

Lamb Meat Quality Progress Report Number 1. Preliminary Results of an Evaluation of Effects of Breed of Sire on Carcass Composition and Sensory Traits of Lamb¹

S. D. Shackelford², K. A. Leymaster, T. L. Wheeler, and M. Koochmarai

USDA, ARS, Roman L. Hruska U. S. Meat Animal Research Center
Clay Center, Nebraska³

Introduction

The sheep industry competes against beef, pork, poultry, and fish for food dollars of consumers who have many choices of high-quality meats. In this competitive environment, the sheep industry must monitor and react to changing preferences of consumers. A consumer-responsive goal of the sheep industry is consistent production of uniform, safe, nutritious, lean lamb that results in an enjoyable and pleasant eating experience. It is possible that important differences exist between breeds of sheep for traits that affect consumer perceptions of lamb quality. Such breed effects have a genetic basis and can be exploited by sheep producers. Therefore, a potentially efficient method to improve lamb quality is to evaluate breed effects and then to systematically use the most appropriate breeds in crossbreeding programs that produce market lambs. Yet, little is known about effects of breed on lamb meat quality. Thus, this experiment was conducted to compare the meat quality and carcass composition of a diverse sampling of sheep breeds. Breeds were chosen to represent wide ranges of performance and use in production of market lambs. Breeds can be

classified as general purpose (Dorset, Texel), dam (Rambouillet, Finnsheep, Romanov), sire (Suffolk, Composite), and hair (Katahdin, Dorper). To provide general background information, a brief description of each breed is provided.

Dorset. Dorset Horn sheep were imported from England into the U.S. in 1885. A mutation occurred in 1945 resulting in development of Polled Dorset. The Dorset breed is widely used as a general-purpose breed for farm production.

Texel. The Texel breed evolved in The Netherlands. It was imported from Denmark and Finland by USDA-ARS in 1985 and released to producers in 1990. Several additional importations by the private industry subsequently occurred. In other countries, Texel are used as a terminal sire breed or as a general-purpose breed.

Rambouillet. Descendants of the Spanish Merino, Rambouillet sheep were developed in France and Germany and imported into the U.S. in the 1800s. The Rambouillet breed is the largest of the fine-wool breeds. Rambouillet are considered a dam breed and serve as the predominant breed for range

¹Names are necessary to report factually on available data; however, the USDA neither guarantees nor warrants the standard of the product, and use of the name by USDA implies no approval of the product to the exclusion of others that may also be suitable. This experiment was conducted in accordance to guidelines set forth by the MARC Animal Care and Use Committee.

²For more information contact Dr. Steven Shackelford at shackelford@email.marc.usda.gov, 402-762-4223 (phone), or 402-762-4149 (fax).

³We gratefully acknowledge the diligent support of this project provided by the staff of the Meat Animal Research Center.

and mountain production in the southwestern and western states of the U.S.

Finnsheep. Finnsheep were imported into Canada in 1966 and into the U.S. in 1968. The breed was imported primarily because of their high lambing rate. Finnsheep are classified as a dam breed and used in much of the U.S. to produce crossbred ewes for use in terminal crossbreeding systems.

Romanov. The Romanov breed originated in northwestern Russia and shares many characteristics similar to Finnsheep. The breed was imported from France into Canada in 1980 and then into the U.S. in 1986. Romanov were imported due to high reproductive performance. Romanov are used as a dam breed to produce crossbred ewes for mating to rams of terminal sire breeds.

Suffolk. The Suffolk breed developed in England from crosses between the Southdown and Norfolk breeds. Suffolks were first imported into the U.S. in 1888. The Suffolk breed is the largest-sized breed in the U.S. and widely used as a sire breed to produce market lambs in terminal crossbreeding systems.

Composite. Composite sheep were created in 1980 by USDA-ARS geneticists at the U.S. Meat Animal Research Center in Clay Center, Nebraska. Columbia rams were mated to Hampshire-Suffolk crossbred ewes to produce the terminal sire composite flock. The productivity of Composite sheep is at least equal to purebred Suffolk as determined by experimental comparison.

Katahdin. Katahdin sheep were created in Maine in the 1950s and subsequently developed as an easy-care hair breed from crosses of St. Croix (a hair breed), Wiltshire Horn (a British breed that sheds its wool), and several traditional wool breeds. Katahdin sheep can be of any color or color pattern. The Katahdin breed is growing in popularity in the U.S. and for export to tropical countries.

Dorper. The Dorper breed was established in the 1940s under the harsh, arid conditions of South Africa from crosses of Dorset and Blackheaded Persian, a fat-rumped hair breed from Eastern Africa. Dorpers have a mixture of hair and wool and are solid white or white with a black head. The breed was first imported into the U.S. in 1995 and subsequent importations followed.

Procedures

Five rams per breed were single-sire mated with mature (> 2 years of age) Composite ewes during a 28 day breeding season that began on September 10. An additional sampling of five rams per breed was/will be obtained for each of the two subsequent years. Thus, ultimately a total of 15 rams per breed and 135 rams overall will contribute to the experiment. Breed associations were contacted to request information relevant to the experiment and to seek advice on sources of seedstock.

Lambs were reared from birth until slaughter in a single production facility. All male lambs were castrated at 2 to 3 days of age. Lambs were weighed at 0 (birth), 8 (weaning), 10, and 20 weeks of age. At weaning, the dams were removed from the polished and drylot and the lambs remained in the same pen through 20 weeks of age. From 1 week of age (creep fed) to slaughter, lambs were given unrestricted (ad libitum) access to a diet that contained 88% dry matter and 77% total digestible nutrients. Crude protein level of the diet was 18% from birth to 10 weeks of age, 14.5% from 10 to 20 weeks of age, and 11.5% from 20 weeks of age to slaughter. Lambs were given unrestricted access to long-stem alfalfa hay. Lambs were not shorn.

Carcass and meat quality information were collected on 30 lambs of each sire breed. To the extent possible, the sampling of lambs for evaluation was based on a goal of sampling six progeny per ram with equal sampling of ewes and wethers. Only naturally-reared lambs were sampled. Despite having passed a semen quality examination prior to the breeding season, one Finnsheep ram and one Romanov ram

did not sire any progeny and other rams sired less than six progeny. Thus, additional lambs were sampled from the other sires within the respective breeds to obtain the 30 lambs for evaluation (Table 1).

Lambs were slaughtered at weekly intervals in 10 groups of 27 lambs. The serial slaughter was initiated on August 21, when the average age of the lambs was 186 days, and was completed on October 23, when the average age of the lambs was 249 days. Each slaughter group consisted of 3 lambs of each sire breed. At least 1 ewe and at least 1 wether of each sire breed was included in each slaughter group. No more than one progeny of any sire was assigned to a given slaughter group.

Two weeks prior to the first slaughter date, lambs were sorted and penned in groups of lambs assigned to 2 or 3 slaughter dates. To minimize stress and any potential impacts that stress may have on meat quality, final live weight was determined 2 days before slaughter. At that time, lambs assigned to the upcoming slaughter group were sorted into a separate pen. Thus, lambs did not have to be sorted on the morning of slaughter. Lambs had unrestricted access to feed and water until the morning of slaughter. Lambs were transported to the MARC abattoir and slaughtered within 3 hours of being removed from their pen.

Lambs were stunned mechanically with a captive bolt pistol. Following evisceration, kidney-pelvic fat was removed from the carcass and weighed. Carcasses underwent a series of anti-microbial washes and a two-minute-long post-wash drip drying period before hot carcass weight was recorded. Carcasses were not electrically-stimulated and were not spray-chilled. Following chilling (24 hours at 32°F and 24 hours at 34°F), chilled carcass weight was recorded and carcasses were split longitudinally using a band saw.

The right carcass side was weighed for subsequent calculation of chemical composition. Fat thickness was measured at the midline adjacent to the 4th sacral vertebrae. The right side of the carcass was

ribbed between the 12th and 13th ribs and marbling score was subjectively evaluated and 12th rib fat thickness and loin eye area were measured. A four-inch-long section of denuded loin eye (longissimus) muscle was obtained from the 12th rib region, weighed, ground, and ether-extracted to determine the level of intramuscular fat. The remainder of the right side was frozen, tempered, ground three times through a 1/4-inch diameter plate, and sampled for determination of ether-extractable fat level. Subsequently, the ether-extractable fat level of the entire right side was calculated mathematically using the weights and proximate composition of the two components.

The entire loin eye (longissimus) muscle was obtained from the left side of each carcass, vacuum-packaged, cooler (34°F) aged until 7 days after slaughter, and frozen. Subsequently, eleven one-inch thick chops were obtained from the frozen muscles using a band saw. Two of the chops were thawed and grilled to an internal temperature of 160°F (medium degree of doneness) and slice shear force, an instrumental measure of tenderness in which a higher value indicates less tender meat, was measured. After 5 to 7 days of frozen storage, chops were thawed and grilled for trained sensory panel evaluation. The trained sensory panel rated samples on 8-point scales for tenderness, juiciness, lamb flavor intensity, and off-flavor score, where 8 = extremely tender, extremely juicy, extremely intense, or no off-flavor and 1 = extremely tough, extremely dry, extremely bland, or extremely intense off-flavor.

Statistical Analysis. Data were analyzed by mixed-model procedures using a model that included fixed effects for sire breed and sex of lamb, the random effect of sire within breed of sire, and slaughter age as a covariate.

Results

Breed means for growth and carcass composition traits, adjusted to the mean slaughter age of 217 days, are presented in Tables 2 and 3. Progeny of Suffolk sires were 8 to 24 pounds heavier than progeny of

all other breeds ($P < 0.05$). Progeny of Texel and Dorper sires were heavier than progeny of Katahdin, Finnsheep, and Romanov sires. Progeny of Rambouillet, Dorset, and Composite sires were heavier than progeny of Romanov sires.

The carcasses of progeny of Suffolk sires were heavier ($P < 0.05$) than those of the progeny of all other breeds except Dorper and Texel. The carcasses of progeny of Romanov sires were lighter ($P < 0.05$) than those of the progeny of all other breeds except Finnsheep.

Dressing percentage, which is hot carcass weight expressed as a percentage of live weight, was greater ($P < 0.05$) for progeny of Dorper sires than those sired by all other breeds. Due to apparent variation in pelt weight and other dress-off items, there was substantial variation in dressing percentage among the breeds.

The effect of breed of sire on weight of kidney-pelvic fat was only marginally significant ($P < 0.10$). This was because the breeds with the most kidney-pelvic fat were the slowest growing. Consequently, we expressed kidney-pelvic fat relative to hot carcass weight ($100 \times \text{KP} / [\text{KP} + \text{HCW}]$) and termed this trait as kidney-pelvic fat percentage. Progeny of Finnsheep and Romanov sires had a higher ($P < 0.05$) kidney-pelvic fat percentage than progeny of all other breeds. This contributed to the low dressing percentage of progeny of Finnsheep and Romanov sires.

Leg score, which is a subjective evaluation of carcass muscularity in which higher scores are indicative of greater muscularity, was greater ($P < 0.05$) for progeny of Texel sires than those of all other breeds. Leg scores were lower ($P < 0.05$) for progeny of Romanov sires than progeny of all other breeds except Katahdin and Finnsheep. Loin eye (longissimus) muscle area was larger ($P < 0.05$) for progeny of Texel and Suffolk sires than those sired by all other breeds except Dorper. Loin eye area was lower ($P < 0.05$) for progeny of Romanov sires than progeny of all other breeds except Dorset and Finnsheep.

Despite the diversity of breeds sampled, 12th rib fat thickness was only marginally ($P < 0.10$) affected by breed of sire. The hair breeds, Dorper and Katahdin, had the highest 12th rib fat thickness and Romanov and Texel had the lowest 12th rib fat thickness. Fat thickness at the 4th sacral vertebrae was greater ($P < 0.05$) for progeny of Dorper sires than those of all other breeds. This result is consistent with the lineage of Dorper, which descended from the “fat-rumped” Black-headed Persian breed.

Among the 270 carcasses sampled, whole-carcass ether-extractable fat percentage ranged from 18% to 40%. However, most of that variation was not due to differences among breeds. The range in breed of sire means for carcass ether-extractable fat percentage was 4.1%, from 27.2% for Rambouillet to 31.3% for Dorper.

Breed of sire affected both loin eye ether-extractable intramuscular fat percentage and marbling score (Tables 4). As expected, differences among breed of sire means for ether-extractable intramuscular fat percentage and marbling score were highly correlated ($R = 0.95$). The loin eye muscle of progeny of Finnsheep and Romanov sires contained a higher ($P < 0.05$) percentage of intramuscular fat percentage and received higher ($P < 0.05$) marbling scores than that of the progeny of Rambouillet and Composite sires.

Progeny of Finnsheep sires had the numerically lowest slice shear values and the highest ($P < 0.05$) trained sensory panel tenderness ratings (Table 5). Progeny of Composite sires had the numerically highest slice shear values and the numerically lowest trained sensory panel tenderness ratings. Thus, it appears that there are breed differences in lamb tenderness that could affect consumer satisfaction. However, no differences among breeds were observed for lamb flavor intensity or off-flavor ratings. Although the level of difference between breeds was quite small, loin eye chops from progeny of Finnsheep sires were more juicy than those of progeny of Dorper, Rambouillet, and Texel sires.

Discussion

Preliminary results indicate that Finnsheep and Romanov, both of which are known for having large litter size, share many common carcass composition and meat quality traits. Progeny of those breeds were slow growing and had a high percentage of kidney-pelvic fat, a high level of intramuscular fat, had small loin eyes (primarily due to low weight), and produced the most tender loin eye muscle chops.

Given that the Composite sheep are a blend of three different breeds, it is intriguing that progeny of Composite sires tended to be less tender than progeny of other breeds. Two of the three breeds that were used to form the

composite were not studied in this experiment. It is possible that one of those breeds (Columbia and Hampshire) was the source of the genetic variation that led to this result. It is possible that the Composite breed has a uniquely bad combination of genes from the three parental breeds.

Although there have been non-scientific reports that hair sheep breeds produce meat with a milder flavor, we did not observe any difference in flavor among breeds.

No single breed excelled to a great degree in carcass composition, although Texel had the highest leg scores.

Table 1. Sampling of lambs for evaluation.

	Number of progeny					Total
	Ram					
	A	B	C	D	E	
Finnsheep	8*	8	7*	7		30
Romanov	9*	7*	7	7		30
Dorper	7*	6*	6*	6*	5*	30
Katahdin	6*	6*	6*	6*	6*	30
Rambouillet	7*	6*	6*	6*	5*	30
Texel	8*	7*	6*	6	3*	30
Suffolk	8*	7*	6*	5*	4	30
Dorset	6*	6*	6*	6	6	30
Composite	7	7	6	6	4	30

*Ram was purchased from the industry.

Table 2. Effects of breed of sire on growth and carcass composition traits

Breed of sire	Live	Hot carcass	Dressing percentage	Kidney-pelvic fat	
	weight (lbs)	weight (lbs)		weight (lbs)	percentage
Finnsheep	122 ^{cd}	60.6 ^{de}	49.8 ^d	2.37 ^a	3.69 ^a
Romanov	118 ^d	59.2 ^e	50.1 ^d	2.26 ^{ab}	3.58 ^a
Dorper	133 ^b	71.6 ^a	53.7 ^a	2.01 ^{abc}	2.69 ^b
Katahdin	124 ^{cd}	65.0 ^c	52.1 ^b	1.99 ^{abc}	2.88 ^b
Rambouillet	128 ^{bc}	65.1 ^c	50.7 ^{cd}	1.95 ^{abc}	2.85 ^b
Suffolk	142 ^a	74.0 ^a	51.9 ^b	2.03 ^{abc}	2.65 ^b
Texel	134 ^b	70.1 ^{ab}	52.3 ^b	1.82 ^{bc}	2.44 ^b
Dorset	128 ^{bc}	65.9 ^{bc}	51.5 ^{bc}	1.78 ^{bc}	2.62 ^b
Composite	127 ^{bc}	64.7 ^{cd}	50.7 ^{cd}	1.54 ^c	2.30 ^b

^{abcd}Means, within a column, that do not share a common superscript letter differ significantly ($P < 0.05$). That is, for a given trait (column), if the means for any two breeds do not share a common superscript letter, then the probability that those two breeds differ for that trait is greater than 95%.

Table 3. Effects of breed of sire on carcass composition traits

Breed of sire	Leg score	Loin eye area, square inches	Fat thickness, inches		Carcass ether-extractable fat percentage
			12 th rib	4 th sacral vertebrae	
Finnsheep	10.4 ^{de}	2.27 ^{de}	0.26 ^{ab}	0.60 ^{bc}	30.1 ^{ab}
Romanov	10.0 ^e	2.25 ^e	0.21 ^b	0.53 ^c	29.6 ^{abc}
Dorper	11.2 ^{bc}	2.77 ^{ab}	0.33 ^a	0.98 ^a	31.3 ^a
Katahdin	10.4 ^{de}	2.55 ^{bc}	0.30 ^a	0.69 ^b	29.6 ^{abc}
Rambouillet	10.7 ^{cd}	2.51 ^{cd}	0.25 ^{ab}	0.64 ^{bc}	27.2 ^d
Suffolk	11.4 ^b	2.83 ^a	0.27 ^{ab}	0.65 ^{bc}	27.9 ^{cd}
Texel	12.3 ^a	2.94 ^a	0.22 ^b	0.62 ^{bc}	27.4 ^d
Dorset	10.8 ^{cd}	2.43 ^{cde}	0.27 ^{ab}	0.72 ^b	28.8 ^{bcd}
Composite	10.9 ^{bcd}	2.52 ^c	0.27 ^{ab}	0.73 ^b	27.8 ^{cd}

^{abcde}Means, within a column, that do not share a common superscript letter differ significantly ($P < 0.05$). That is, for a given trait (column), if the means for any two breeds do not share a common superscript letter, then the probability that those two breeds differ for that trait is greater than 95%.

Table 4. Effects of breed of sire on marbling

Breed of sire	Ether-extractable	
	intramuscular fat percentage	Marbling score
Finnsheep	4.39 ^a	576 ^{ab}
Romanov	4.20 ^{ab}	586 ^a
Dorper	3.92 ^{abc}	557 ^{abc}
Katahdin	3.77 ^{abcd}	527 ^{bcde}
Rambouillet	3.23 ^d	479 ^e
Suffolk	3.60 ^{bcd}	515 ^{cde}
Texel	3.55 ^{bcd}	535 ^{abcd}
Dorset	3.61 ^{bcd}	520 ^{cde}
Composite	3.39 ^{cd}	494 ^{de}

^{abcde}Means, within a column, that do not share a common superscript letter differ significantly ($P < 0.05$). That is, for a given trait (column), if the means for any two breeds do not share a common superscript letter, then the probability that those two breeds differ for that trait is greater than 95%.

Table 5. Effects of breed of sire on sensory traits

Breed of sire	Slice shear force, kg	Tenderness	Juiciness	Lamb flavor	
				intensity	Off-flavor
Finnsheep	17.3 ^b	6.11 ^a	5.54 ^a	4.90 ^a	4.58 ^a
Romanov	22.7 ^{ab}	5.79 ^{ab}	5.48 ^{ab}	4.86 ^a	4.51 ^a
Dorper	23.0 ^{ab}	5.72 ^{ab}	5.39 ^b	4.80 ^a	4.46 ^a
Katahdin	21.3 ^{ab}	5.71 ^{ab}	5.49 ^{ab}	4.85 ^a	4.45 ^a
Rambouillet	22.6 ^{ab}	5.66 ^{ab}	5.41 ^b	4.80 ^a	4.49 ^a
Suffolk	22.7 ^{ab}	5.64 ^{abc}	5.48 ^{ab}	4.78 ^a	4.43 ^a
Texel	21.3 ^{ab}	5.61 ^{bc}	5.37 ^b	5.00 ^a	4.70 ^a
Dorset	25.5 ^a	5.41 ^{bc}	5.45 ^{ab}	4.82 ^a	4.45 ^a
Composite	27.6 ^a	5.18 ^c	5.42 ^{ab}	4.85 ^a	4.54 ^a

^{abc}Means, within a column, that do not share a common superscript letter differ significantly ($P < 0.05$). That is, for a given trait (column), if the means for any two breeds do not share a common superscript letter, then the probability that those two breeds differ for that trait is greater than 95%.