Status of Davis-Besse Lessons Learned Task Force Recommendations

Last Update: February 22, 2005

Category: Stress Corrosion Cracking

LLTF No.	LLTF Recommendation	Priority	Category	Target Date	Effectiveness Review	Lead Org.
3.1.1(1)	Assemble foreign and domestic information concerning Alloy 600 (and other nickel based alloys) nozzle cracking and boric acid corrosion. Analyze nickel based alloy nozzle susceptibility to stress corrosion cracking (SCC), including other susceptible components, and boric acid corrosion of carbon steel, and propose a course of action and an implementation schedule to address the results.	High	SCC	10/04 Complete	TBD	RES (DET) for data collection NRR (DE) for other actions

STATUS: Data collection was accomplished in two phases. Collection of information on cracking was completed 03/31/04 (ML040910372, ML040910354). Collection of information on boric acid corrosion was completed on 10/22/04 (ML043000274). The information contained in these two reports and a third report on Alloy 600 cracking susceptibility (ML032461221), which was issued on 3/31/04 to address LLTF 3.1.4(1), will be combined into one NUREG report and will be published in March 2005.

Based on the reports, the staff concluded that additional inspections are warranted for identifying leakage from primary water stress corrosion cracking (PWSCC) and for precluding boric acid corrosion (BAC) as a result of such through-wall leakage. The RES reports provide a comprehensive set of information that supports and confirms the appropriateness of these conclusions and does not change the staff's basic perception of the problem. The staff believes that definitive actions are needed to address shortcomings with the current framework for these inspections. One option for addressing these concerns is to work with the ASME Code to develop requirements and endorse them in the regulations. Another option would be for the Materials Reliability Project (MRP) to issue "mandatory" long-term recommendations for PWSCC that are acceptable to the staff as a means of addressing the issue of visual inspection for PWSCC and BAC. However, the outcome and schedule for pursuing either of these options is uncertain. A third option for addressing these concerns expeditiously is through regulatory action, such as a bulletin. The staff plans to engage the industry to discuss the concerns described above and discuss options for developing a prompt resolution. The staff expects to determine which alternative will address the issue most efficiently by March 31, 2005.

1

ATTACHMENT

LLTF No.	LLTF Recommendation	Priority	Category	Target Date	Effectiveness Review	Lead Org.
3.2.2(1)	Inspect the adequacy of PWR [pressurized-water reactor] plant boric acid corrosion control programs, including their implementation effectiveness, to determine their acceptability for the identification of boric acid leakage, and their acceptability to ensure that adequate evaluations are performed for identified boric acid leaks.	High	SCC	05/05	05/05 05/06	NRR (IIPB)

STATUS: The evaluation of responses to Bulletin 2002-01, which included audits of boric acid corrosion control (BACC) programs at five plants, determined that plants appeared to be complying with requirements at the programmatic level. The results of the evaluation were summarized in Regulatory Issues Summary (RIS) 2003-13 (ML032100653).

Temporary Instruction (TI) 2515/150 provides guidance for inspecting licensees' reactor pressure vessel (RPV) head inspections pursuant to Order EA-03-009, and includes instructions for follow-up on findings of boric acid accumulation. Inspection Procedure (IP) 71111.08, "Inservice Inspection Activities," was revised on 5/11/04 to add periodic inspection requirements and guidance for boric acid corrosion control. The target date was extended to 05/05 to allow time to conduct some inspections. The effectiveness review, consisting of an evaluation of inspection results and the adequacy of inspection guidance, will be done after approximately 2 years of inspections, since the inspections are based on a refueling outage cycle.

3.3.2(1)	Develop inspection guidance for the periodic inspection of PWR plant boric acid corrosion control	High	SCC	Complete	05/05 Not required	NRR (IIPB)
	programs.					

STATUS: Temporary Instruction (TI) 2515/150, issued in 08/03, supports the review of licensees' RPV head and vessel head penetration nozzle inspection activities that are implemented in accordance with the requirements of NRC Order EA-03-009. This TI validates that a plant conforms to its inspection commitments and requirements, during refueling outages, using procedures, equipment, and personnel that have been demonstrated to be effective in the detection and sizing of PWSCC in VHP nozzles and detection of RPV head wastage. TI2515/152, issued in 11/03, provides interim inspection guidance for follow-up to Bulletin 2003-02, which includes BACC programs. In addition, IP 71111.08, "Inservice Inspection Activities", was revised in 05/04 to add NRC inspection samples to observe and evaluate licensee inspection of PWR RPV head and VHP nozzle inspection activities on a periodic basis consistent with the guidance in TI 2515/150. This revision also included guidance and requirements to perform a performance based inspection of licensee's boric acid program implementation. The effectiveness of these inspection procedures will be evaluated as part of LLTF 3.2.2(1) and a separate effectiveness review is not required.

LLTF No.	LLTF Recommendation	Priority	Category	Target Date	Effectiveness Review	Lead Org.		
3.3.4(3)	Develop inspection guidance or revise existing guidance to ensure that VHP [vessel head penetration] nozzles and the RPV head area are periodically reviewed by the NRC during licensee ISI [inservice inspection] activities.	High	scc	Complete	05/05 Not required	NRR (IIPB)		
STATUS: TI2515/150, issued 08/03, provides interim inspection guidance for follow-up to Order EA-03-009. IP 71111.08, "Inservice Inspection Activities," was revised on 5/11/04 to add periodic inspection requirements and guidance for PWR vessel head penetration inspections. The effectiveness of these inspection procedures will be evaluated as part of LLTF 3.2.2(1) and a separate effectiveness review is not required.								
3.3.4(8)	Encourage ASME [American Society of Mechanical Engineers] Code requirement changes for bare metal inspections of nickel based alloy nozzles for which the code does not require the removal of insulation for inspections. Also, encourage ASME Code requirement changes for the conduct of non-visual NDE [nondestructive examination] inspections of VHP nozzles.	High	SCC	TBD	TBD	NRR (DE/DRIP)		

LLTF No.	LLTF Recommendation	Priority	Category	Target Date	Effectiveness Review	Lead Org.
-------------	---------------------	----------	----------	----------------	-------------------------	--------------

STATUS: Staff will review EPRI/MRP guidelines, which are expected to form the basis for ASME code changes, when issued. Issuance has been delayed several times. NRC management has communicated with industry to encourage timely issuance of proposed guidelines. The NRC Staff also participates in ASME Code committees. Once the ASME Code requirements are updated, the staff will evaluate them for inclusion by reference into 10 CFR 50.55a.

Separately, the ASME is developing Code Case N-722 which requires bare metal visual examination of all ASME Code Class 1 alloy 600/82/182 components each refueling outage. The NRC is supporting development and approval. Inspection requirements can be strengthened by incorporating Code Case N-722 in 10 CFR 50.55a.

Interim inspection requirements have been established by issuance of First Revised Order EA-03-009. Due to the delay in updating the ASME Code, the NRC staff initiated action to incorporate Order EA-03-009 guidelines into 10 CFR 50.55a and submitted a rulemaking plan for Commission approval in 07/04. The Commission decided not to proceed with separate rulemaking and directed the staff to continue working with the industry to revise the ASME code (SRM-SECY-04-0115, 8/6/04). Target date for separate rulemaking was deleted. Any changes to 10 CFR 50.55a will be done following NRC staff review of industry actions. The ASME is developing Code Case N-729 for inspection of the upper reactor vessel head and upper head penetrations during refueling outages, but has not yet produced a Code Case acceptable to the staff as a replacement for the Order.

Completion of this recommendation depends on ASME actions.

testing are needed to reduce uncertainties in these models relative to their continued application in regulatory decision making.	3.1.4(1)	models relative to their continued application in	Medium	SCC	Complete	Not required	RES (DET)
---	----------	---	--------	-----	----------	--------------	-----------

LLTF No.	LLTF Recommendation	Priority	Category	Target Date	Effectiveness Review	Lead Org.			
	STATUS: In its current form, the model is based on time and temperature effects alone and is adequate for prioritizing reactor VHP inspections.								

STATUS: In its current form, the model is based on time and temperature effects alone and is adequate for prioritizing reactor VHP inspections. It has been used in the development of inspection requirements in Order EA-03-009 and the bulletins that preceded it. Inspection results to date have been consistent with model predictions and do not indicate a need for revising the model in the near term. A report by the Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research, issued 7/21/03 (ML032461224 and ML032461221), identified refinements that could be made to the model. These improvements are not needed to satisfy this recommendation and will require research activities that are beyond the scope of the LLTF recommendation. The report will be issued in a NUREG together with the reports required for LLTF 3.1.1(1).

3.3.7(6) Determine whether ISI summary reposition submitted to the NRC, and revise the submission requirement and staff guidisposition of the reports, as appropriate the submission of the reports.	SME ance regarding	SCC	TBD 05/05	TBD 05/06	NRR (DE/DLPM)
--	-----------------------	-----	-------------------------	-------------------------	------------------

STATUS: The staff has been and wants to continue receiving the reports. Formal guidance for distribution and review of the reports will be developed and included in an update to the DLPM Handbook.

Category: Operating Experience

LLTF No.	LLTF Recommendation	Priority	Category	Target Date	Effectiveness Review	Lead Org.				
3.1.6(1)	Take the following steps to address the effectiveness of programs involving the review of operating experience: (1) evaluate the agency's capability to retain operating experience information and to perform longer-term operating experience reviews; (2) evaluate thresholds, criteria, and guidance for initiating generic communications; (3) evaluate opportunities for additional effectiveness and efficiency gains stemming from changes in organizational alignments (e.g., a centralized NRC operational experience "clearing house"); (4) evaluate the effectiveness of the Generic Issues Program; and (5) evaluate the effectiveness of the internal dissemination of operating experience to end users.	High	OpE	Complete	12/05	NRR(IROB) and RES				
foundation through (s effectiven	STATUS: This action required the evaluation of various aspects of the agency's operating experience program. This action item became the foundation of the charter for to Operating Experience Task Force (OETF) [ML031200535]. The OETF documented its evaluation of items (1) through (5) of the recommendation in its report dated 11/26/03 (ML033350063). The OETF made 23 recommendations for improving the effectiveness of the agency's operating experience program that are currently being implemented in accordance with the Operating Experience Action Plan dated 4/29/04 (ML041180024).									
3.1.6(2)	Update NRC operating experience guidance documents.	High	OpE	Complete	12/05	NRR (IROB) and RES				

LLTF No.	LLTF Recommendation	Priority	Category	Target Date	Effectiveness Review	Lead Org.				
had not b Experience issued in	STATUS: This LLTF recommendation is directed at the fact that Management Directive (MD) 8.5, "Analysis and Evaluation of Operational Data" had not been updated since the dissolution of the Analysis and Evaluation of Operational Data organization and the transfer of Operating Experience Program responsibilities to NRR and RES. However, NRR Office Instruction, LIC-401, "NRR Operating Experience Program," was issued in March 2003 to reflect the current practices of NRR's Operating Experience Section located in the Division of Inspection Program Management.									
As part of the Operating Experience Action Plan (ML041180024), which implemented the recommendations of the Operating Experience Task Force (ML033350063), the staff developed MD 8.7, "Reactor Operating Experience Program" (ML043570013, ML043570032) and Revision 1 to NRR Office Instruction, LIC-401 (ML043570075) as the framework for a new operating experience program. On December 22, 2004, the Office Directors of NRR and RES authorized the staff to begin implementation of MD 8.7, in its draft form for one year prior to its final issuance. Also, the Director of NRR authorized implementation of NRR Office Instruction, LIC-401, Rev. 1, in draft form pending completion of final editing and administrative processing.										
3.1.6(3)	Enhance the effectiveness of NRC processes for the collection, review, assessment, storage, retrieval, and dissemination of foreign operating experience.	High	OpE	Complete	12/05	NRR (IROB) and RES				
(IRS), wa screening NRR Offic enhanced	STATUS: At the time of this recommendation, foreign operating experience, such as that received through the IAEA Incident Reporting System (IRS), was only communicated and distributed in an ad hoc fashion. Today, it is a formal element of the NRC Operating Experience Section screening process and available on the internal web site. NRR Office Instruction LIC-401, "NRR Operating Experience Program," was issued on 3/31/03. This office instruction incorporates action to enhance the effectiveness and utilization of foreign operating experience within the Operating Experience Section. This process will be further enhanced upon implementation of the Operating Experience Action Plan (ML041180024). An overall effectiveness review will be performed approximately one year following implementation of the action plan.									
3.2.4(1)	Assess the scope and adequacy of requirements governing licensee review of operating experience.	High	OpE	Complete	12/05	NRR (IROB)				

LLTF No.	LLTF Recommendation	Priority	Category	Target Date	Effectiveness Review	Lead Org.				
Novembe operating procedure	STATUS: This assessment was performed as part of the Operating Experience Task Force Report (ML033350063), which was issued November 26, 2003. Section 5 of this report concludes that the scope and adequacy of the requirements related to the licensee review of operating experience are currently acceptable. Inspection Procedure 71152, "Identification and Resolution of Problems," is the key baseline procedure for evaluating licensee utilization of operating experience, and the Operating Task Force found that recent changes to that procedure (Change Notice 03-032) enhanced NRC baseline inspection efforts.									
3.3.1(1)	Provide training and reinforce expectations to NRC managers and staff members to address the following areas: (1) maintaining a questioning attitude in the conduct of inspection activities; (2) developing inspection insights stemming from the DBNPS [Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station] event relative to symptoms and indications of RCS [Reactor Coolant System] leakage; (3) communicating expectations regarding the inspection follow-up of the types of problems that occurred at DBNPS; and (4) maintaining an awareness of surroundings while conducting inspections. Training requirements should be evaluated to include the appropriate mix of formal training and on-the-job training commensurate with experience. Mechanisms should be established to perpetuate these training requirements.	High	OpE	Complete	05/05	NRR (IIPB)				

LLTF No.	LLTF Recommendation	Priority	Category	Target Date	Effectiveness Review	Lead Org.			
(http://gra importance attitude is failures; (3 importance	STATUS: The focus of this recommendation is on regional staff. The Inspection Program Branch developed a web-based training course (http://grape/readygo/nrr/readandsign/columbia/index.htm) based on the Columbia Space Shuttle Accident with the purpose to (1) illustrate the importance of maintaining a questioning attitude toward safety and the potential negative consequences that can occur when such a questioning attitude is lost or compromised; (2) provide examples of how issues concerning an organization's safety culture can lead to technological failures; (3) provide insights into investigation techniques that can be used to assess safety significant issues or events; and (4) illustrate the importance of a robust corrective action program and highlight the corrective action program weaknesses that contributed to the shuttle accident. The Regions provided documentation that all managers and inspectors required to complete the training on the Columbia Accident had								
	completed the training.								
3.3.4(2)	Strengthen inspection guidance pertaining to the periodic review of operating experience.	High	OpE	Complete	05/05	NRR(IROB and IIPB)			
STATUS: The inspection guidance pertaining to the periodic review of operating experience has been strengthened through the revision of Problem Identification and Resolution Inspection Procedure 71152 on 9/8/03. This revision specifically requires the review, on a sampling basis, of the issues identified through the past NRC generic communications. A fundamental goal of the NRC's reactor oversight process is to establish confidence that each licensee is detecting and correcting problems. This inspection procedure's purpose is to supplement the other baseline inspection procedures and the performance indicators to provide assurance that licensees adequately identify and correct problems. Issues identified through operating experience are an integral part of that assessment. The effectiveness of the procedure change will be assessed as part of the annual assessment of inspection procedures required pursuant to IMC 0307, "Reactor Oversight Self-Assessment Process" Section 04.08.									
3.3.5(1)	Maintain expertise in the subject areas by ensuring that NRC inspector training includes: (1) boric acid corrosion effects and control; and (2) PWSCC of nickel based alloy nozzles.	High	OpE	Complete	05/05	NRR (IIPB)			

LLTF No.	LLTF Recommendation	Priority	Category	Target Date	Effectiveness Review	Lead Org.		
STATUS: Training modules on these topics have been included in the web-based training described under 3.3.1(1). A training module on the "Effects of Corrosion," which includes both PWSCC and BACC, was completed by all current inspectors. Inspection Manual Chapter (IMC) 1245, "Inspector Qualification Program for the Office Of Nuclear Reactor Regulation Inspection Program," Appendix B, "General Proficiency-Level Training and Qualification Journal" (ML041820014), was revised to include an individual study activity requiring all qualifying inspectors to review the technical subject web-based training, which includes the training on PWSCC and BACC, as well as future web-based training items.								
3.1.2(1)	Revise NRC processes to require short-term and long-term follow-on verification of licensee actions to address significant generic communications (i.e., bulletins and GLs).	Medium	ОрЕ	Complete	12/05	NRR (IROB)		
STATUS: NRR Office Instruction LIC-503, "Generic Communications Affecting Nuclear Reactor Licensees," was revised on 7/23/04. This revision incorporates actions to address the requirement for short-term and long-term follow-on verification of licensee actions to address significant generic communications. As part of the development of a bulletin or generic letter, LIC-503 requires the staff to determine what actions will be necessary for closure of the issue.								
3.1.2(2)	Establish review guidance for accepting owners group and industry resolutions for generic communications and generic issues. Guidance should include provisions for verifying implementation of activities by individual owners groups and licensees.	Medium	OpE	Complete	06/05	NRR (DLPM, DE, DSSA)		

STATUS: NRR Office Instruction LIC-503, "Generic Communications Affecting Nuclear Reactor Licensees," was revised in July 2004 to include guidance regarding review of owners group and industry resolution to generic communications. Guidance for acceptance review was added to the sections addressing origin and closeout of generic communications. The revision requires the staff to establish review guidance for accepting owners group and industry proposals during the preparation of a generic communication and to establish criteria for accepting owners group and industry resolutions during closeout. NRR Office Instruction LIC-105, "Managing Regulatory Commitments Made by Licensees to the NRC," was revised in August 2004 to include guidance on accepting regulatory commitments made by third parties such as owners groups.

With regard to verification, LIC-503 requires an assessment of the method to be used for verifying licensee responses during the development of a generic communication. One method is by use of a temporary instruction (TI) and guidance for the preparation of a TI is provided. An alternative to a TI is verification of licensee responses through DLPM Project Managers' audits of regulatory commitments, conducted in accordance with LIC-105. LIC-105 provides detailed guidance for conducting the audits. LIC-105 also directs lead PMs for generic communications to provide guidance for verification of owners group or industry commitments in conducting periodic audits, if they were accepted in response to the generic communication, and directs PMs to review generic communication guidance in the selection of the audit sample.

The "Commitment Management Program," section of the DLPM Handbook provides an overview of DLPM management expectations and NRR staff guidance for handling regulatory commitments made by licensees for commercial nuclear reactors, and provides a link to LIC-105.

3.1.2(5)	Conduct follow-on verification of licensee actions associated with a sample of other significant generic communications, with emphasis on those involving generic communication actions that are primarily programmatic in nature.	Medium	OpE	11/04 Complete	Not Required	NRR (IROB, IIPB)
----------	--	--------	-----	-------------------	--------------	---------------------

LLTF No.	LLTF Recommendation	Priority	Category	Target Date	Effectiveness Review	Lead Org.
-------------	---------------------	----------	----------	----------------	-------------------------	--------------

STATUS: A task force performed a screening process of candidate generic communications in 07/03 using criteria approved by management. Selection of generic communications and focus areas was completed in 11/03 following management review and input on priorities. Verification plan was presented to NRR LT in 12/03 and revised to address comments. Five focus areas were initially identified. All but one (Service Water) were being addressed by other initiatives. Through TI 2515/159 (issued 7/29/04) a sample review of three plants in each region was conducted to verify licensee actions in response to GL 89-13, "Service Water Problems Affecting Safety-Related Equipment." In addition, a follow-up to RIS 2004-05, "Grid Reliability and the Impact on Plant Risk and the Operability of Offsite Power," was conducted through TI 2515/156 to determine if the RIS accomplished its purpose of raising industry awareness of the potential impacts of grid operation on nuclear power plants.

The staff concluded that GL 89-13 was generally effective in addressing issues associated with service water systems, although some isolated deficiencies exist and licensees continue to address operational issues. The staff believes that the effectiveness of this GL was enhanced by the comprehensive follow-on NRC inspections after the issuance of the GL and by the ongoing inspection program oversight. Additionally, TI2515/159 was an effective method for assessing GL 89-13 effectiveness.

The staff concluded that RIS 2004-05 was effective in informing licensees of NRC expectations in the area of offsite power in a timely manner. Additionally, TI 2515/156 was an effective method of collecting additional operational data.

3.1.3(2) Conduct follow-on verification of licensee actions pertaining to a sample of resolved GIs.	Medium	ОрЕ	12/04 Complete	Not Required	NRR (DLPM, IIPB)
---	--------	-----	------------------------------	--------------	------------------------

STATUS: The staff obtained a list of all resolved GIs, which indicated that 20 GIs have been resolved since 1983. All but three of these were resolved by issuance of generic communications (GCs). One remaining issue was resolved by plant-specific backfits, and two were closed without further action. Thus, a follow-on verification of resolved GIs would essentially require a verification of GCs.

However, a follow-on verification of GCs was conducted separately in response to LLTF Item 3.1.2(5), which selected GCs for review by a screening process of all GCs that considered safety significance, risk significance, functional area and other factors, and included input from the NRR, RES and Region Offices in establishing priority for follow-up. Only two of the 19 GCs used to resolve GIs matched those in the final priority list determined for LLTF Item 3.1.2(5), and one of these is the subject of one of the TIs being used to close out LLTF 3.1.2(5). It was concluded that the response to LLTF 3.1.3(2) would be effectively implemented by the completion of LLTF 3.1.2(5) and a separate sampling activity was unnecessary.

LLTF No.	LLTF Recommendation	Priority	Category	Target Date	Effectiveness Review	Lead Org.
3.2.3(1)	Review a sample of NRC safety evaluations of owners' group submissions to identify whether intended actions that supported the bases of the NRC's conclusions were effectively implemented.	Medium	OpE	12/04 Complete	Not Required	NRR (DLPM, IIPB)

STATUS: The basis for this recommendation was a 1993 request from the NRC to pressurized-water reactor owners groups to provide a safety evaluation (SE) documenting why no unreviewed safety question existed for Alloy 600 nozzle cracking. The Babcock & Wilcox (B&W) Owners Group provided a report that included a statement that B&W plants had developed plans to visually inspect control rod drive nozzles for boric acid deposits. The applicable commitment was not effectively incorporated at Davis-Besse.

In general, the NRC staff does not accept owners' group commitments on behalf of licensees, and it appears that the particular example cited as the basis for Davis-Besse LLTF recommendation 3.2.3(1) was unique. The DLPM lead project managers for each of the owners' groups, through individual research and discussion with their respective vendors, were unable to identify any other NRC SEs of owners group submissions related to a generic issue that required an action to be implemented by industry. The NRR technical staff also stated that they did not know of any documentation of this nature.

Therefore, after a thorough search by the owners group lead project managers, vendors, and NRR technical staff, it was concluded that other SEs similar to the one described in the recommendation are not available, and LLTF 3.2.3(1) was closed.

3.2.3(2)	Develop general inspection guidance for the periodic verification of the implementation of owners groups' commitments made on behalf of their members.	Medium	OpE	Complete	06/05	NRR (DLPM, IIPB)
----------	--	--------	-----	----------	-------	------------------------

	LLTF No.	LLTF Recommendation	Priority	Category	Target Date	Effectiveness Review	Lead Org
--	-------------	---------------------	----------	----------	----------------	-------------------------	-------------

STATUS: NRR Office Instruction LIC-503, "Generic Communications Affecting Nuclear Reactor Licensees," was revised in July 2004 to include guidance regarding review of owners group and industry resolution to generic communications. Guidance for acceptance review was added to the sections addressing origin and closeout of generic communications. The revision requires the staff to establish review guidance for accepting owners group and industry proposals during the preparation of a generic communication and to establish criteria for accepting owners group and industry resolutions during closeout. NRR Office Instruction LIC-105, "Managing Regulatory Commitments Made by Licensees to the NRC," was revised in August 2004 to include guidance on accepting regulatory commitments made by third parties such as owners groups.

With regard to verification, LIC-503 requires an assessment of the method to be used for verifying licensee responses during the development of a generic communication. One method is by use of a temporary instruction (TI) and guidance for the preparation of a TI is provided. An alternative to a TI is verification of licensee responses through DLPM Project Managers' audits of regulatory commitments, conducted in accordance with LIC-105. LIC-105 provides detailed guidance for conducting the audits. LIC-105 also directs lead PMs for generic communications to provide guidance for verification of owners group or industry commitments in conducting periodic audits, if they were accepted in response to the generic communication, and directs PMs to review generic communication guidance in the selection of the audit sample.

The "Commitment Management Program," section of the DLPM Handbook provides an overview of DLPM management expectations and NRR staff guidance for handling regulatory commitments made by licensees for commercial nuclear reactors, and provides a link to LIC-105.

affected when making unrelated changes to processes, guidance, etc. (e.g., deleting inspection procedures that were developed in response to a generic issue).
--

STATUS: Language was added to IMC 0040, "Preparing, Revising and Issuing Documents for the NRC Inspection Manual" to ensure that inspection requirements are not removed that were previously inserted to emphasize licensee performance in areas identified in a Generic Letter or Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) Bulletin (IMC 0040, Change Notice 04-003, ADAMS Accession # ML040690184, dated 2/2/04). This is a permanent modification to the NRC Inspection Manual, applicable to all changes.

The revised Manual Chapter requires that the previous four (4) years of changes be reviewed. An effectiveness review will be conducted in about one year to assess whether this is a sufficient period of time to consider.

LLTF No.	LLTF Recommendation	Priority	Category	Target Date	Effectiveness Review	Lead Org.		
3.1.3(1)	Evaluate, and revise as necessary, the guidance for proposing candidate Gls.	Low	OpE	10/04 Complete	05/06	RES		
STATUS: The staff has completed an evaluation of the guidance for proposing candidate Generic Issues (GIs) in Management Directive (MD) 6.4, "Generic Issues Program." A revision of the Handbook 6.4 to address the DBLLTF recommendation to enhance and simplify the process was completed by 10/04 and inter-office/regional review and comments were obtained in accordance with MD 1.1, "NRC Management Directive								

was completed by 10/04 and inter-office/regional review and comments were obtained in accordance with MD 1.1, "NRC Management Directive System." That action met the intent of DBLLTF recommendation and closed out this task.

procedures, as appropriate.

STATUS: Review of canceled procedures is in progress to determine if there are any deleted inspection procedures that have continuing applicability. Any inspection procedures that have continuing applicability will be restored to the IMC 2515 Supplemental Inspection Program (Appendix B). This will make the IPs available to inspectors for use during any supplemental inspections required as a result of poor licensee performance.

Category: Inspection Programs

LLTF No.	LLTF Recommendation	Priority	Category	Target Date	Effectiveness Review	Lead Org.
3.2.5(2)	Revise inspection guidance to provide assessments of: (1) the safety implications of long-standing, unresolved problems; (2) corrective actions phased in over several years or refueling outages; and (3) deferred modifications.	High	Insp	Complete	05/05	NRR (IIPB)

STATUS: Inspection Procedure (IP) 71152, "Identification and Resolution of Problems," was revised to require the resident inspector to perform a screening review of each item entered into the corrective action program. The intent of this review is to be alert to conditions such as repetitive equipment failures or human performance issues that might warrant additional follow-up through other baseline inspection procedures. IP 71152 was also revised to require a semi-annual review to identify trends that might indicate the existence of a more significant safety issue. Included within the scope of this review are repetitive or closely related issues that may have been documented by the licensee outside the normal corrective action program, such as in trend reports or performance indicators, major equipment problem lists, repetitive and/or rework maintenance lists, departmental problem/challenges lists, system health reports, quality assurance audit/surveillance reports, self-assessment reports, maintenance rule assessments, or corrective action backlog lists.

To address the issue of deferred modifications, the staff revised IP 71111.15, "Operability Evaluations." The objective of this procedure is to review operability evaluations affecting mitigating systems and barrier integrity to ensure that operability is properly justified and the component or system remains available, such that no unrecognized increase in risk has occurred. The procedure was revised to include deferred modifications as one of the areas an inspector can assess to ensure that structures, systems, and components are capable of performing their design function.

An effectiveness review will be conducted in about one year to assess whether the revisions to IP 71152 and 71111.15 were effective in assessing: (1) the safety implications of long-standing, unresolved problems; (2) corrective actions phased in over several years or refueling outages; and (3) deferred modifications.

3.3.5(4)	Develop guidance to address the impacts of IMC 0350 implementation on the regional organizational	High	Insp	Complete	05/05	NRR (IIPB)
	alignment and resource allocation.					

LLTF No.	LLTF Recommendation	Priority	Category	Target Date	Effectiveness Review	Lead Org.			
STATUS: The Inspection Program Branch completed an evaluation of the IMC 0350, "Oversight of Operating Reactor Facilities in a Shutdown Condition with Performance Problems," process in June 2003, (ML031890873). It identified the need for specifically budgeting resources for IMC 0350 inspections and providing prescriptive inspection guidelines for the process. The budget estimate was increased for FY2005 and beyond (ML033010385) to account for one IMC 0350 plant per year. IMC 0350 was revised in December 2003, to provide additional inspection guidelines.									
3.3.7(2)	Establish guidance to ensure that decisions to allow deviations from agency guidelines and recommendations issued in generic communications are adequately documented.	High	Insp	Complete	05/05	NRR (DLPM)			
DLPM Ha other NRF regions. Office Ins review an	Guidance on documenting decision making and a training indbook update and placed on the Project Managers web R divisions by e-mail, and the Deputy EDO for Reactor Protruction LIC-503, "Generic Communications Affecting Nucleoseout of generic communications. A revision in 07/06 from generic communications.	site in 02/03. ograms forward clear Reactor L	In 04/03, the NR ded it by memora icensees," issue	R Director distri andum (ML0303 d in 06/03, cont	buted the training 300106) to other of ains guidance on	package to offices and the documenting			
3.2.5(1)	Develop inspection guidance to assess scheduler influences on outage work scope.	Medium	Insp	Complete	05/05	NRR (IIPB)			
Therefore dated 2/2/population and continactions to	STATUS: Operability of plant structures, systems, and components was considered to be the fundamental operative regulatory requirement. Therefore, Inspection Procedure (IP) 71111.15, "Operability Evaluations," was modified (IP 71111.15, Change Notice 04-003, ML040690184, dated 2/2/04) to include deferred modifications (potentially deferred due to outage schedular pressure as well as other reasons) as part of the population of items from which to sample for the adequacy of a licensee's process for ensuring operability of all plant systems by surveillance and continuous monitoring. In addition, existing IP 71111.20, "Refueling and Other Outage Activities" assesses the adequacy of the licensee's actions to mitigate and control the changes in plant risk during outage activities. The effectiveness of the regional implementation of these inspection procedures are evaluated annually and the results are documented in the annual Reactor Oversight Process self assessment SECY								

paper.

LLTF No.	LLTF Recommendation	Priority	Category	Target Date	Effectiveness Review	Lead Org.
3.3.1(2)	Develop inspection guidance to assess repetitive or multiple TS action statement entries, as well as, the radiation dose implications associated with repetitive tasks.	Medium	Insp	Complete	05/05	NRR (IIPB)

STATUS: IMC 2515, Appendix D, Plant Status, was revised in 05/04 to evaluate licensee actions when operating with multiple or repetitive or unplanned Technical Specification (TS) action statements, including the inspection guidance for radiation dose implications associated with repetitive tasks. These procedure changes have been reviewed and commented on by the regional staff and have been approved for implementation.

3.3.3(1) As an additional level of assurance, identify alternative mechanisms to independently assess plant performance as a means of self-assessing NRC processes. Once identified, the feasibility of such mechanisms should be determined.	Medium	Insp	12/04 Complete	05/06	NRR (IIPB)
---	--------	------	------------------------------	-------	------------

STATUS: The staff researched plant assessments performed by independent parties and identified two (Institute of Nuclear Power Operations (INPO) and International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) that could be used as a means of self-assessing the NRC inspection and plant performance assessment process. Inspection Manual Chapter (IMC) 0305, "Operating Reactor Assessment Program," was revised on December 21, 2004 (ML043560249), to include consideration of these independent assessments during the mid-cycle and end-of-cycle assessment preparations. During the assessment preparations, the staff will determine if there are possible plant performance deficiencies not identified by the NRC, and if so, make a determination if baseline inspection resources should be directed to evaluate the possible deficiencies. In addition, this information will be assessed during the annual reactor oversight process self-assessment to determine if any process changes are warranted.

LLTF No.	LLTF Recommendation	Priority	Category	Target Date	Effectiveness Review	Lead Org.
3.3.4(1)	Review inspection guidance pertaining to refueling outage activities to determine whether the level of inspection effort and guidance are sufficient given the typically high level of licensee activity during relatively short outage periods. The impact of extended operating cycles on the opportunity to inspect inside containment and the lack of inspection focus on passive components should be reviewed. This review should also determine whether the guidance and level of effort are sufficient for inspecting other plant areas which are difficult to access or where access is routinely restricted.	Medium	Insp	Complete	05/05	NRR (IIPB)

STATUS: Inspection Procedure (IP) 71111.20 "Refueling and Other Outage Activities" was revised to include containment walkdowns and consideration of walkdowns in other restricted areas (IP 71111.20, Change Notice 04-011, ADAMS Accession #ML041280018, dated 5/6/04). In addition, the inspection of passive component integrity is being increased in response to DBLLTF items 3.3.2.1 and 3.3.4.3, which enhanced inspection of licensee inservice inspection activities, including boric acid corrosion control. The effectiveness of the regional implementation of these inspection procedures are evaluated annually and the results are documented in the annual Reactor Oversight Process self assessment SECY paper.

3.3.4(4)	Revise IMC 0350 to permit implementation of IMC 0350 without first having established that a significant performance problem exists, as defined by the ROP.	Medium	Insp	Complete	05/05	NRR (IIPB)
	,					

STATUS: IMC 0350 was revised 12/31/03 to state that a plant can be considered for oversight under the IMC 0350 process when a significant operational event has occurred. The next revision to IMC 0350 will revise the title to reflect this change.

LLTF No.	LLTF Recommendation	Priority	Category	Target Date	Effectiveness Review	Lead Org.
3.3.4(5)	Review the range of NRC baseline inspections and plant assessment processes, as well as other NRC programs, to determine whether sufficient programs and processes are in place to identify and appropriately disposition the types of problems experienced at DBNPS. Additionally, provide more structured and focused inspections to assess licensee employee concerns programs and safety conscious work environment (SCWE).	Medium	Insp	12/04 Complete	TBD	NRR (IIPB)

STATUS: The Inspection Program Branch (IIPB) reviewed the NRC baseline inspection program and plant assessment processes as part of the annual Reactor Oversight Process (ROP) self-assessment in April 2004, and also reviewed completed DBLLTF items related to the ROP. Based on these reviews, the staff has enhanced the baseline inspection program by (1) requiring the screening of all licensee corrective action items, (2) performing a semi-annual trend review focused on recurring equipment issues, (3) requiring containment walkdowns during outages, (4) reviewing deferred modifications, and (5) evaluating licensee actions when operating with multiple, repetitive, or unplanned technical specification action statements. The staff has enhanced the plant assessment process by (1) strengthening the oversight of plants in extended shutdowns, (2) requiring more complete documentation of important staff decisions, and (3) budgeting resources for Inspection Manual Chapter 0350 plants. The staff has also enhanced the ROP by (1) requiring training on boric acid corrosion, stress corrosion cracking, and the importance of a questioning attitude, and (2) requiring annual refresher training on different aspects of the ROP. Based on these actions, the first half of this DBLLTF action item is complete.

Regarding the second half of this item, on August 30, 2004, the Commission issued a Staff Requirements Memorandum (SRM) that disapproved an option to develop an inspection process to systematically assess safely culture. Instead the SRM requires the staff to enhance the ROP treatment of cross-cutting issues to more fully address safety culture. The SRM noted that the staff should rely on inspector observations and other indicators already available to the NRC, should develop tools that allow inspectors to rely on more objective findings, should consider including enhanced problem identification and resolution initiatives, and should ensure that the inspectors are properly trained in the area of safety culture. Based on this direction from the Commission, the staff will work with the appropriate stakeholders to provide more structured inspection and guidance in the area of safety culture, which encompasses SCWE. As a result of the Commission's direction, the DBLLTF item to provide more focused inspections on employee concerns programs and SCWE has been superceded and expanded upon and will be tracked separately in response to the SRM.

LLTF No.	LLTF Recommendation	Priority	Category	Target Date	Effectiveness Review	Lead Org.
3.3.7(1)	Reinforce expectations for the implementation of guidance in the PM handbook for PM site visits, coordination between PMs and resident inspectors, and PM assignment duration. Reinforce expectations provided to PMs and their supervisors regarding the questioning of information involving plant operation and conditions. Also, strengthen the guidance related to the license amendment review process to emphasize the need to consider current system conditions, reliability, and performance data in SERs. In order to improve the licensing decision-making process, the NRC should strengthen its guidance regarding the verification of information provided by licensees.	Medium	Insp	Complete	05/05	NRR (DLPM)

STATUS: Several of these recommendations are addressed, at least in part, by existing procedures.

The "Site Visits" section of the DLPM Handbook provides guidance to PMs on activities to be conducted during site visits. The "Morning Calls" section discusses interactions with Region personnel.

Office Instruction LIC-100, Rev. 1 (issued 01/04) provides guidance on considering current conditions during licensing action reviews.

Office Instruction LIC-101 provides guidance on the amendment review process and use of Requests for Additional Information for obtaining information.

IP 71005 (issued 08/03) provides a mechanism for PMs to obtain region inspector support in obtaining plant information.

In addition, a memo from the DLPM Director to the DLPM staff (6/25/04) provided clarification of management expectations for PM site visits, coordination between PMs and resident inspectors, PM assignment duration, questioning of information, and verifying information provided by licensees. This also has been discussed at division and management meetings. The DLPM handbook and appropriate Office Instructions will be updated to include this additional guidance by 05/05.

APP. F	Conduct an effectiveness review of the actions taken in response to past lessons-learned reviews.	Medium	Insp	Complete	05/06	NRR(IIPB)

LLTF No.	LLTF Recommendation	Priority	Category	Target Date	Effectiveness Review	Lead Org.				
required by recommer program. EDO has	STATUS: A task force conducted the recommended review and issued its report on 8/2/04 (ML042110287). This completed the scope of work required by this LLTF recommendation. The task force found that previous lessons learned reviews were thorough and produced good recommendations, but these were not always effectively implemented. It recommended development of an agency-wide corrective action program. This recommendation was accepted by management and endorsed by the Commission in its 12/15/04 SRM (ML043500639). The EDO has formed a team to develop a corrective action program that addresses the task force report and the SRM. The program will be implemented by December 31, 2005.									
3.3.2(2)	Revise the overall PI&R inspection approach such that issues similar to those experienced at DBNPS are reviewed and assessed. Enhance the guidance for these inspections to prescribe the format of information that is screened when determining which specific problems will be reviewed.	Low	Insp	Complete	05/05	NRR (IIPB)				
Problems, significant	The Inspection Program Branch issued a revision in 09/0," to include an inspection requirement to perform a semisafety issue. Training to inform the inspection staff of the calls between the regions and the program office. The	annual review iis change to IF	to identify trends P 71152 was con	that might indicated using w	cate the existence eb-based training	e of a more				
3.3.2(3)	Provide enhanced Inspection Manual Chapter guidance to pursue issues and problems identified during plant status reviews.	Low	Insp	Complete	05/05	NRR (IIPB)				
review of	STATUS: IP 71152, "Problem Identification and Resolution," was revised in 09/03 to require the resident inspector to perform a screening review of each item entered into the corrective action program. The intent of this review is to be alert to conditions such as repetitive equipment failures or human performance issues that might warrant additional follow-up through other baseline inspection procedures.									
3.3.2(4)	Revise inspection guidance to provide for the longer-term follow-up of issues that have not progressed to a finding.	Low	Insp	Complete	05/05	NRR (IIPB)				

LLTF No.	LLTF Recommendation	Priority	Category	Target Date	Effectiveness Review	Lead Org.			
	: IP 71152, "Problem Identification and Resolution," was reconducted by the resident inspectors, biennial reviews of lo								
3.3.3(2)	Perform a sample review of the plant assessments conducted under the interim PPR [Plant Performance Review] assessment process (1998-2000) to determine whether there are plant safety issues that have not been adequately assessed.	Low	Insp	Complete	05/05 Not Required	NRR (IIPB)			
	STATUS: An audit of eight PPRs (2 PPR per Region) was completed in 06/04. It did not identify any issues that had not been adequately addressed. No additional follow-up is necessary.								
3.3.4(6)	Provide ROP refresher training to managers and staff members.	Low	Insp	Complete	05/05	NRR (IIPB)			
Meetings	L Based on the Davis Besse LLTF Report, ROP Refresher in 05/04. The topic of the ROP Refresher Training session The slide presentation can be found at ADAMS (ML04132)	n was an indiv							
include a Spring Re training, a	The IMC1245, "Inspector Qualification Program For the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation Inspection Program" (ML04180012), was revised to include a requirement for annual ROP Refresher Training. IMC 1245 requires that topics for ROP refresher training will be solicited during the Spring Regional Inspector Counterpart Meetings. The IMC 1245 Management Steering Group will select the topic(s), determine the method of training, and determine the timing of the training. The NRR Inspection Program Branch will be responsible for ensuring the training is developed and implemented during the fall of each year.								
3.3.5(2)	Reinforce IMC 0102 expectations regarding regional manager visits to reactor sites.	Low	Insp	Complete	05/05	NRR (IIPB)			

LLTF No.	LLTF Recommendation	Priority	Category	Target Date	Effectiveness Review	Lead Org.			
0102 exp which ou Project (I Deputy F	STATUS: During the July 2003 Regional Division Director Counterpart Meeting, the Inspection Program Branch reinforced the IMC 0102 expectations for site visits. During a general discussion of reactor oversight process topics, a hand out was distributed which outlined the site visit responsibilities for the senior resident and resident inspectors, each line manager, Division of Reactor Project (DRP) managers, the Operator Licensing manager, the DRP Division Director or Deputy, and the Regional Administrator or Deputy Regional Administrator. The site visit responsibilities were discussed and are outlined in detail in IMC 0102,"Oversight and Objectivity of Insectors and Examiners at Reactor Facilities,"								
3.3.5(3)	Establish measurements for resident inspector staffing, including the establishment of program expectations to satisfy minimum staffing levels.	Low	Insp	12/04 Complete	05/05 Not Required	NRR (IIPB)			
resident have been maintaining 90% will as an inpube an on	STATUS: A "Site Staffing" metric (ML032410588) was developed in 12/03, with regional input, to monitor gaps in permanent resident and senior resident inspector staffing at reactor sites. This metric was pilot tested in calendar year 2004, adjustments have been made based on the results of the pilot, and a revised metric was issued to the regions in 12/04. A criterion of maintaining at least 90% staffing program-wide has been established for this metric. In addition, any single site that falls below 90% will be specifically evaluated as part of the Reactor Oversight Process self-assessment process. This new metric will be used as an input to the annual Reactor Oversight Process self-assessment process. Since the effectiveness review for this change will be an ongoing assessment of usefulness as the metric is used as an input to the process, no additional effectiveness review is required.								
3.3.7(5)	Fully implement Office Letter 900, "Managing Commitments Made by Licensees to the NRC," or revise the guidance if it is determined that the audit of licensee's programs is not required. Further, determine whether the periodic report on commitment changes submitted by licensees to the NRC should continue to be submitted and reviewed.	Low	Insp	Complete	05/05	NRR (DLPM)			

LLTF No.	LLTF Recommendation	Priority	Category	Target Date	Effectiveness Review	Lead
140.	LETT Recommendation	Thomas	Category	Date	IVENIEW	Org.

STATUS: Office Letter 900 was revised and incorporated into NRR Office Instruction LIC-105, "Managing Commitments Made by Licensees to the NRC," which was issued on 05/27/03. LIC-105 requires periodic audits (every 3 years) by the DLPM Project Managers (PMs) that consist of two major parts. The first is a verification of the licensee's implementation of NRC commitments by reviewing a sample of commitments. LIC-105 provides criteria for selection of the sample. The second is a verification of the licensee's program for managing changes to commitments. Program controls will be verified to be consistent with industry guidelines in Nuclear Energy Institute document NEI 99-04, which has been found by the NRC (SECY-00-045, dated 2/22/00) to provide acceptable guidance for managing regulatory commitments. NEI 99-04 also directs licensees to submit periodic reports of changes to commitments. This part of the audit will be done through additional samples of changes to commitments. It also includes a sample to confirm that the licensee's program ensures commitments are maintained following initial implementation. The results of the audit will be documented in a report from the PM.

In the discussion of the basis for this recommendation, the LLTF also noted that the DLPM handbook did not reference the office letter or discuss requirements for periodic audits of licensees' commitment management programs. The DLPM Handbook has been revised and the sections on "Site Visits" and "Commitment Management Program" reinforce the requirement for the periodic audits by PMs, and provides a link to LIC-105.

The intent of the LLTF recommendation has been met by the issuance of the guidance documents. A follow-up evaluation will be done after approximately one year of conducting audits to assess whether the guidance is being properly implemented and whether the periodic sampling technique provides reasonable assurance that licensee programs are effective. The guidance will be revised, if necessary, to address the evaluation findings.

Category: Barrier Integrity

LLTF No.	LLTF Recommendation	Priority	Category	Target Date	Effectiveness Review	Lead Org.
3.1.5(1)	Determine whether PWR plants should install on-line enhanced leakage detection systems on critical plant components, which would be capable of detecting leakage rates of significantly less than 1 gpm.	High	BI	03/05	05/06	RES (DET) for research report NRR/RES for remaining actions

STATUS: This recommendation focuses on determining if improvements can be made in leakage detection requirements. To accomplish this, a comprehensive review and evaluation of plant experiences and current leakage detection systems will be performed by updating a similar study that was performed by Argonne National Laboratory (ANL) in the late 1980's. There are three main tasks associated with this effort. The first task is an assessment of the leakage associated with the degradation of various reactor coolant pressure boundary components. The second task is a review of leakage operating experience by developing a database of leakage events. The third task is an evaluation of the capabilities of various leakage detection systems.

ANL submitted a draft report in 05/04. The RES and NRR staffs reviewed this draft report and provided comments to ANL. The final report was published as NUREG/CR-6861 in12/04.

A working group consisting of members of the NRR and RES staff has been assembled to address this recommendation. This working group will evaluate whether PWR plants should install on-line enhanced leakage detection systems based on the information contained in the ANL report as well as other pertinent plant information. This evaluation will be completed and a recommendation will be provided to NRC management by 03/31/05. Once management approval is obtained, action on this LLTF recommendation will be complete. A decision to require plants to install new equipment will require additional regulatory actions that are beyond the scope of this LLTF recommendation.

LLTF No.	LLTF Recommendation	Priority	Category	Target Date	Effectiveness Review	Lead Org.
3.2.1(1)	Improve the requirements pertaining to RCS unidentified leakage and RCPB leakage to ensure that they are sufficient to: (1) provide the ability to discriminate between RCS unidentified leakage and RCPB leakage; and (2) provide reasonable assurance that plants are not operated at power with RCPB leakage.	High	BI	TBD	TBD	RES (DET) for research report NRR/RES for remaining actions

STATUS: This item is being implemented in conjunction with LLTF 3.1.5(1) above. The working group will use the ANL report as a basis for recommendations for improving leakage requirements. These recommendations, including an evaluation of the appropriate regulatory process for implementing the changes, will be presented to management by 03/31/05. Actions to implement will commence upon management approval. The date for final implementation will depend on the regulatory process selected.

3.2.1(2)	Develop inspection guidance pertaining to RCS unidentified leakage that includes action levels to trigger increasing levels of NRC interaction with licensees in order to assess licensee actions in response to increasing levels of unidentified RCS leakage. The action level criteria should identify adverse trends in RCS unidentified leakage that could indicate RCPB degradation.	High	ВІ	01/05 Complete	05/05 05/06	NRR (IIPB)
----------	--	------	----	------------------------------	---------------------------	------------

STATUS: IMC 2515, Appendix D, "Plant Status," was revised in 05/04 to require inspectors to trend leak rates and monitor unidentified leakage for adverse trends, and, if any are noted, to inform licensee management and regional management. The guidance also requires inspectors to review licensee procedures and action plans to identify source(s) of RCS unidentified leakages when RCS leakages are suspected and to review licensee procedures for action steps, as unidentified leakage approaches licensee administrative limits or technical specifications allowed values.

IMC 2515, Appendix D, was revised again in 01/05 to provide guidance and techniques necessary for assessing potential adverse trends and action levels in response to increasing levels of RCS unidentified leakage. The effectiveness review was deferred to provide an adequate period of time to use the new guidance.

LLTF No.	LLTF Recommendation	Priority	Category	Target Date	Effectiveness Review	Lead Org.
3.2.1(3)	Inspect plant alarm response procedure requirements for leakage monitoring systems to assess whether they provide adequate guidance for the identification of RCPB leakage.	High	BI	Complete	05/05	NRR (IIPB)

STATUS: To address this recommendation, inspection guidance has been revised to verify that licensees have programs and processes in place to (1) monitor plant-specific instrumentation that could indicate potential RCS leakage, (2) meet existing requirements related to degraded or inoperable leakage detection instruments, (3) use an inventory balance check when there is unidentified leakage (4) take appropriate corrective action for adverse trends in unidentified leak rates, and (5) pay particular attention to changes in unidentified leakage. The revised procedures include Inspection Manual Chapter 2515 Appendix D (Plant Status Review), Inspection Procedure 71111.22, and Inspection Procedure 71111.08. These revisions were issued in 05/04 and inspections have commenced. The assessment of the adequacy of licensee procedure requirements will be completed as part of the annual ROP self assessment process.

3.3.3(3)	Continue ongoing efforts to review and improve the usefulness of the barrier integrity PIs [Performance Indicators]. These review efforts should evaluate the feasibility of establishing a PI which tracks the number, duration, and rate of primary system leaks that have been identified but not corrected.	High	ВІ	05/05	05/06	NRR(DIPM)
----------	---	------	----	-------	-------	-----------

STATUS: The review and improvement of PIs is on ongoing process, which is performed by a working group that includes NRC and industry representatives. The first part of LLTF 3.3.3(3) is satisfied by the continuation of this ongoing process. The NRC staff has provided the industry group with a proposal to improve the usefulness of the current barrier integrity PI.

The second part of the recommendation requires a feasibility evaluation of establishing an additional PI for tracking number, duration and rate of primary system leaks. If determined to be feasible, a proposed PI will be developed and submitted to the PI working group.

LLTF No.	LLTF Recommendation	Priority	Category	Target Date	Effectiveness Review	Lead Org.
3.3.4(9)	Review PWR plant technical specifications to identify plants that have non-standard RCPB leakage requirements.	High	ВІ	Complete	Not Required	NRR (IROB)
	Pursue changes to those technical specifications to make them consistent among all plants.					

STATUS: Plants with nonstandard RCPB technical specifications (TSs) were identified in a 07/03 study (ML031980277). The study indicated that only one plant did not have TSs for RCPB leakage. Subsequently, this plant submitted a technical specification change request that will bring it into alignment with the standard TSs. This change was approved on 5/7/04. Now all PWR TSs have RCPB leakage limits consistent with standard TSs. The requirements for shutdown, if leakage exists, are not identical, but all plants require appropriate conservative action to place the plant in cold shutdown within the time frame of the standard TSs.

3.3.7(3) Evaluate the adequacy of analysis methods involving the assessment of risk associated with passive component degradation, including the integration of the results of such analyses into the regulatory decision-making process.	Medium	BI	05/05	05/06	RES
---	--------	----	-------	-------	-----

STATUS: A working group consisting of members of the NRR and RES staff has been assembled to address this recommendation. This working group will produce a report that describes the methods for assessing risk associated with passive component degradation, evaluates the adequacy of these methods, and evaluates the integration of these risk results into regulatory decision-making processes. For any weaknesses or gaps identified, recommendations for possible improvements will be made. This work associated with LLTF 3.3.7.(3) will be completed with the issuance of the report. Any follow-on work performed as a result of the report will be performed outside the scope of this Davis Besse LLTF working group.