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Athree-decades-long trend of increasingly strong ties between industry and universities may have ended. Between 1972 and 2001, industrial support to universities and colleges grew more rapidly than any other source of support for academic research and development (NSB 2006, vol. 2: appendix table 5-2). A 1996 National Academy of Sciences report stated that "The prevalence and vitality of research partnerships between industrial organizations and universities have increased dramatically over the last two decades" (NAS 1996:1). A decade later, at an April 2006 meeting held at the National Academies, prominent industry and university speakers indicated that negotiations of sponsored research agreements, particularly disagreements over the treatment of intellectual property (IP), were negatively affecting the entire industry-university research partnership in the United States. ${ }^{1}$ It was pointed out that U.S. companies increasingly choose to work with foreign rather than U.S. universities, encouraged by the more favorable IP rights that foreign universities offer and the strong incentives for joint industry-university research that foreign governments provide.

Against this background, it is perhaps not surprising that, beginning in 2002, the absolute value of industrial R\&D dollars to academic institutions-funds provided directly to academic institutions for the conduct of research-began to decline. This trend has continued

[^0]through 2004, the latest year for which data are available (NSF/SRS 2006). ${ }^{2}$

## Funding Trends

Industrial R\&D support to U.S. universities and colleges in current dollars reached a high of $\$ 2.2$ billion in 2001 and has declined every year since, to $\$ 2.1$ billion in 2004, a $5.1 \%$ decline over the 3 year period (figure 1). The share of academic R\&D support provided by industry peaked at $7.4 \%$ in 1999 and declined every year thereafter, reaching $4.9 \%$ in 2004 . The decline in industry's share was offset by an increase in the federal government's share. Industry's contribution to academic R\&D as a proportion of all industry internal R\&D funds was $1.1 \%$ in 2004, down from $1.5 \%$ in 1994, and its lowest level since the mid 1980s.

Among academic institutions performing R\&D during the period 1994-2004, the number supported by industry and the number supported by funds from any source were both relatively stable (figure 2). However, a year-to-year comparison shows that institutions reporting an increase in industrial $\mathrm{R} \& \mathrm{D}$ support outnumbered those reporting a decrease through 2001, but the numbers between 2002 and 2004 were almost equal (figure 3 ).

## Distribution of Support

Industrial R\&D support to academia has historically been concentrated in relatively few institutions. Between 1993 and 2004 the distribution of such support

[^1]FIGURE 1. Academic R\&D funds provided by industry: 1993-2004


SOURCE: National Science Foundation, Division of Science Resources Statistics, Survey of Research and Development Expenditures at Universities and Colleges, various years.

FIGURE 2. Academic institutions that receive R\&D funds, by source of funds: 1993-2004


NOTES: These data are not comparable across all years owing to changes in the survey's sampling design. FY 1993 was a census of all institutions doing at least $\$ 50,000$ in R\&D, whereas FY1994-97 was a sample of those institutions. FY 1998-2004 was a census of all institutions doing at least $\$ 150 \mathrm{~K}$ in R\&D.

SOURCE: National Science Foundation, Division of Science Resources Statistics, Survey of Research and Development Expenditures at Universities and Colleges, various years.
became more concentrated at research-intensive institutions (as defined by total R\&D expenditures). The top 200 universities and colleges (ranked by total R\&D expenditures) accounted for about $95 \%-96 \%$ of total R\&D and about $93 \%-94 \%$ of industry R\&D support in both 1993 and 2004. However, looking separately at the top 100 institutions and those ranked between 101 and 200, a different picture emerges. In 1993, the top 100 institutions received $74 \%$ of industry funds; by 2004 they received $76 \%$. Those institutions ranked between 101 and 200 received $17 \%$ of industry support in 2004, compared with $20 \%$ in 1993. There was no such change in the distribution of total R\&D funds between these two groups during this period (see also NSB 2006, chapter 5).

The importance of industrial R\&D support to research institutions with lower levels of total R\&D expenditures has also changed over the past decade. One analysis ranked the top 200 academic institutions in terms of total R\&D expenditures in both 1980 and 1991 and placed them in eight groups of 25 (NSB 1993, table 51). It showed that in both years, each of the four lower-

FIGURE 3. Trends in industrial R\&D support to universities and colleges: 1994-2004


NOTES: Ratio is number of institutions reporting increased industrial R\&D expenditures from prior year divided by number of institutions reporting decreased industrial R\&D expenditures from prior year. Institutions with imputed or estimated values are excluded from the analysis.
SOURCE: National Science Foundation, Division of Science Resources Statistics, Survey of Research and Development Expenditures at Universities and Colleges, various years.
ranked groups received a larger average share of their R\&D support from industry than each of the four higher-ranked groups. Table 1 shows comparable data for 1993, 1998, and 2004. In 1993 the results were identical to those in the earlier years, and in 1998, three groups in the institutions ranked 101 to 200 received a larger share of their funds from industry than any of the four groups in the top 100 institutions. However, by 2004, only two of the four lower-ranked groups (151175 and 176-200) received a larger share of their funds from industry than any of the four top groups.

Trends in the number of top 200 institutions that receive more than $10 \%$ of their total R\&D funds from industry have changed as well (table 1). The number of such institutions rose from 24 to 57 between 1980 and 1991, but by 2004 that number had fallen to 21. Between 1993 and 2004, the total number of academic institutions receiving more than $10 \%$ of their R\&D funds from industry fell from 179 to 101 , a drop of more than $40 \%$.

## Public and Private Institutions

Industrial R\&D support trends differ little between public and private academic institutions. The absolute level of
support peaked in 2001 for both kinds of institutions, with the share of support attributable to industry peaking earlier-1998 for public institutions and 1999 for private institutions. Both groups receive about the same share of their R\&D funds from industry. Between 1993 and 2004 the number of public institutions receiving industry support increased slightly, and the number of private institutions declined slightly. Less than $70 \%$ of private institutions receive R\&D support from industry, compared with close to $80 \%$ of public institutions.

## Other Indicators

Trends in academic scientific articles with industry participation also suggest a recent decline in industryuniversity collaboration (table 2). The percentage of all academic articles with an industry coauthor increased steadily between 1993 and 2001 but declined in both 2002 and 2003. Industry participation showed the same trend when the set of academic articles was limited to those whose coauthors were from a sector other than academe (industry, government, not-for-profit) or were foreign.

Fewer citations of U.S. science and engineering (S\&E) articles in U.S. industrial patents may also be suggestive

TABLE 1. Industrial funding of academic R\&D, by institutions' level of R\&D expenditures: 1993, 1998, and 2004

| Ranking group | Average R\&D funding from industry (\%) |  |  | Schools with > $10 \%$ of R\&D funding from industry (number) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1993 | 1998 | 2004 | 1993 | 1998 | 2004 |
| All universities and colleges | 9.3 | 8.5 | 5.9 | 179 | 158 | 101 |
| 1-200 | 7.9 | 8.0 | 5.0 | 50 | 52 | 21 |
| 1-25 | 5.6 | 7.7 | 5.4 | 2 | 4 | 3 |
| 26-50 | 7.3 | 6.6 | 5.0 | 4 | 5 | 3 |
| 51-75 | 6.0 | 6.7 | 4.0 | 3 | 4 | 0 |
| 76-100 | 6.5 | 5.3 | 3.8 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| 101-125 | 8.4 | 7.7 | 5.0 | 10 | 8 | 3 |
| 126-150 | 8.2 | 7.8 | 4.0 | 9 | 9 | 1 |
| 151-175 | 10.8 | 8.8 | 5.5 | 11 | 9 | 5 |
| 176-200 | 10.4 | 13.2 | 7.1 | 10 | 11 | 6 |
| >200 | 10.0 | 8.7 | 6.3 | 129 | 106 | 80 |

NOTES: Institutions were ranked from highest total R\&D expenditures to lowest, then sorted into groups of 25 . Average proportions of total R\&D funding from industry are unweighted (each institution in grouping is treated equally). Average proportion for all universities and colleges and share of all academic R\&D funds provided by industry may not be identical.

SOURCE: National Science Foundation, Division of Science Resources Statistics, Survey of Research and Development Expenditures at Universities and Colleges, various years.

TABLE 2. Collaboration between academe and industry in the production of scientific articles: 1993-2003

| Year | Academic articles ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | Academic articles with industry coauthor |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Articles | \% all academic articles | \% articles with sector or foreign coauthor |
|  | All | Sector ${ }^{\text {b }}$ or foreign coauthor |  |  |  |
| 1993 | 170,562 | 36,893 | 8,711 | 5.1 | 23.6 |
| 1994 | 173,992 | 38,577 | 9,396 | 5.4 | 24.4 |
| 1995 | 178,224 | 40,217 | 9,946 | 5.6 | 24.7 |
| 1996 | 179,876 | 40,724 | 10,055 | 5.6 | 24.7 |
| 1997 | 178,254 | 40,952 | 10,182 | 5.7 | 24.9 |
| 1998 | 180,222 | 41,660 | 10,496 | 5.8 | 25.2 |
| 1999 | 182,158 | 42,737 | 10,825 | 5.9 | 25.3 |
| 2000 | 181,518 | 42,626 | 10,805 | 6.0 | 25.3 |
| 2001 | 187,791 | 45,010 | 11,585 | 6.2 | 25.7 |
| 2002 | 184,882 | 44,134 | 11,294 | 6.1 | 25.6 |
| 2003 | 200,727 | 48,242 | 12,114 | 6.0 | 25.1 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Articles with at least one academic author.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Other than academe (industry, government, or not-for-profit).
NOTE: Data for 2003 are latest available.
SOURCES: Thomson ISI, Science Citation Index and Social Science Citation Index; ipIQ Inc; and National Science Foundation, Division of Science Resources Statistics, special tabuluations.
of a decline in industry-university collaboration. ${ }^{3}$ The number of citations increased rapidly between 1995 and 1998, declined and leveled off for several years, and declined again in 2003 and 2004 (figure 4).

[^2]Industry-university collaboration is a clear indicator of the relevance of academic research to commercial activity. Another promising area for study is the likely relationship between commercial activities of U.S. universities and industry-university collaboration. A number of indicators of universities' commercial activities show changes over time (table 3). However,

FIGURE 4. Citations of U.S. science and engineering articles in industry patents: 1995-2004


SOURCE: National Science Board, Science and Engineering Indicators 2006, volume 2 (NSB 06-01A): appendix table 5-66. Available at http://www.nsf.gov/ statistics/seind06/append/c5/at05-66.xls.
the relationships between changes in these indicators and changes in industry-university collaboration are not yet clear.

Patents awarded to U.S. academic institutions increased between 1993 and 1999, dipped, and then leveled off in 2002 and 2003. The number of new startup companies peaked in 2001, as did new research
funding from licenses. The number of equity licenses/ options peaked in 2002. A number of other indicators continued to rise through 2003: invention disclosures received, new U.S. patent applications filed by universities, and the number of revenue-generating licenses/ options (table 3).

## Conclusion

Although both R\&D support and publication data suggest a shift in the relationship between industry and academia, the data are not conclusive. Industry can cooperate or collaborate in research with academic institutions in ways other than providing direct financial support or coauthoring scientific articles, including using academic consultants, providing research space or equipment to academic researchers, making use of specialized facilities at academic institutions, and employing student interns. Further research is needed to determine how these relationships have evolved over the past few years and to clarify the relationship between the commercial activities of universities and industry-university collaboration.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Re-Engineering the Partnership: Summit of the UniversityIndustry Congress, 25 April 2006, Washington DC. Meeting and related materials available at http://www7.nationalacademies.org/ guirr/Meetings.html.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Not included are funds for consultants and undesignated funds.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ The academic sector dominates U.S. S\&E article production, accounting for nearly three-quarters of U.S. output.

