Scientific and Technical Information Program

Goal 2

STIPG2 - Original Task

Establish collaborative relationships to support the DOE STIP

STIPG2

Consortium Purchasing of Print & Online Serials

STIPG2 Members

Erik Anderson
Charlynn Clayton
Denise Diggin
Nancy Dowicki
Ruth Heidt Belanger
Lois Holmes
Michelle Johnson
Judy Lussie
Mary Moore
Claudia Newbury

PNNL
OSTI
DOE HQ
DOE HQ
YMP
PNNL
INEL
LLNL
LANL
YMP

Mara Niels
Mina Perrin
Joanne Perrone
R.L. Scott
Melaine Scott
Bruce Style
Susan Tackett
Kathy Waldrop
Deborah York

LLNL
SRS
DOE HQ
OSTI
YMP
BNL
OSTI
DOE HQ
ORNL

Central Point of Contact

- DOE / OSTI meets the need
- DOE collectively has greater clout than sites
- Sites can direct interested publishers / vendors to one central contact point

DOE's Role & Responsibility

- Negotiate with a publisher or vendor to provide an umbrella agreement for sites to take advantage of individually
- Simplify the legal process for sites if DOE negotiates the umbrella agreement

DOE LOWG Participation

- Information Professionals
- Natural Contact Point for participating DOE sites
- Inform other DOE sites of the program

Short Term Goal

- To make one successful agreement that we can point to encourage other publishers and skeptical sites to join in the program
- Success breeds success

Site Survey

Six Step Process

Step One

- Identify publishers and vendors that may be interested in an agreement
 - Use GAO Audit from 1996 to determine the most likely participants (publishers)
 - Use site's current subscriptions and interests to determine most popular candidates for agreements

Step Two

- Contact DOE Sites through the libraries to determine interest
- LOWG our first contact

Step Three

- Gather profiles from interested sites
 - Number of campuses
 - Number of buildings
 - Site population
 - Number of relevant users
 - Scientific Community
 - Engineering Community

Step Four

- As publishers respond, poll sites for interest in that publisher's package
 - Positively interested
 - Possibly interested
 - Not interested
 - I To be used as a gauge for the publishers to determine value to them
- Gather other information needed by publisher

Step Five

Present site statistics to the publisher / vendor to negotiate best price for the best package

Step Six

- Notify interested sites of pricing agreement
- Make the pricing information available to the DOE community

Time Line

- Step 1 Identify Publishers March
- Step 2 Contact DOE Sites June
- Step 3 Gather Profiles July

Ongoing Items

- Step 4 Poll Sites
- Step 5 Present Site Statistics
- Step 6 Notify Sites of Agreement

WHY NOW? Timing

- Information Industry changes
- Receptiveness to consortium agreements
- Rising cost

Cost of Print Rising

- Increased Printing Costs
- Reduced copies needed
- Cost of print
 - Customers are canceling subscriptions
 - Publisher's product information exposure is reduced

Online Access

- Better search engines
- Ease and accuracy of online searching over print

Online Access Issues

- Accessibility needs linked to what is offered
 - Current Year
 - Recent Back Issues
 - Full Back Issues
- The right to print off copies without additional charges

Hurdles

- Online Journals
 - Archival issues
 - Ownership
 - Third Party vendors
- Internet / Intranet options
- Standard renewal
 - Fiscal year
 - Calendar year

Hurdles Continued

- Publisher diversity
- Site Diversity difficult to determine a standard pricing model for all sites
 - Population mix
 - Organization goals
 - Organizational funding

Current Possibilities

- American Physical Society (APS)
- Society of Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM)

Other possible agreements

- Serial vendors
 - Faxon
 - **EBSCO**
 - Blackwell
- Pricey reference material

Other Contact Points

- ALA Conferences
 - Contacted 45 publishers at this year's ALA Conference
- SLA Conference
- Vendor contacts, presentations and conferences

STIP Home Page

http://www.doe.gov/stip.home/html