# Genomics and Public Health Prior to the CDC Effort:

The Linkage of Genetic Measurements to a Health Risk Assessment

Jeffrey M Roseman, MD, PhD, MPH; Rodney C. P. Go, PhD; Ronald T. Acton, PhD



# Background

#### **Studies of Genetic Markers and Diseases**

•In the late 70's and early 80's, it became possible to measure a number of genetic markers including those in the Major Histocompatibility Complex such as the various HLA genes and alleles. The investigators were involved in studying immunogenetic associations and linkages in a number of diseases including Type I and Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus, Heart Disease, Leukemia, Melanoma, Rheumatoid Arthritis, Ankylosing

Pitman, W.B.; Acton, R.T.; Barger, B.O.; Bell, D.S.; Go, R.C.P.; Murphy, C.C. and Roseman, J.M.: HLA -A, -B and -DR

Go ROP, Alarcon GS, Acton RT, Koopman WJ, Vittor VJ, Barger BO: Analysis of HLA Linkage in White Families with Multiple Reveille JD, Almazor MES, Russell AS, Go ROP, Appleyard J, Barger BO (deceased), Acton RT, Koopman WJ, McDaniel DO Cogen, R.B.; Roseman, J.M.; Aljoburi, W.; Louv, W.C.; Acton, R.T.; Barger, B.O.; Go, R.C.P.; and Rasmussen, R.A.: Host

#### Health Risk Assessments (HRA) or Health Hazard Appraisals

HRAs have been used in the public health setting to identify and motivate behavioral change by providing users with estimates of their risk and/or relative risk of disease, injury or death from specific causes based on their characteristics, with recommendations for reducing the risk. It was the vision of the investigators that genetic factors should be included in HRAs. Roseman, J.M.: Go. R.C.P. and Acton, R.: The Future of Molecular Bibbgy in Prespective Meditine. Proceedings of Society of Prespective Meditine. Society of Prespective Meditine.

Action, R.T.: Bamberg, R.: Go, R.C.P. and Roseman, J.M.: Utilization of genetic and other blocatory tests results to predict and reduce the risk of disease. Society of Prospective Med., Proceedings of the 20rd & 24th Acton, R.: Bamberg, R.: and Roseman J.: Integration of Genetic Information into the Practice of Preventive Medicine. In:

#### **Objectives**

The objectives of our program were: 1) To demonstrate that an HRA including input about genetic risk status is feasible; 2) To evaluate the validity of subject's knowledge of their family-history of specific diseases where family history and/or specific alleles have been demonstrated to add clinically significant information; 3) To evaluate the effectiveness of the HRA in motivating behavioral change; and 4) To evaluate physician knowledge of the role of genetics in disease.

# Methods

#### Development of Health Risk Appraisal (HRA) including Genetic Information

Roseman JM, Go RCP, Acton RT, Cutter G, Louv W, Barger BO, Kramer JO, Bamberg R: A Computerized System to Assess Risk of Disease-Specific Morbidity and Mortality Utilizing Immunogenetic Marker Information Society of Prospective Medicine

Roseman, J.; Go, R.; Louv, W.; Perkins, L.; Kramer, J.; Bamberg, R.; Barger, B.; and Acton, R.: The Risk of Morbidity and Mortality Assessment (ROMMA): A Health Risk Assessment Utilizing Genetic Markers, Society of Prospective Medicine, Proceedings of the 23rd and 24th Annual Meetings 277-278, 1990.

Roseman, J.; Bamberg, Acton, R.; and Bamberg, R.: Health Risk Appraisal (HRA) Including Genetic Risk Indicators in Preventive Medicine. In: Matzen R.N., Lang, R., Editors: Principles and Practices of Clinical Preventive Medicine, St.

### Assessment and Validation of Family History Information

arget preventive measures. Society of Prospective Medicine Proceedings of the 25th Annual Meeting 85-95, 1990 Fornage M, Lopez DS, Roseman JM, Siscovick DS, Wong ND, Boerwinkle E. Parental history of stroke and myocardial

Acton, R.; Go, R.; Roseman, J.; Perkins L.; Vanichanan, C.; Sedlacek, C.; Gore, T.; Cousins, A.; Brennan, J.; Moore, P. for cardiovascular diseases, hypertension and diabetes. The American Journal of Human Genetics, 45:A275, 1989.

#### **Developing Computer Software to Include Genetic Information Into** an HRA

Roseman, J.; Go. R.; Acton, R.; Ba. ... ger., B.; Louv., W.; Keimer, J.; and Bemberg, R.;; A Computerbed System t Assess Risk of Disease-Specific Morbility and Mortally Utilizing Immunogenetic Marker Information, Society of Prospective Meditines, Proceedings of the 20rd and 24th Annual Meeting. Healther People, New Trends in Health

#### **Evaluation of An HRA**

Bamberg, R.; Acton, R.; Goodson, L.; Go, R.; Struempler, B.; and Roseman, J.: The Effect of Risk Assessment in Conjunction with Health Promotion Education on Compliance with Preventive Behaviors. Journal of Allied Health 18:271-Bamberg, R.; Acton, R.T.; Roseman, J.M.; Go, R.C.P.; Barger, B.O.; Vanichanan, C.J.; and Copeland, R.B.: The effect of genetic risk information on compliance with preventive health behaviors. Health Education 21:26-32, 1990. Samberg, R.; Copeland, R.; Barger, B.; Roseman, J.; Go, R.C.P.; Vanichanan, C.; Brand, J.; Moore, P.; Acton, R. Bamberg, R.; Acton, R.; and Roseman, J.: Preventive Behavior Impact from Health and Genetic Risk. In: Matzen R.N.

#### Evaluation of Physicians' **Knowledge of Genetic Risk**

Acton RT. Burst NM. Casebeer L. Ferguson SM. Green P. Laird BC. Leviton L. Knowledge, attitudes and behaviors of Alabama's primary care physicians regarding cancer genetics. Acad Med. 75:850-852, 2000

## Development of the Risk of **Morbidity and Mortality** Assessment (ROMMA)

Results

•Developed procedures for reviewing and abstracting publications of the associations and linkages between genetic markers and disease

•For 6 markers for which there were over 500 diseases which had been examined for

associations, we reviewed over 8000 citations and abstracted over 2000 articles

 Developed process for combining the results across studies given that the results were presented in a variety of different ways. Also, often, if the association was not statistically significant in a study, the strength of the association was not reported even though it might be strong in other studies.

•Developed rules for decisions about including a particular marker and disease association in

the HRA including for some diseases a sequential approach

Developed Family History forms

Created computer software which allowed for full customization of any HRA design

 Created computer software to output pedigrees Created HRA output

FORMS THAT RESULTS CAN TAKE

CRITERIA FOR OUTPUT OF DISEASE-MARKER ASSOCIATION Strength of Association Disease frequency Allele frequency Characteristics of test reliability

Sequential Assessment For Risk of CHD and Assess Family History (FH) Measure: Blood Pressure frest: Lipid Levels & Lipid Levels Normal Trest: Blood Pressure & Reduce other Risk Factors Lipid Levels 4 Reduce Risk Factors

OUTPUT High risk allele(s) Diseases at risk for Estimated odds ratio Occurrence of disease Absolute risk Recommendation(s)

#### Validity of Family History Information

Conclusions

The validity of family history of disease information

characteristics of the type of people being queried. For the

diseases examined the specificity is generally quite good

•The provision of genetic risk information might lead to

increased frequency of behavioral compliance in those

Physicians do collect family history information with

respect to some, but not all. familial -related diseases

knowledge of genetics is often insufficient to convey

•The issues which surround the use of genomics

those we faced in the past and still need further

when seeing a new patient, but rarely update it, and their

information in the public heath setting today are similar to

An HRA with genetic risk factors is feasible

although the sensitivity may be low.

genetic information to the patient.

who are at increased risk.

exploration.

depends on the disease being studied and the

 We examined the sensitivities and specificities of the reports of probands and controls with respect to the disease status of other family members compared to the information provided by the family members themselves in three different samples with probands having three different diseases.

•The results are presented in the tables below. The first sample is middle-aged white males with an MI. The second sample is black and white adults who have hemochromatosis or ironoverload. The third sample is African-American

Controls (N=298)

females with diabetes. Cases (N=405)

|  | Sensitivity                                | y Specif  | icity So   | ensitivity       | Specificity                                   |
|--|--|---|--|------------------|---|
| Disease  | %  | 9   | 6  | %                | %   |
| CHD  | 79   | 9   | 9  | 67               | 98  |
| Hypertension   | 70   | 9   | 7  | 58               | 95  |
| Diabetes   | 89   | 99  | )  | 83               | 99  |
|  |  |   |  |                  |   |
| Comparison   | s of proband's repo                        | rt of relativ                                     | e's condition and r  | elative's se     | lf-report                                     |
| Comparison   |  | rt of relativ<br>Sensitivity                      | e's condition and r  |                  |   |
| Control of the Contro |  |   |  |                  | icity Kappa'                                  |
| Control of the Contro | No. Reporting                              | Sensitivity                                       | No. Not Reportin   | ng Specif        | icity Kappa'                                  |
| Condition  | No. Reporting                              | Sensitivity                                       | No. Not Reportin   | ng Specif        | icity Kappa'                                  |
| Condition  | No. Reporting (%)"                         | Sensitivity (%) <sup>b</sup>                      | No. Not Reportin   | ng Specif        | icity Kappa'                                  |
| Condition  emochromatosis or iron overload   | No. Reporting (%)"                         | Sensitivity<br>(%) <sup>b</sup><br>81.43          | No. Not Reportin<br>(%) <sup>c</sup><br>519 (97.37)                | ng Specif<br>(%) | icity Kappa'  34 0.5896  49 0.2781            |
| Condition emochromatosis or iron overload rthritis   | No. Reporting (%)"  13 (81.25)  57 (54.29) | Sensitivity<br>(%) <sup>b</sup><br>81.43<br>55.01 | No. Not Reportin<br>(%) <sup>c</sup><br>519 (97.37)<br>348 (78.38) | 97.3             | icity Kappa'  34 0.5896  49 0.2781  62 0.2587 |

dition for which the family member also reported they did not have the condition.

| Careed is love | Funking" | 9 maining<br>(%) | value. | Number | Specificary<br>(%) | va ba | Rappa | P Value |
|----------------|----------|------------------|--------|--------|--------------------|-------|-------|---------|
| O istravas.    | 61       | 98.4             | CODI   | 4      | 75.0               | 0.06  | 0.734 | <0.01   |
| HEF            | 32       | 17.1             | 0.00   | 74     | 21.4               | CODI  | 0.300 | DD1     |
| g.rates        |          | dop              | D.17   | ác     | Tel:.7             | CODI  | 0.167 | CDDI    |
| CHO            | 17       | 715              | 0.93   | 33     | <b>301.</b> 1      | CODI  | B-311 | 0.01    |

#### Evaluation of Genetic Risk Information Impact

In a randomized trial, we compared the compliance with preventive recommendations between a "treatment" group that was given risk information based in part on family history and/or genetic information and a group which was given risk information not based on the family history or genetic information. The results are presented in the table below. The treatment group was more compliant with respect to a number of behaviors

|                | Number Complying (%) |                 |           |            |  |  |  |
|----------------|----------------------|-----------------|-----------|------------|--|--|--|
| Behavior       | Control Group        | Treatment Group | p Value   | Odds Ratio |  |  |  |
| Significant    | Juneage Boing        | greater than 7  |           |            |  |  |  |
| *CHOL CHK      | 5(16)                | 12(44)          | .0318     | 4.32       |  |  |  |
| (BCL > 200)    |                      |                 |           |            |  |  |  |
| Dissimilar     |                      |                 | Webster 1 |            |  |  |  |
| WT ASK         | 2 (6)                | 9(27)           | .0538     | 5.62       |  |  |  |
| †CHOL CHK      | 5(12)                | 12(30)          | .0805     | 3.17       |  |  |  |
| LIM FAT        | 3(43)                | 8(100)          | .1536     | 10.67      |  |  |  |
| (BCL < 200)    |                      |                 |           |            |  |  |  |
| LIM CHOL       | 1(20)                | 4(67)           | .3474     | 8.00       |  |  |  |
| (BCL < 200)    |                      |                 |           |            |  |  |  |
| CALM           | 6(67)                | 8(100)          | .5708     | 4.00       |  |  |  |
| (Not Type A's) |                      |                 |           |            |  |  |  |

#### Physician Knowledge of and Attitude Toward Genetic Risk

In order to determine how knowledgeable physicians were about the genetic aspects of diseases, we conducted two separate surveys of Alabama physicians with respect to their knowledge of the genetic aspects of cancer in one and hemochromatosis in the other. We found with respect to cancer that the vast majority (94%) of respondents took a cancer family history with a new patient, but only about half updated it during any follow-up. The majority had not sent a patient for genetic testing within the previous year and were concerned about the effect of any test results on the patient's emotional well-being employment and insurance. With respect to hemochromatosis the vast majority (>90%) of physicians did not ask their patients about a family history of hemochromatosis.

**Funding Sources:** Immunogenetics Program Center for Health Risk Assessment and Disease Prevention