## **Conflict or Bias Disclosure Form**

Identification	
Name of Individual or Corporate Entity:	Grady Calhoun
Name of Employer:	NIOSH/OCAS
Today's Date:	1/11/2007
Sites/Facilities addressed on this form:	Idaho National Laboratory
Questions to Identify a Conflict or Bias	
1. Are you <sup>1</sup> currently engaged in any capacity Energy (DOE)? Check Yes or No.	y (paid or unpaid) by the U.S. Department of
"Site" and "facility" are defined to include DOE, AW purposes of brevity, the "other federally-owned or -op document as "other" sites.	
	the COB cannot perform any key Program Is about each DOE location (specific site or sites) on of your activities for DOE, and whether you are
NoX_ proceed to Question 2.  2. Do you, or did you, work either <u>at</u> or <u>for</u> this site? Check Yes or No.	is DOE or Atomic Weapons Employer (AWE)
"Work" means employment at or for the site, site cormanagement, direction, or implementation of radiation procedures or practices related to atomic weapons ac	n protection and/or health physics program policies,
Yes, a COB exists and the individual was function related to this site or sites. Please proving work/worked at or for. Stop.	
NoX, proceed to Question 3.	
<ol><li>Do you, or did you, work for any of the pa or No.</li></ol>	st or current operators of this site? Check Yes

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For purposes of completing this form, "you" refers to an individual or an employer (depending on which party is completing the form).

"Operator" refers to the governmental and/or corpo day-to-day activities at the site, and includes work, a (sub)contractors		
Yes, provide the names of the past or operator did or does administer and the specific worked, or continue to work, for the operator are	c times (st	arting and stopping dates) that you
NoX, proceed to Question 6.		
4. During the time you worked for that operasite? Check Yes or No.	ator, was	that operator responsible for this
Yes, proceed to Question 5.	No	, proceed to Question 6.
5. Did your work for the operator have an ir	mpact on	this site? Check Yes or No.
"Impact" means that your work involved decision-mimplementation of radiation protection and/or health related to atomic weapons activities at the site.		
Yes, then a COB exists and the indiv function for this site or sites. Please provide de the operator had on the site below. Stop.		
No, proceed to Question 6.		
6. Did you work for DOE in the past? Check	Yes or No	0.
"Work for DOE" does not include work, as defined duration as a student intern, graduate fellow or in an include having received a financial stipend from DOI established DOE fellowship program intended to suppovernment retirement pension for prior DOE service	nother prima E for gradua pport gradua	arily educational capacity. It also does not ate study, a fellowship in the context of an
Yes, please provide details about each for in the past and a description of your work for sites) and then proceed to Question 7.	ch DOE loc or DOE (yo	cation (specific site or sites) you worked ou may use a checklist listing DOE/AWE

NoX, proceed to Question 8.
7. Did your work for DOE have an <u>impact</u> on this site? Check Yes or No.
"Impact" means that your work involved decision-making authority over management, direction, or implementation of radiation protection and/or health physics program policies, procedures or practices related to atomic weapons activities at the site.
Yes, then a COB exists and the individual with the COB cannot perform a key Progran function for this site or sites. Please provide details below about the nature of the impact your work for the operator had on the site. Stop.
No, then proceed to Question 8.
8. Do you have a familial relationship, or a supervisory or subordinate work relationship with an EEOICPA claimant whose claim involves this site? Check Yes or No.
"Familial relationship" encompasses a current spouse, child, parent, sibling or grandparent that worked at for the site; or any survivors a current spouse, child, parent, sibling or grandparent that are eligible to file claims under the Program.
"Supervisory or subordinate work relationship" is one where (a) the individuals in question are/were in the same reporting chain and within two organizational levels of one another; and (b) "Work" means employment at or for the site, site contractor or site subcontractor that includes management, direction, or implementation of radiation protection and/or health physics program policies, procedures or practices related to atomic weapons activities at the site.
Yes, then a COB exists and the individual with the COB cannot perform a key Program function for this site or sites. Please provide below details about the nature of your relationship with the EEOICPA claimant. Stop.
NoX, then proceed to Question 9.

9. Do you have a familial relationship, or supervisory or subordinate work relationship with anyone who has had an impact related to the site? Check Yes or No.
Yes, then proceed to Question 10.
NoX, then proceed to Question 11.
10. If you have a subordinate relationship to someone who has (had) an impact on the site, has a different person been designated to review your job performance as it relates to the site? Check Yes or No.
Yes, a COB does not exist. Proceed to Question 11.  No, then a COB exists and the individual with the COB cannot perform a key Program function for this site or sites. Please provide more detailed information about your relationship with the person having an impact on the site. Stop.
11. Do or did you have a familial, financial or non-financial professional (e.g., providing expert advice) relationship with any attorney at the time the attorney represented an EEOICPA claimant, DOE or the operator?
Yes, then a COB exists and the individual with the COB cannot perform a key Program function for this site or sites. Please provide details about the relationship with the attorney, to include (if applicable) a list of cases for which you assisted the attorney as well as the names of parties on whose behalf you testified or otherwise provided assistance. Stop.
NoX, then a COB does not exist.

## Additional Details for Disclosure Questions 1-11

Please specify the number of the question(s) for which you are giving additional details.

Question #2 During the early 1990s I took part in an investigation of INL's radiation source control program. The investigation team was at the site for no more than 3 days. This investigation was completed because one of their calibration sources could not be located. At the time, I was employed by the Westinghouse Materials Company of Ohio and working at the Feed Materials Production Center. The report generated by the team provided recommendations to immprove the radiation source control program at the site. These were recommendations that the site was not bound to follow. There was no follow-up, as far as I know, to determine if and how these recommendations were being implemented.