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## Survey

- The NSAT was designed to provide a nationallyrepresentative sample of Algebra I teachers in public schools
$>310$ schools
$>$ 8th grade or higher
$>$ Stratified by:
- Grade configuration
- Number of students from low-income households
- Number of racial/ethnic minority students enrolled
- School location (urban, suburban, rural)


## Response Rate

- Schools
> 310 schools selected, 258 agreed to provide rosters of their Algebra I teachers (83\%)
- Teachers
$>1,026$ teachers identified, $72 \%$ returned completed questionnaires by the July 1 close of data collection (743 respondents)


## Respondent Characteristics

- Gender
> 66\% Female
- Race/Ethnicity
> $85 \%$ White
$>6 \%$ Hispanic ethnicity
> 3\% African American
$>3 \%$ Asian
$>3 \%$ Native American, Alaskan Native, Hawaiian Native or other Pacific Islander
- Age: Median is 41
$>27 \%$ of teachers are 30 years old or younger
$>26 \%$ of teachers are 51 or older


## Respondent Characteristics

- Education
$>49 \%$ have a masters or other advanced degree
$>68 \%$ majored or minored in math for BA/BS
- Certification
$>82 \%$ regular state certification, 11\% provisional
> 12\% NBPTS certified
$>83 \%$ NCLB "highly qualified"
- Experience teaching Algebra I
$>24 \%$ of teachers have taught Algebra I for 2 years or less
$>26 \%$ of teachers have taught Algebral 15 years or more


## Findings

- Student preparation
$>$ Skill/knowledge areas of inadequate preparation
> Examples of preparation issues
- Teachers' work-related attitudes
> Professional preparation \& development
$>$ Teaching materials and curriculum
- Use of instructional materials
- Main challenges for teachers


## Students Preparation-I



## Student Preparation-II

- Preparation less adequate in higher grades
$-8^{\text {th }}$ grade Algebra I students are usually highest achievers.
- Small differences by school demographics
- Teachers in schools with high minority student concentrations rated preparation lower.


## Student Preparation-III

| Students' Worst Background Areas of Mathematics |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| (1=Poor, 2=Fair, 3=Good, 4=Excellent) |  |
| Worst Areas | Mean |
| Solving word problems | 1.7 |
| Rational numbers and operations involving fractions and decimals | 1.9 |
| Basic study skills and work habits necessary for success in math | 2.0 |
| Ability to use math in context that are identified as real world <br> situations | 2.1 |

## Student Preparation-IV

## Students' Best Background Areas of Mathematics (1=Poor, 2=Fair, 3=Good, 4=Excellent)

| Best Areas | Mean |
| :--- | :---: |
| The concept of variables | 2.5 |
| Plotting points, and graphing lines on the four-quadrant coordinate <br> plane | 2.6 |
| Working cooperatively with other students | 2.7 |
| Whole numbers and operations with whole numbers | 3.1 |

## Teachers Comments

## Preparation Issues-I

- Changes the teachers would like to see in the pre-Algebra I curriculum: Basic skills
- "Students need to be better prepared in basic math skills and not be quite so calculator dependent. Also, more training in thinking skills."
- "Make sure the 1st-8th grade teachers teach the foundations of math and that the students know their basic skills."


## Teachers Comments Preparation Issues-II

- "More focus on basics-students should already know order of operations, positive vs. negative numbers, fractions, and decimals".
- Also, study skills mentioned by several, e.g.:
- "Most importantly, students need a better work ethic-they must do their homework ."


## Areas in which Teachers are Satisfied-I

- Teacher preparation: 72\% evaluated positively their pre-service teacher training.
- Algebra I textbooks: generally rated very favorably. For example,
$>$ "The textbook includes the appropriate topics and content to teach the course": 90\% agree or strongly agree
- Resources for students struggling in Algebra I:
> Availability of tutoring/remedial help: 74\% fair or better
$>$ Quality of tutoring/remedial help: 80\% fair or better


## Areas in which Teachers are Satisfied-II

- Algebra I curriculum standards and tests
$>70 \%$ rate content standards "good" or "excellent"
$>80 \%$ rate local expectations "about right"
- Professional development opportunities
$>74 \%$ rate as adequate or very helpful


## Use of Materials

- Teachers, for the most part, do not use technological tools.
$>$ Less than $1 / 3$ (21\%) of teachers use the graphing calculator
- Manipulative materials used only "occasionally"


## Use of Materials

Use of Graphing Calculator


Less Than Once a Week
30\%

## Challenges for Teachers-I

- Lack of family participation
- "Moderate" or "serious" problem for 60\%
- Mixed-ability classes
- "Moderate" or "serious" problem for 45\%
- Biggest challenge: Working with unmotivated students


## Challenges for Teachers-II

| What do you find most challenging in teaching Algebra I successfully? (check one) |  |
| :--- | :---: |
|  | Percent Selecting |
| Working with unmotivated students | $61.8 \%$ |
| Making mathematics accessible and comprehensible | $11.3 \%$ |
| Explaining concepts | $4.4 \%$ |
| Explaining material to struggling students | $3.1 \%$ |
| Interpreting students errors and difficulties | $1.5 \%$ |
| Handling accelerated students | $1.4 \%$ |
| Helping students whose home language is different than <br> English | $1.1 \%$ |

## Conclusions

- Main findings from the survey:
$>$ Ratings of student preparation: inadequate
$>$ Ratings of curriculum \& instruction: good
$>$ Views on major challenges of teaching Algebra I: unmotivated students
- Implications
$>$ Attention to pre-algebra math is needed, to...
- remedy the specific skill deficiencies
- identify ways in which negative attitudes toward mathematics are developed

