

## §210.60

this chapter, §§210.4 and 210.5 of this part, and any protective order issued by the administrative law judge under §210.34 of this part.

### **§210.60 Designating an investigation “more complicated” for the purpose of adjudicating a motion for temporary relief.**

At the time the Commission determines to institute an investigation and provisionally accepts a motion for temporary relief pursuant to §210.58, the Commission may designate the investigation “more complicated” pursuant to §210.22(c) for the purpose of obtaining up to 60 additional days to adjudicate the motion for temporary relief. In the alternative, after the motion for temporary relief is referred to the administrative law judge for an initial determination under §210.66(a), the administrative law judge may issue an order, sua sponte or on motion, designating the investigation “more complicated” for the purpose of obtaining additional time to adjudicate the motion for temporary relief. Such order shall constitute a final determination of the Commission, and notice of the order shall be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. As required by section 337(e)(2) of the Tariff Act of 1930, the notice shall state the reasons that the temporary relief phase of the investigation was designated “more complicated.” The “more complicated” designation may be conferred by the Commission or the presiding administrative law judge pursuant to this paragraph on the basis of the complexity of the issues raised in the motion for temporary relief or the responses thereto, or for other good cause shown.

### **§210.61 Discovery and compulsory process.**

The presiding administrative law judge shall set all discovery deadlines. The administrative law judge’s authority to compel discovery includes discovery relating to the following issues:

- (a) Any matter relevant to the motion for temporary relief and the responses thereto, including the issues of bonding by the complainant; and
- (b) The issues the Commission considers pursuant to sections 337 (e)(1),

## 19 CFR Ch. II (4–1–03 Edition)

(f)(1), and (j)(3) of the Tariff Act of 1930, viz.,

(1) The appropriate form of relief (notwithstanding the form requested in the motion for temporary relief),

(2) Whether the public interest precludes that form of relief, and

(3) The amount of the bond to be posted by the respondents to secure importations or sales of the subject imported merchandise while the temporary relief order is in effect. The administrative law judge may, but is not required to, make findings on the issues specified in sections 337 (e)(1), (f)(1), or (j)(3) of the Tariff Act of 1930. Evidence and information obtained through discovery on those issues will be used by the parties and considered by the Commission in the context of the parties’ written submissions on remedy, the public interest, and bonding by respondents, which are filed with the Commission pursuant to §210.67(b).

### **§210.62 Evidentiary hearing.**

An opportunity for a hearing in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act and §210.36 of this part will be provided in connection with every motion for temporary relief. If a hearing is conducted, the presiding administrative law judge may, but is not required to, take evidence concerning the issues of remedy, the public interest, and bonding by respondents under section 337 (e)(1), (f)(1), and (j)(3) of the Tariff Act of 1930.

### **§210.63 Proposed findings and conclusions and briefs.**

The administrative law judge shall determine whether and, if so, to what extent the parties shall be permitted to file proposed findings of fact, proposed conclusions of law, or briefs under §210.40 concerning the issues involved in adjudication of the motion for temporary relief.

### **§210.64 Interlocutory appeals.**

There will be no interlocutory appeals to the Commission under §210.24 on any matter connected with a motion for temporary relief that is decided by an administrative law judge