typical collapse mechanism of post-FIRM buildings due to
	storm-induced erosion and scour
Figure 7-3	The depth of pile embedment provides stability by enabling the pile
	to resist lateral and vertical loads through passive earth pressure
Figure 7-4	Cantilevered air conditioner/heat pump compressor platform7-8
Figure 7-5	Air conditioner/heat pump compressor platform supported by pilings 7-9
Figure 7-6	Elevated air conditioner/heat pump compressor in an A-Zone area
	not subject to significant velocity flow and debris impact
Figure 7-7	Proper location of utilities
Figure 7-8	Recommended contraction joint layout for frangible slab-on-grade
	below elevated building
Figure 7-9	The locations of the five classes of exposure
Figure 7-10	Typical manufactured home anchoring straps
Table 7-1	Recommendations for corrosion-resistant materials and methods

List of Acronyms

ASCE	American Society of Civil Engineers
A-Zone	Special Flood Hazard Areas, excluding V-Zones
BPAT	Building Performance Assessment Team
BFE	Base Flood Elevation
CCCL	Coastal Construction Control Line
cfs	cubic feet per second
DFCO-M	Deputy Federal Coordinating Officer - Mitigation
FEMA	Federal Emergency Management Agency
FIA	Federal Insurance Administration
FIRM	Flood Insurance Rate Map
FMAP	Flood Mitigation Assistance Program
HMGP	Hazard Mitigation Grant Program
HUD	Department of Housing and Urban Development
msl	mean sea level
mph	miles per hour
NFIP	National Flood Insurance Program
NGVD	
	National Geodetic Vertical Datum
NOAA	National Geodetic Vertical Datum National Atmospheric and Oceanic Administration
NOAA NWS	National Geodetic Vertical Datum National Atmospheric and Oceanic Administration National Weather Service
NOAA NWS SBA	National Geodetic Vertical Datum National Atmospheric and Oceanic Administration National Weather Service Small Business Administration
NOAA NWS SBA SBC	National Geodetic Vertical Datum National Atmospheric and Oceanic Administration National Weather Service Small Business Administration Standard Building Code
NOAA NWS SBA SBC SFHA	National Geodetic Vertical Datum National Atmospheric and Oceanic Administration National Weather Service Small Business Administration Standard Building Code Special Flood Hazard Area
NOAA NWS SBA SBC SFHA TPI	National Geodetic Vertical Datum National Atmospheric and Oceanic Administration National Weather Service Small Business Administration Standard Building Code Special Flood Hazard Area Truss Plate Institute
NOAA NWS SBA SBC SFHA TPI USGS	National Geodetic Vertical Datum National Atmospheric and Oceanic Administration National Weather Service Small Business Administration Standard Building Code Special Flood Hazard Area Truss Plate Institute United States Geological Survey