Appendix II—Tables on Pest Management Practices by Crop and Region¹

Appendix table 2.1—Corn: Scouting, source of scouting, and pest management practices, by region, 1996

Item	Region ²							
_	Northeast	North Central	South	All corn States				
Percent of planted acres								
Scouting for weeds	80	78	73	78				
Source of scouting:								
Operator, partner, family member	57	60	54	59				
Employee	1	2	1	2				
Chemical dealer	17	8	8	8				
Consultant or commercial scout	4	8	10	8				
Scouting for insects	60	67	58	66				
Source of scouting:								
Operator/family member	43	50	41	49				
Employee	1	2	1	2				
Chemical dealer	12	7	9	2				
Consultant or commercial scout	4	9	6	8				
Scouting for diseases	33	52	50	51				
Scouted and kept written/electronic								
records to track the activity of:								
Broadleaf weeds	12	20	11	19				
Grass weeds	10	19	12	19				
Insects	I	19 I	IZ I	I				
IIISECIS	Τ	Τ.	1	1				
Other monitoring								
Jsed pheromone lures to monitor pests ³	0	1	*	1				
Jsed soil biological testing to detect pests								
such as insects, diseases or nematodes	*	2	4	2				
Biological techniques								
Considered beneficial insects in selecting pesticides	10	8	12	8				
Purchased and released beneficial insects	0	*	0	*				
Jsed pheromone lures to control pests	na	na	na	na				
Jsed Bacillus thuringiensis (Bt) ⁴	1	2.5	2.3	2.4				
	•	0						
Cultural techniques	0	-	7	-				
Adjusted planting or harvesting dates ⁵	2	5	7	5				
Jsed mechanical cultivation for weed control	6	52	43	51				
Jsed a no-till system	29	19	13	19				
Crop rotations ⁶ —								
Continuous ⁷	36	18	17	18				
Rotation with other row crops ⁸	20	55	40	54				
Other ⁹	44	27	43	28				
			-	-				
Pesticide efficiency	20	04	20	24				
Alternated pesticides to control pest resistance	26	31	33	31				
Planted acres (1,000 acres)	1450	64000	4800	70250				

¹ Durum wheat was excluded from this appendix because the results in the text tables were for a single State.

² Regions: Northeast— PA; North Central— IL, IN, IA, KS, MI, MN, MO, NE, OH, SD, WI; South— KY, NC, SC, TX.

³ For corn, pheromone lures were used to monitor black cutworm. ⁴ Percent of insecticide-treated acres for Bt. ⁵ Adjust planting dates only for corn. ⁶ Crop rotations include three years 1994, 1995, and 1996. ⁷ The same crop was planted in 1994, 1995, and 1996. ⁸ A crop sequence, excluding continuous same crop, where only row crops (corn, soybeans, sorghum, cotton, and peanuts) were planted for three consecutive years. ⁹ Other excludes continuous same crop and rotation with row crops and includes fallow or idle.

¹ See Appendix table 2.14 for corn insect pest management practices.

Source: NASS/ERS 1996 ARMS survey.

Appendix table 2.2—Soybeans: Scouting, source of scouting, and pest management practices, by region, 1996

Item						
	North Central	South	All soybean States			
	Percent of planted acres					
Scouting for weeds Source of scouting:	80	76	79			
Operator, partner, family member	68	67	68			
Employee Chemical dealer	1 7	3 1	1 6			
Consultant or commercial scout	3	4	3			
Scouting for insects Source of scouting:	58	69	59			
Operator/family member	50	59	51			
Employee	1	3	1			
Chemical dealer	4	1	3			
Consultant or commercial scout	3	6	3			
Scouting for diseases	52	59	53			
Scouted and kept written/electronic records to track the activity of: Broadleaf weeds Grass weeds Insects	19 19 12	18 18 18	19 19 13			
Other monitoring Used pheromone lures to monitor pests	*	1	*			
Used soil biological testing to detect pests	2		2			
such as insects, diseases or nematodes	3	2	3			
Biological techniques	0	4.5	-			
Considered beneficial insects in selecting pesticides	3	15 *	5 *			
Purchased and released beneficial insects	*		*			
Used pheromone lures to control pests		1				
Used Bacillus thuringiensis (Bt) ²	0	2.2	1.6			
Cultural techniques Adjusted planting or harvesting dates	6	8	6			
Used mechanical cultivation for weed control	28	34	29			
Used a no-till system	35	21	33			
Crop rotations ³ —	33	21	55			
Continuous ⁴	5	43	11			
Rotation with other row crops ⁵	72	15	63			
Other ⁶	23	42	26			
Pesticide efficiency Alternated pesticides to control pest resistance	30	20	28			
Planted acres (1,000 acres)	42320	7650	50970			
Tidition doles (1,000 doles)	72020	7 0 0 0	30310			

¹ Regions: North Central— IL, IN, IA, MN, MO, NE, OH, WI; South— AR, LA, MS, TN. ² Percent of insecticide-treated acres for Bt. ³ Crop rotations include three years 1994, 1995, and 1996. ⁴ The same crop was planted in 1994, 1995, and 1996. ⁵ A crop sequence, excluding continuous same crop, where only row crops (corn, soybeans, sorghum, cotton, and peanuts) were planted for three consecutive years. ⁶ Other excludes continuous same crop and rotation with row crops and includes fallow or idle.

na= not available. * Less than 0.5 percent.

Appendix table 2.3—Cotton: Scouting, source of scouting, and pest management practices, by region, 1996

_				
Item	South	West	All cotton States	
	Р	ercent of planted	acres	
Scouting for weeds	70	94	72	
Source of scouting:				
Operator, partner, family member	48	32	46	
Employee	1	14	3	
Chemical dealer	1	26	4	
Consultant or commercial scout	19	21	19	
Scouting for insects	86	99	88	
Source of scouting:				
Operator/family member	24	19	24	
Employee	2	14	3	
Chemical dealer	7	34	10	
Consultant or commercial scout	54	32	51	
Scouting for diseases	49	86	53	
Scouted and kept written/electronic				
records to track the activity of:				
Broadleaf weeds	26	47	28	
Grass weeds	26	47	28	
Insects	49	73	52	
Other menitoring				
Other monitoring Used pheromone lures to monitor pests	36	17	33	
Jsed soil biological testing to detect pests	30	17	33	
such as insects, diseases, or nematodes	9	7	9	
	3	ľ	3	
Biological techniques				
Considered beneficial insects in selecting pesticides		71	52	
Purchased and released beneficial insects	*	1	*	
Jsed pheromone lures to control pests	7	9	7	
Jsed Bacillus thuringiensis (Bt) ²	4.7	4	4.1	
Cultural techniques				
Adjusted planting or harvesting dates	26	19	25	
Jsed mechanical cultivation for weed control	88	98	89	
Jsed a no-till system	na	na	na	
Crop rotations ³ —				
Continuous ⁴	69	44	67	
Rotation with other row crops ⁵	17	3	15	
Other ⁶	14	53	18	
Pesticide efficiency				
Alternated pesticides to control pest resistance	37	70	41	
	10600	1315	11915	

¹ Regions: South—AR, GA, LA, MS, TN, TX; West—AZ, CA. ² Percent of insecticide-treated acres for Bt.

³ Crop rotations include three years 1994, 1995, and 1996. ⁴ The same crop was planted in 1994, 1995, and 1996.

⁵ A crop sequence, excluding continuous same crop, where only row crops (corn, soybeans, sorghum, cotton, and peanuts) were planted for three consecutive years.

⁶ Other excludes continuous same crop and rotation with row crops and includes fallow or idle. na= not available. * Less than 0.5 percent. Source: NASS/ERS 1996 ARMS survey.

Appendix table 2.4—Fall potatoes: Scouting, source of scouting, and pest management practices, by region, 1996

Item	Region ¹				
_	North- east	North Central	West	All fall potato States	
		Percent of p	lanted acre	s	
Scouting for weeds Source of scouting:	100	88	95	94	
Operator, partner, family member Employee	100 0	43 9	57 7	59 7	
Chemical dealer Consultant or commercial scout	0 0	17 20	20 12	17 12	
Scouting for insects Source of scouting:	100	97	98	98	
Operator/family member Employee	100	50 9	52 8	56 7	
Chemical dealer Consultant or commercial scout	0	17 21	23 16	19 15	
Scouting for diseases	41	97	97	91	
Scouted and kept written/electronic records to track the activity of:					
Broadleaf weeds Grass weeds	68 68	19 22	23 22	26 26	
Insects	69	22	29	31	
Other monitoring Used pheromone lures to monitor pests	4	*	3	3	
Used soil biological testing to detect pests such as insects, diseases, or nematodes	2	4	62	46	
Biological techniques Considered beneficial insects in selecting pesticides	6	23	34	29	
Purchased and released beneficial insects Used pheromone lures to control pests	0 2	0	0 2	0 2	
Used Bacillus thuringiensis (Bt) ²	*	0	*	*	
Cultural techniques Adjusted planting or harvesting dates	*	3	9	7	
Used mechanical cultivation for weed control Used a no-till system	90 na	99 na	82 na	86 na	
Crop rotations ³ — Continuous ⁴	8	0	1	2	
Rotation with other row crops ⁵ Other ⁶	3 89	1 99	2 97	2 96	
Pesticide efficiency Alternated pesticides to control pest resistance	72	61	71	69	
Planted acres (1,000 acres)	72 78	146	573	787	

¹ Regions: Northeast— ME; North Central— Red River Valley, part of MN and ND; West— ID, WA

² Percent of insecticide-treated acres for Bt. ³ Crop rotations include three years 1994, 1995, and 1996.

⁴ The same crop was planted in 1994, 1995, and 1996. ⁵ A crop sequence, excluding continuous same crop, where only row crops (corn, soybeans, sorghum, cotton, and peanuts) were planted for three consecutive years.

⁶ Other excludes continuous same crop and rotation with row crops and includes fallow or idle. na= not available. * Less than 0.5 percent. Source: NASS/ERS 1996 ARMS survey.

Appendix table 2.5—Winter wheat: Scouting, source of scouting, and pest management practices, by region, 1996

	Region ¹					
Item	North- east	North Central	South	West	All winter wheat States	
		Perce	nt of planted	d acres		
Scouting for weeds	86	75	89	95	85	
Source of scouting: Operator, partner, family member	67	68	74	78	73	
Employee	0	0	0	2	*	
Chemical dealer Consultant or commercial scout	14 5	2 4	2 12	15 1	6 5	
		•		•		
Scouting for insects Source of scouting:	97	62	85	80	74	
Operator/family member	74	57	68	64	62	
Employee	0	0	*	1	*	
Chemical dealer	18	1	3	14	5	
Consultant or commercial scout	5	5	13	1	6	
Scouting for diseases	83	62	66	71	66	
Scouted and kept written/electronic						
records to track the activity of:						
Broadleaf weeds	10	17	22	14	17	
Grass weeds	10	12	21	12	15	
Insects	12	13	21	11	14	
Other monitoring						
Used pheromone lures to monitor pests	*	0	0	*	*	
Used soil biological testing to detect pests				_	_	
such as insects, diseases, or nematodes	2	0	1	5	2	
Biological techniques						
Considered beneficial insects in selecting pesticide		10	9	12	10	
Purchased and released beneficial insects	0	0	0	*	*	
Used pheromone lures to control pests		0	0	*	*	
Used Bacillus thuringiensis (Bt) ²	0	0	0	1	*	
Cultural techniques						
Adjusted planting or harvesting dates	8	22	6	25	19	
Used mechanical cultivation for weed control	na	na	na	na	na	
Used a no-till system Crop rotations ³ —	2	4	1	4	3	
Continuous ⁴	0	46	69	11	42	
Rotation with other row crops ⁵	9	2	3	*	2	
Other ⁶	91	52	28	89	56	
Pesticide efficiency						
Alternated pesticides to control pest resistance	38	7	4	31	13	
Planted acres (1,000 acres)	78	12480	7800	8240	28598	

¹ Regions: Northeast— DE; North Central— KS, NE, SD; South— OK, TX; West— CO, ID, MT, OR, WA.

² Percent of insecticide-treated acres for Bt. ³ Crop rotations include three years 1994, 1995, and 1996.

⁴ The same crop was planted in 1994, 1995, and 1996. ⁵ A crop sequence, excluding continuous same crop, where only row crops (corn, soybeans, sorghum, cotton, and peanuts) were planted for three consecutive years.

⁶ Other excludes continuous same crop and rotation with row crops and includes fallow or idle. na= not available. * Less than 0.5 percent. Source: NASS/ERS 1996 ARMS survey.

Appendix table 2.6—Spring wheat: Scouting, source of scouting, and pest management practices, by region, 1996

	Region ¹				
Item	North Central	West	All spring wheat States		
	Percei	nt of planted	acres		
Scouting for weeds	87	98	90		
Source of scouting: Operator, partner, family member	77	78	77		
Employee	*	*	*		
Chemical dealer Consultant or commercial scout	5 6	20 0	9 4		
			•		
Scouting for insects Source of scouting:	63	67	64		
Operator/family member	52	67	56		
Employee	*	*	*		
Chemical dealer Consultant or commercial scout	5 6	0 0	3 4		
			•		
Scouting for diseases	59	65	60		
Scouted and kept written/electronic					
records to track the activity of: Broadleaf weeds	18	37	23		
Grass weeds	18	14	17		
Insects	11	5	9		
Other monitoring					
Used pheromone lures to monitor pests	5	0	4		
Used soil biological testing to detect pests		_	_		
such as insects, diseases, or nematodes	0	0	0		
Biological techniques					
Considered beneficial insects in selecting pesticide		3	4		
Purchased and released beneficial insects Used pheromone lures to control pests	1 2	0 0	1		
Used Bacillus thuringiensis (Bt) ²	0	0	0		
• , ,					
Cultural techniques Adjusted planting or harvesting dates	9	19	11		
Used mechanical cultivation for weed control	na	na	na		
Used a no-till system	2	8	4		
Crop rotations ³ —					
Continuous ⁴	15	11	14		
Rotation with other row crops ⁵	3	0	2		
Other ⁶	82	89	83		
Pesticide efficiency Alternated pesticides to control pest resistance	44	22	38		
Planted acres (1,000 acres)			16350		
Figure acres (1,000 acres)	12150	4200	10330		

¹ Regions: North Central— MN, ND; West— MT. ² Percent of insecticide-treated acres for Bt.

³ Crop rotations include three years 1994, 1995, and 1996. ⁴ The same crop was planted in 1994, 1995, and 1996. ⁵ A crop sequence, excluding continuous same crop, where only row crops (corn, soybeans, sorghum, cotton, and peanuts) were planted for three consecutive years.

⁶ Other excludes continuous same crop and rotation with row crops and includes fallow or idle.

na= not available. * Less than 0.5 percent. Source: NASS/ERS 1996 ARMS survey.

Appendix table 2.7—Corn: Herbicide application timing, application decision criteria, and application methods, by region, 1996

		Reg	gion ¹		
Item	North- east	North Central	South	All corn States	-
	Perd	ent of herb	icide-treate	ed acres	
Preemergence only					
Area treated	43	37	55	39	
Application decision criteria:					
Previous problem/routine	86	93	94	93	
Field mapping	11	12	8	12	
Computer decision model	0	1	0	1	
Crop consultant recommendation	26	19	14	19	
Postemergence only					
Area treated	20	20	13	20	
Application decision criteria:					
Routine treatment	55	63	72	63	
Type and density of weeds	28	53	19	52	
Computer decision model	0	*	0	*	
Crop consultant recommendation	31	24	36	24	
Pre- and postemergence					
Area treated	37	42	30	41	
Application decision criteria:					
Previous problem/routine	96	93	96	94	
Field mapping	3	15	3	14	
Routine treatment	93	63	79	64	
Type and density of weeds	83	71	53	71	
Computer decision model	0	1	0	1	
Crop consultant recommendation	17	21	9	20	
Application methods:					
Broadcast ²	83	85	82	85	
In seed furrow ³	0	1	1	1	
In irrigation water	Ö	*	Ö	*	
Banded ⁴	2	9	9	9	
Foliar or directed spray	15	5	8	6	

¹ Regions: Northeast— PA; North Central— IL, IN, IA, KS, MI, MN, MO, NE, OH, SD, WI; South— KY, NC, SC, TX.

² Broadcast includes ground with and without incorporation and aerial broadcast.

³ Includes in seed furrow and chisel/injected or knifed in.

⁴ Banded in or over row.

^{*} Less than 0.5 percent.

Appendix table 2.8—Soybeans: Herbicide application timing, application decision criteria, and application methods, by region, 1996

tem		Region ¹	
	North Central	South	All soybean States
	Percent of	herbicide-tr	reated acres
Preemergence only			
Area treated	16	20	17
Application decision criteria:			
Previous problem/routine	89	96	90
Field mapping	11	3	10
Computer decision model	*	0	*
Crop consultant recommendation	17	4	15
Postemergence only			
Area treated	30	20	29
Application decision criteria:			
Routine treatment	68	44	65
Type and density of weeds	63	76	64
Computer decision model	1	0	1
Crop consultant recommendation	15	4	14
Pre- and postemergence			
Area treated	52	57	54
Application decision criteria:			
Previous problem/routine	93	92	93
Field mapping	12	7	11
Routine treatment	66	47	63
Type and density of weeds	74	65	73
Computer decision model	1	1	1
Crop consultant recommendation	25	11	23
Application methods:			
Broadcast ²	89	83	88
In seed furrow ³	*	*	*
In irrigation water	0	0	0
Banded ⁴	3	12	5
Foliar or directed spray	8	4	7

¹ Regions: North Central—IL, IN, IA, MN, MO, NE, OH, WI; South—AR, LA, MS, TN.

² Broadcast includes ground with and without incorporation and aerial broadcast.

³ Includes in seed furrow and chisel/injected or knifed in.

⁴ Banded in or over row.

^{*} Less than 0.5 percent.

Appendix table 2.9—Cotton: Herbicide application timing, application decision criteria, and application methods, by region, 1996

		Region ¹				
Item	South	West	All cotton States			
	Percent o	f herbicid	e-treated acres			
Preemergence only						
Area treated	31	48	33			
Application decision criteria:						
Previous problem/routine	97	91	96			
Field mapping	3	15	5			
Computer decision model	*	*	*			
Crop consultant recommendation	9	10	9			
Postemergence only						
Area treated	3	1	4			
Application decision criteria:						
Routine treatment	23	74	25			
Type and density of weeds	80	82	80			
Computer decision model	0	0	0			
Crop consultant recommendation	6	0	6			
Pre- and postemergence						
Area treated	64	48	63			
Application decision criteria:						
Previous problem/routine	92	98	92			
Field mapping	14	29	15			
Routine treatment	60	53	60			
Type and density of weeds	65	78	66			
Computer decision model	*	1	*			
Crop consultant recommendation	21	13	21			
Application methods:						
Broadcast ²	43	71	45			
In seed furrow ³	2	4	2			
In irrigation water	*	*	*			
Banded ⁴	40	9	38			
Foliar or directed spray	15	16	15			

¹ Regions: South— AR, GA, LA, MS, TN, TX; West— AZ, CA.

² Broadcast includes ground with and without incorporation and aerial broadcast.

³ Includes in seed furrow and chisel/injected or knifed in.

⁴ Banded in or over row.

^{*} Less than 0.5 percent.

Appendix table 2.10—Fall potatoes: Herbicide application timing, application decision criteria, and application methods, by region, 1996

		Reg	ion ¹				
Item	North- east	North Central	West	All fall potato States			
	Percent of herbicide-treated acres						
Preemergence only							
Area treated	12	23	42	37			
Application decision criteria:							
Previous problem/routine	100	100	94	96			
Field mapping	7	0	16	14			
Computer decision model	0	0	1	1			
Crop consultant recommendation	19	0	29	26			
Postemergence only							
Area treated	65	59	20	31			
Application decision criteria:							
Routine treatment	97	60	79	79			
Type and density of weeds	6	55	56	43			
Computer decision model	0	1	0	*			
Crop consultant recommendation	*	79	37	37			
Pre- and postemergence							
Area treated	19	5	37	32			
Application decision criteria:							
Previous problem/routine	82	83	96	96			
Field mapping	8	0	7	7			
Routine treatment	85	34	83	82			
Type and density of weeds	15	79	90	85			
Computer decision model	0	0	2	1			
Crop consultant recommendation	0	55	20	19			
Application methods:							
Broadcast ²	3	98	43	46			
In seed furrow ³	2	0	24	20			
In irrigation water	0	0	28	23			
Banded ⁴	0	1	*	*			
Foliar or directed spray	94	1	4	11			

¹ Regions: Northeast— ME; North Central— Red River Valley, part of MN and ND; West— ID, WA

² Broadcast includes ground with and without incorporation and aerial broadcast.

³ Includes in seed furrow and chisel/injected or knifed in.

⁴ Banded in or over row.

^{*} Less than 0.5 percent.

Appendix table 2.11—Winter wheat: Herbicide application timing, application decision criteria, and application methods, by region, 1996

			Region ¹		
Item	North- east	North Central	South	West	All winter wheat States
		Percent of	herbicide-	treated ac	eres
Preemergence only					
Area treated	22	12	17	3	9
Application decision criteria:					
Previous problem/routine	100	99	94	100	98
Field mapping	23	26	60	20	35
Computer decision model	0	0	0	0	0
Crop consultant recommendation	23	12	6	43	15
Postemergence only					
Area treated	51	73	76	88	80
Application decision criteria:					
Routine treatment	58	22	100	47	33
Type and density of weeds	37	87	44	81	77
Computer decision model	0	0	0	*	*
Crop consultant recommendation	5	19	45	15	21
Pre- and postemergence					
Area treated	9	13	4	9	11
Application decision criteria:					
Previous problem/routine	100	80	31	66	71
Field mapping	0	63	0	6	35
Routine treatment	100	87	45	54	71
Type and density of weeds	0	20	47	57	37
Computer decision model	0	0	0	0	0
Crop consultant recommendation	0	13	0	7	9
Application methods:					
Broadcast ²	72	86	75	88	86
In seed furrow ³	0	0	0	*	*
In irrigation water	0	0	0	*	*
Banded ⁴	0	2	0	0	1
Foliar or directed spray	28	12	25	11	13

¹ Regions: Northeast— DE; North Central— KS, NE, SD; South— OK, TX; West— CO, ID, MT, OR, WA.

² Broadcast includes ground with and without incorporation and aerial broadcast.

³ Includes in seed furrow and chisel/injected or knifed in.

⁴ Banded in or over row.

^{*} Less than 0.5 percent.

Appendix table 2.12—Spring wheat: Herbicide application timing, application decision criteria, and application methods, by region, 1996

tem		Region ¹					
	North Central	West	All spring wheat States				
	Percent of herbicide-treated acres						
Preemergence only							
Area treated	*	2	1				
Application decision criteria:							
Previous problem/routine	100	100	100				
Field mapping	0	71	69				
Computer decision model	0	0	0				
Crop consultant recommendation	0	23	22				
Postemergence only							
Area treated	78	75	78				
Application decision criteria:							
Routine treatment	53	69	56				
Type and density of weeds	65	54	63				
Computer decision model	0	0	0				
Crop consultant recommendation	14	7	12				
Pre- and postemergence							
Area treated	20	23	21				
Application decision criteria:							
Previous problem/routine	88	93	89				
Field mapping	7	0	6				
Routine treatment	50	52	53				
Type and density of weeds	60	59	60				
Computer decision model	0	0	0				
Crop consultant recommendation	22	0	16				
Application methods:							
Broadcast ²	80	100	84				
In seed furrow ³	2	0	2				
In irrigation water	0	0	0				
Banded ⁴	0	0	0				
Foliar or directed spray	17	Ö	14				

¹ Regions: North Central— MN, ND; West— MT.

² Broadcast includes ground with and without incorporation and aerial broadcast.

³ Includes in seed furrow and chisel/injected or knifed in.

⁴ Banded in or over row.

^{*} Less than 0.5 percent.

Appendix table 2.13—Pest-resistant varieties used by field crop and region, 1996

			Region ¹			
Item	North- east	North Central	South	West	All States	
		Percei	nt of planted	d acres		
Corn						
Herbicide-resistant hybrid/variety	id	2	11		3	
Bt variety for insect resistance	1	1	1		1	
Gray-leaf-spot-resistant variety	20	2	2		2	
Planted acres (1,000 acres)	1450	64000	4800		70250	
Number of observations	93	3589	259		3941	
Soybeans						
Herbicide-resistant hybrid/variety		7	10		7	
Planted acres (1,000 acres)		43320	7650		50970	
Number of observations		2259	590		2849	
Cotton						
Herbicide-resistant hybrid/variety			id	id	id	
Bt variety for insect resistance			15	7	15	
Planted acres (1,000 acres)			10600	1315	11915	
Number of observations			936	213	1149	
Fall Potatoes						
Bt variety for insect resistance	7	0		1	1	
Potato-scab-resistant variety	1	1		1	1	
Planted acres (1,000 acres)	78	146		573	787	

¹ Regions: Northeast— DE, ME, PA; North Central— IL, IN, IA, KS, MI, MN, MO, ND, NE, OH, SD, WI; South— AR, GA, LA, MS, KY, NC, SC, OK, TN, TX; West— AZ, CA, CO, ID, MT, OR, WA.

id= insufficient data for a statistically reliable estimate.

Appendix table 2.14—Monitoring and other pest management practices for corn by region, 1996

Item		Region ¹		
	North- east	North Central	South	All corn States
		Percent of p	lanted acr	es
Monitoring				
Used soil biological testing to detect insects, diseases, or nematodes	*	2	4	2
Scouted and kept written/electronic records on black cutworms	5	12	10	11
Scouted and kept written/electronic records on corn rootworms	9	15	10	14
Scouted and kept written/electronic records on European corn borers	7	19	8	18
Scouted and kept written/electronic records on spider mites	1	8	6	8
Scouted for adult corn rootworm beetles during 1995 season	11	14	16	14
Scouted for adult corn rootworm beetles during 1996 season	8	7	4	7
Used pheromone lures to monitor black cutworm	0	1	1	1
Used pre-plant grain traps to monitor wireworms	0	*	1	*
Submitted diseased plants to a lab for diagnosis	*	2	2	1
Other practices		_		
Considered beneficial insects in selecting and using pesticides	10	8	12	8
Removed weeds to prevent insect egg laying	22	9	11	10
Used seed treatments for seedling blight	19	11	25	12
Routinely used soil insecticide at planting to control corn rootworm	52	23	30	24
Weed resistance				
Weeds resistant to the triazine family of herbicides	40	10	16	11
Weeds resistant to ALS (sulphonylurea or imidazolinone families)	1	5	2	4
	Percent of insecticide-treated acre			ted acres
Biological practices				
Purchased and released beneficial insects	0	*	0	*
Used Bacillus thuringiensis (Bt)	0	2.5	2.3	2.4
Planted acres (1,000 acres)	1,450	6,4000	4,800	7,0250

¹ Regions: Northeast— PA; North Central— IL, IN, IA, KS, MI, MN, MO, NE, OH, SD, WI; South— KY, NC, SC, TX.

^{*} Less than 0.5 percent.

Appendix table 2.15—Primary source of pest management information for corn growers by region, 1996

Item	Region ¹				
	North- east	North Central	South	All corn States	_
		Percent	of planted	acres	
Extension advisors, and commercial scouting service, and crop consultants	40	22	22	21	
Farm supply/chemical dealer Other growers and producer associations,	52	70	63	69	
newsletters, or trade magazines Media or other information sources	5	3	9	5	
(World Wide Web, DTN, etc.)	*	2	1	2	
None	2	3	5	3	
Planted acres (1,000 acres)	1450	64000	4800	70250	

¹ Regions: Northeast— PA; North Central— IL, IN, IA, KS, MI, MN, MO, NE, OH, SD, WI;

South— KY, NC, SC, TX. * Less than 0.5 percent.

Source: NASS/ERS 1996 ARMS survey.

Appendix table 2.16—Soybean: Insecticide decision criteria and primary source of pest management information, by region, 1996

	Region ¹				
Item	North Central	South	All soybean States		
	Percer	nt of plante	d acres		
Compared scouted data to university or Extension guidelines for infestation thresholds	10	15	11		
Jsed standard practice or history of insect problems	32	20	30		
Used local information (other farmers, radio TV, etc.) that the pest was or was not present	13	6	12		
Jsed the operator's own determination of the pest infestation level	54	56	54		
Pest management information sources: Extension advisors, and commercial					
scouting service, and crop consultants Farm supply/chemical dealer	12 79	28 44	14 74		
Other growers and producer associations, newsletters or trade magazines	3	2	3		
Media or other information sources (World Wide Web, DTN, etc.)	2	7	3		
None Planted acres (1,000 acres)	4 42,320	19 7.650	6 50,970		

¹ Regions: North Central— IL, IN, IA, MN, MO, NE, OH, WI; South— AR, LA, MS, TN. Source: NASS/ERS 1996 ARMS survey.

Appendix table 2.17—Cotton: Insecticide decision criteria and primary source of pest management information, by region, 1996

Item	Region ¹				
	South	West	All cotton States		
	Perce	nt of plante	d acres		
Compared scouted data to university or Extension guidelines for infestation thresholds	43	59	46		
Used standard practice or history of insect problems	19	45	22		
Used local information (other farmers, radio TV, etc.) that the pest was or was not present	6	15	7		
Used the operator's own determination of the pest infestation level	56	44	55		
Pest management information sources: Extension advisors, and commercial					
scouting service, and crop consultants	63	52	62		
Farm supply/chemical dealer Other growers and producer associations,	20	40	22		
newsletters or trade magazines Media or other information sources	5	1	5		
(World Wide Web, DTN, etc.)	4	5	4		
None	8	2	7		
Planted acres (1,000 acres)	10,600	1,315	11,915		

¹ Regions: South—AR, GA, LA, MS, TN, TX; West—AZ, CA.

Appendix table 2.18—Fall potatoes: Insecticide decision criteria and primary source of pest management information, by region, 1996

Item	Region1					
	North- east	North Central	West	All fall potato States		
	Pei	rcent of plant	ed acres			
Compared scouted data to university or Extension guidelines for infestation thresholds	15	39	22	24		
Used standard practice or history of insect problems	6	62	60	55		
Used local information (other farmers, radio TV, etc.) that the pest was or was not present	3	29	19	20		
Used the operator's own determination of the pest infestation level	87	82	83	83		
Pest management information sources:						
Extension advisors, and commercial	0.4	40	40	40		
scouting service, and crop consultants	31 67	49 25	40 57	40		
Farm supply/chemical dealer Other growers and producer associations,	67	35	57	54		
newsletters or trade magazines	0	13	2	4		
Media or other information sources	ŭ		_	•		
(World Wide Web, DTN, etc.)	1	2	*	1		
None	1	*	1	1		
Planted acres (1,000 acres)	78	146	573	787		

¹ Regions: Northeast— ME; North Central— Red River Valley, part of MN and ND; West— ID, WA

^{*} Less than 0.5 percent.

Appendix table 2.19—Winter wheat: Insecticide decision criteria and primary source of pest management information, by region, 1996

			Region ¹			
Item	North- east	North Central	South	West	All winter wheat States	_
		Percen	t of planted a	acres		
Compared scouted data to university or Extension guidelines for infestation thresholds	14	14	6	15	12	
Used standard practice or history of insect problems	7	24	8	26	20	
Used local information (other farmers, radio TV, etc.) that the pest was or was not present	4	10	4	14	9	
Used the operator's own determination of the pest infestation level	70	63	83	65	69	
Pest management information sources: Extension advisors, and commercial						
scouting service, and crop consultants	19	27	20	20	24	
Farm supply/chemical dealer Other growers and producer associations-	49	41	28	57	42	
newsletters or trade magazines	2	12	26	6	13	
Media or other information sources	4	_	•	•	-	
(World Wide Web, DTN, etc.) None	1 29	5 15	6 20	3 14	5 16	
IAOHE	23	13	20	14	10	
Planted acres (1,000 acres)	78	12,480	7,800	8,240	28,598	

¹ Regions: Northeast— DE; North Central— KS, NE, SD; South— OK, TX; West— CO, ID, MT, OR, WA. Source: NASS/ERS 1996 ARMS survey.

Appendix table 2.20—-Spring wheat: Insecticide decision criteria and primary source of pest management information, by region, 1996

	Region ¹				
Item	North Central	West	All spring wheat States		
	Percent of planted acres				
Compared scouted data to university or Extension guidelines for infestation thresholds	17	38	23		
Used standard practice or history of insect problems	29	27	29		
Jsed local information (other farmers, radio TV, etc.) that the pest was or was not present	11	9	11		
Jsed the operator's own determination of the pest infestation level	63	71	65		
Pest management information sources:					
Extension advisors, and commercial scouting service, and crop consultants Farm supply/chemical dealer	22 52	20 55	21 52		
Other growers and producer associations- newsletters or trade magazines	7	5	7		
Media or other information sources (World Wide Web, DTN, etc.)	9	0	7		
None	10	20	13		
Planted acres (1,000 acres)	12,150	4,200	16,350		

¹ Regions: North Central—MN, ND; West—MT. Source: NASS/ERS 1996 ARMS survey.