

Setting the Global Standard for Clinical Data

### **Implementing the SDTM**

#### CLINICAL DATA INTERCHANGE STANDARDS CONSORTIUM

Dan Godoy February 1, 2005

- Each company has its own unique situation
  - Number of Standards
  - Functional areas & distribution of responsibilities
  - Platforms and systems
- Awareness and sense of urgency vary
- Who drives it?

- Regulatory, Clinical Data Experts, or ?



- Identify key Stakeholders
  - Data Management
  - Programming
  - Biostatistics
  - Regulatory Affairs
  - Clinical Operations
  - Clinical Publishing (Regulatory Operations)
  - Project Management
- Create <u>Functional Steering Committee</u>



- Create training/awareness program
  - General overview
  - Customized for each functional area (i.e., "how does it affect me")
  - Customized for all countries in which your company operates (where applicable)
- Define scope
  - Is Europe required to do it?
  - Is it just a US thing?



- Evaluate best place to implement SDTM
  - In CDMS
  - At extraction and prior to analysis
  - After analysis
- Link CDISC to internal CTD/eCTD implementation efforts
- Include Non-Clinical data in your discussions
- Seek functional agreement









Scenario 1:

	Potential Benefits								
Demo	•Extraction process could prepare > 90% of SDTM								
MedHi	•If upload is designed using ODM, CRO data could be processed equally as RDC data								
Labs	•Dataset & Variable names consistently used from C-DB								
ConMed	•A&R datasets simplified as a good number of derived variables are moved to SDTM								
Connice	•A&R datasets may be fewer								
Disposit	•Consistent with how FDA will process analysis data								
	•Extrac Potential Challenges								
	while a •A&R datasets re-structured/simplified to account for what is moved to SD	ТМ							
Subst l	ne se •A&R datasets and variables need to be renamed to based on SDTM names, not C-								
	DB names								



Scenario 2:



- Evaluating Central DB
  - Identifying all sponsor-specific domains
    - Map to CDISC domain codes
    - Create new domain codes as needed
      - Propose to CDISC any new, unique domain for future IG updates
  - Identify all variables, and usage within domains
    - Convert dates into ISO 8601



#### Mapping Variables:

In most instances CDISC variable names are simple renames from original variables

PATID	SITE	NO	RACEO		DOB	SEXCD	
E0037874	001		С		10/20/2004	1	
E0037874	002		А		10/20/2004	2	
SUBJID		SITEID	RA	CE	BRTHDT	C SEX	
E003787	4 (	01	Cau	casian	2004-10-20	D M	
E003787	4 (	02	Asia	in	2004-10-20	) F	



In other cases CDISC variable names are derivations, or combination of two or more original variables:

STU	DYNO	PATII		SITENO	RA	CECD	SEX		
ABC		E0037	874	001	1		1		
АВС		E0037	875	002	2		2		
			$\bigwedge$						-
		$\triangleleft$	STUDYIC			SITEID		RACE	
	ABC E0037	874	ABC	E003	37874	001		Caucasian	
	ABC-E0037	875	ABC	E003	37875	002		Asian	



Realize extent of work ahead:

Once classified, domains like Findings, require many more manipulations:





- Standard sponsor-specific terminology
  - Develop CDISC terminology to use
  - Map existing terminology to CDISC
  - Develop standard units to report
  - Convert codes to CDISC-style codes
    - 03004=Hematocrit

perhaps should be

- HCT=Hematocrit



- Define strategy for codes and decodes
  - Codes: Collected into C-DB, and used in Analysis
  - Decodes: Most of CDISC values
- Reference Library?
  - A way of representing the same data
  - Driven by domain codes and variable names
  - Can facilitate creation of A&R and CDISC datasets



- Evaluate other internal systems which can provide data for specific variables, or Trial Design Datasets
  - Randomization Packages/Tools
    - Arms and Arm Codes
  - Clinical Trial Management
    - Site Information
    - Investigator Name
    - Trial Information
      - Name

-- Arms

Phase

-- Visit schedule



- Evaluate other internal systems which can provide complimentary data for other domains (perhaps not captured on CRFs):
  - Safety Surveillance Tools
    - All SAE flags
    - Relationship to Concomitant Meds
    - Relationship to other Events
- Define accountabilities for Annotated CRF



<u>Change Annotations to CRF CDISC-like</u> <u>Annotations:</u>





- Assess impact on each function
  - Is it increasing the work?
  - Does implementation mean re-writing of company/functional SOPs?
  - Does it introduce new roles/responsibilities?
  - Does it change responsibilities across functions?
- Work with the functions, get them involved
  Develop joint plan/timelines



- Be realistic
  - CDISC will not be implemented without functional support
  - Implementation takes time
  - Best implementation plan requires phased-in approach
  - Implementation should complement, strengthen, update SOPs, not replace them (avoid that view and/or perception)



- Be assertive
  - SDTM is a reality, so sponsors must act/react
  - Early implementation better prepares, facilitates adherence to future initiatives:
    - Define.XML
    - Longer domain/variable names
  - Smart implementation can and should promote/strengthen cross-functional cooperation

