

TABLE 2. Number* of U.S. Postal Service (USPS) workers and death rates†, by USPS facility and fiscal year§ — United States, 1997–2002

Facility	No.	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	p value
Brentwood P&DC†, Washington, D.C.	2,646	4.54	6.80	3.78	4.54	2.65	4.16	0.86
Southern New Jersey P&DC, Bellmawr, New Jersey	714	7.00	5.60	4.20	7.00	4.20	2.80	0.14
Trenton P&DC, Trenton, New Jersey	963	3.12	2.08	4.15	2.08	3.12	4.15	0.26
Morgan P&DC, New York City, New York	4,662	3.70	3.04	2.83	1.96	2.83	2.39	0.52
Southern Connecticut P&DC, Wallingford, Connecticut	1,724	2.32	1.16	0.58	0	1.16	1.74	0.50

* As of October 2002.

† Per 1,000 workers.

§ USPS fiscal year is approximately October–September (varies slightly by year).

¶ Processing and distribution center.

If another anthrax attack were to occur, prevention of deaths would probably depend on heightened surveillance and rapid diagnostics to identify an attack and prompt prophylaxis with antibiotics and vaccination. Three types of surveillance are needed: 1) pre-event surveillance systems to detect the initial case of anthrax, which signals a new outbreak or release; 2) event surveillance to focus on continuous case-finding; and 3) postevent surveillance to identify any cases that might have been missed and morbidity and mortality associated with treatment or prophylaxis. In each stage of surveillance, the goals, priorities, and methods differ. Evaluation of unexplained deaths is an ongoing surveillance initiative that is part of CDC's Emerging Infections Program (5).

Monitoring of death rates among persons potentially exposed to *B. anthracis* spores during the anthrax attacks of 2001 continues; however, the onset of anthrax disease 2 years after the exposures is unlikely. Through December 2003, CDC, in collaboration with federal, state, and local partners, will continue to assess mortality among postal workers potentially exposed to *B. anthracis* at the USPS facilities and rates of adverse events among all 10,000 persons for whom ≥ 60 days of postexposure prophylaxis was recommended (6).

References

- Dewan PK, Fry AM, Laserson K, et al. Inhalational anthrax outbreak among postal workers, Washington, DC, 2001. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2002;8:1066–72.
- CDC. National Vital Statistics Report 2002;50(16):1–86.
- Lloyd-Jones DM, Martin DO, Larson MG, Levy D. Accuracy of death certificates for coding coronary heart disease as the cause of death. *Ann Intern Med* 1998;129:1020–6.
- Sington JD, Cottrell BJ. Analysis of the sensitivity of death certificates in 440 hospital deaths: a comparison with necropsy findings. *J Clin Pathol* 2002;55:499–502.
- Hajjeh RA, Relman D, Cieslak PR, et al. Surveillance for unexplained deaths and critical illnesses due to possibly infectious causes, United States, 1995–1998. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2002;8:145–52.
- CDC. Evaluation of postexposure antibiotic prophylaxis to prevent anthrax. *MMWR* 2002;51:59.

Recognition of Illness Associated With Exposure to Chemical Agents — United States, 2003

Since September 11, 2001, concern has increased about potential terrorist attacks involving the use of chemical agents. In addition, recent cases involving intentional or inadvertent contamination of food with chemicals have highlighted the need for health-care providers and public health officials to be alert for patients in their communities who have signs and symptoms consistent with chemical exposures (1–3). For example, in February 2003, a Michigan supermarket worker was charged with intentionally contaminating 200 lbs. of meat with a nicotine-containing insecticide (3). Although intentional release of chemical agents might be an overt event (i.e., one whose nature reveals itself), such as release of a nerve agent in a subway or a large explosion of a chemical container, a chemical release might instead be a covert event (i.e., an unrecognized release in which the presence of ill persons might be the first sign of an exposure), such as deliberate contamination of food, water, or a consumer product. To increase the likelihood that health-care providers will recognize a chemical-release-related illness and that public health authorities will implement the appropriate emergency response and public health actions, CDC identified examples of chemical-induced illness (Table) and created appropriate guidance for health-care providers and public health personnel. This report summarizes the epidemiologic clues and clinical signs or patterns of illness that might suggest covert release of a chemical agent. CDC is working to develop national surveillance capabilities for detecting chemical-release-related illnesses.

A covert release of a chemical agent might not be identified easily for at least five reasons. First, symptoms of exposure to some chemical agents (e.g., ricin) might be similar to those of common diseases (e.g., gastroenteritis). Second, immediate symptoms of certain chemical exposures might be nonexistent or mild despite the risk for long-term effects (e.g.,

TABLE. Selected* clinical syndromes and potential chemical etiologies

Category	Clinical syndrome	Potential chemical etiology
Cholinergic crisis	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Salivation, diarrhea, lacrimation, bronchorrhea, diaphoresis, and/or urination • Miosis, fasciculations, weakness, bradycardia or tachycardia, hypotension or hypertension, altered mental status, and/or seizures 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Nicotine[†] • Organophosphate insecticides[†] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> — decreased acetylcholinesterase activity • Carbamate insecticides • Medicinal carbamates (e.g., physostigmine)
Generalized muscle rigidity	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Seizure-like, generalized muscle contractions or painful spasms (neck and limbs) and usually tachycardia and hypertension 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strychnine <ul style="list-style-type: none"> — intact sensorium
Oropharyngeal pain and ulcerations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lip, mouth, and pharyngeal ulcerations and burning pain 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Paraquat[†] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> — dyspnea and hemoptysis secondary to pulmonary edema or hemorrhage; can progress to pulmonary fibrosis over days to weeks • Diquat • Caustics (i.e., acids and alkalis) • Inorganic mercuric salts • Mustards (e.g., sulfur)
Cellular hypoxia	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mild: nausea, vomiting, and headache • Severe: altered mental status, dyspnea, hypotension, seizures, and metabolic acidosis 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cyanide[†] (e.g., hydrogen cyanide gas or sodium cyanide) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> — bitter almond odor[§] • Sodium monofluoroacetate (SMFA)[†] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> — hypocalcemia or hypokalemia • Carbon monoxide • Hydrogen sulfide • Sodium azide • Methemoglobin-causing agents
Peripheral neuropathy and/or neurocognitive effects	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Peripheral neuropathy signs and symptoms: muscle weakness and atrophy, "glove and stocking" sensory loss, and depressed or absent deep tendon reflexes • Neurocognitive effects: memory loss, delirium, ataxia, and/or encephalopathy 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mercury (organic)[†] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> — visual disturbances, paresthesias, and/or ataxia • Arsenic (inorganic)[†] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> — delirium and/or peripheral neuropathy • Thallium <ul style="list-style-type: none"> — delirium and/or peripheral neuropathy • Lead <ul style="list-style-type: none"> — encephalopathy • Acrylamide <ul style="list-style-type: none"> — encephalopathy and/or peripheral neuropathy
Severe gastrointestinal illness, dehydration	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Abdominal pain, vomiting, profuse diarrhea (possibly bloody), and hypotension, possibly followed by multisystem organ failure 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Arsenic[†] • Ricin[†] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> — inhalation an additional route of exposure; severe respiratory illness possible • Colchicine • Barium <ul style="list-style-type: none"> — hypokalemia common

* Not intended as a complete differential diagnosis for each syndrome or a list of all chemicals that might be used in a covert chemical release.

[†] Potential agents for a covert chemical release based on historic use (i.e., intentional or inadvertent use), high toxicity, and/or ease of availability.

[§] Unreliable sign.

neurocognitive impairment from dimethyl mercury, teratogenicity from isotretinoin, or cancer from aflatoxin). Third, exposure to contaminated food, water, or consumer products might result in reports of illness to health-care providers over a long period and in various locations. Fourth, persons exposed to two or more agents might have symptoms not suggestive of any one chemical agent (i.e., a mixed clinical presentation). Finally, health-care providers might be less familiar with clinical presentations suggesting exposure to chemical agents than they are with illnesses that are treated frequently.

Epidemiologic Clues Suggesting a Covert Chemical Release

Epidemiologic clues that might suggest the covert release of a chemical agent include 1) an unusual increase in the number of patients seeking care for potential chemical-release-related illness; 2) unexplained deaths among young or healthy persons; 3) emission of unexplained odors by patients; 4) clusters of illness in persons who have common characteristics, such as drinking water from the same source; 5) rapid onset of symptoms after an exposure to a potentially contaminated medium (e.g., paresthesias and vomiting within minutes of

eating a meal); 6) unexplained death of plants, fish, or animals (domestic or wild); and 7) a syndrome (i.e., a constellation of clinical signs and symptoms in patients) suggesting a disease associated commonly with a known chemical exposure (e.g., neurologic signs or pinpoint pupils in eyes of patients with a gastroenteritis-like syndrome or acidosis in patients with altered mental status).

Various chemical agents could be used as covert weapons, and the actual clinical syndrome will vary depending on the type of agent, the amount and concentration of the chemical, and the route of the exposure. However, certain clinical presentations might be more common with a covert chemical release. Certain syndromes are associated with groups of chemical agents with similar toxic properties that have been used previously, have high toxicity, or are easily available (Table) (4–10).

Reported by: M Patel, MD, J Schier, MD, M Belson, MD, C Rubin, DVM, P Garbe, DVM, Div of Environmental Hazards and Health Effects; J Osterloh, MD, Div of Laboratory Sciences, National Center for Environmental Health, CDC.

Editorial Note: Health-care providers, public health agencies, and poison control centers might be the first to recognize illness, treat patients, and implement the appropriate emergency response to a chemical release. Familiarity with general characteristics of a covert chemical release and recognition of epidemiologic clues and syndromic presentations of chemical agent exposures could improve recognition of these releases and might reduce further morbidity and mortality.

Public health agencies and health-care providers might render the most appropriate, timely, and clinically relevant treatment possible by using treatment modalities based on syndromic categories (e.g., burns, respiratory depression, neurologic damage, and shock). Treating exposed persons by clinical syndrome rather than by specific agent probably is the most pragmatic approach to the treatment of illness caused by chemical exposures.

State and local health departments should educate health-care providers to recognize unusual illnesses that might indicate release of a chemical agent. Strategies for responding to intentional chemical releases include 1) providing information or reminders to health-care providers and clinical laboratories; 2) encouraging reporting of acute poisonings to local poison control centers, which can guide patient management and facilitate notification of the proper health agencies, and to the local or state health department; 3) initiating surveillance for incidents that potentially involve the covert release of a chemical agent; 4) implementing the capacity to receive and investigate any report of such an event; 5) implementing appropriate protocols, including potentially accessing the Laboratory Response Network for Bioterrorism, to collect and

transport specimens and to store them appropriately before laboratory analysis; 6) reporting immediately to CDC and local law enforcement if the results of an investigation suggest the intentional release of a chemical agent; and 7) requesting CDC assistance when necessary.

To begin developing national surveillance capabilities for detecting chemical-release-related illnesses, CDC is collaborating with the American Association of Poison Control Centers to use its Toxic Exposure Surveillance System to identify index cases, evolving patterns, or emerging clusters of hazardous exposures. Identification of early markers for chemical releases (e.g., characteristic symptom complexes, temporal and regional increases in hospitalizations, or sudden increases in case frequency or severity) will enable public health authorities to respond quickly and appropriately to an intentional chemical release.

CDC materials for emergency and health-care personnel, including a list of chemical agents and biologic toxins and their expected clinical syndromes, are available at <http://www.bt.cdc.gov/agent/agentlistchem.asp>. Additional information about responding to chemical attacks is available from the U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command at <http://www.biomedtraining.org/progmat.htm>, the U.S. Army Medical Research Institute of Chemical Defense at <http://ccc.apgea.army.mil>, and CDC and the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry at <http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/mhmi.html>.

References

1. Khan AS, Swerdlow DL, Juranek DD. Precautions against biological and chemical terrorism directed at food and water supplies. *Public Health Rep* 2001;116:3–14.
2. Buchholz U, Mermin J, Rios R, et al. An outbreak of food-borne illness associated with methomyl-contaminated salt. *JAMA* 2002;288:604–10.
3. CDC. Nicotine poisoning after ingestion of contaminated ground beef—Michigan 2003. *MMWR* 2003;52:413–6.
4. Namba T, Nolte CT, Jackrel J, Grob D. Poisoning due to organophosphate insecticides. *Am J Med* 1971;50:475–91.
5. Daisley H, Simmons V. Homicide by paraquat poisoning. *Med Sci Law* 1999;39:266–9.
6. Wolnik KA. The Tylenol tampering incident—tracing the source. *Anal Chem* 1984;56:466–70, 474.
7. Chi CH, Chen KW, Chan SH, et al. Clinical presentation and prognostic factors in sodium monofluoroacetate intoxication. *Clin Toxicol* 1996;34:707–12.
8. Nierenberg DW, Nordgren RE, Chang MB, et al. Delayed cerebellar disease and death after accidental exposure to dimethylmercury. *N Engl J Med* 1998;338:1672–6.
9. Falkenrath RA, Newnan RD, Thayer BA. *America's Achilles' Heel: Nuclear, Biological, and Chemical Terrorism and Covert Attack*. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Massachusetts Institute of Technology Press, 1998.
10. Franz DR, Jaax N. Ricin toxin. In: Sidell FR, Takafuji ET, Franz DR, eds. *Medical Aspects of Chemical and Biological Warfare*. Washington, DC: Office of the Surgeon General, 1997.