# BACTERIAL WATER QUALITY STANDARDS FOR RECREATIONAL WATERS

(FRESHWATER AND MARINE WATERS)

## **STATUS REPORT**

June 2003

# BACTERIAL WATER QUALITY STANDARDS FOR RECREATIONAL WATERS (FRESHWATER AND MARINE WATERS) STATUS REPORT

June 2003

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Office of Water (4305T) 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20460

EPA-823-R-03-008

# Contents

Introduction	1
Water Quality Standards Background	3
EPA Criteria for Bathing	
Freshwater	4
Marine Water	
Acknowledgments	5
Bacterial Water Quality Standards - Summary Information	
Summary of Bacterial Water Quality Standards for States, Tribes, and Territories (By EPA Region)	6
Narrative Summary	
Nationive Summary	7
Detailed Overview	
Region 1	10
Connecticut	
Maine	
Massachusetts	
New Hampshire	
Rhode Island	
Vermont	
Region 2	
New York	
Puerto Rico	
Virgin Islands	
Region 3	
Delaware	
District of Columbia	
Maryland	
Pennsylvania	
Virginia	
West Virginia	
Region 4	
Alabama	
Florida	
Georgia	15
Kentucky	_
Mississippi	15
North Carolina	15
South Carolina	16
Tennessee	16
Miccosukee Tribe of Indians of Florida	16
Seminole Tribe of Florida	16
Region 5	
Illinois	
Indiana	
Michigan	
Minnesota	
Ohio	
Wisconsin	
Fond du Lac Band of the Chippewa Tribe	
Sokaogon Chippewa Community of the Mole Lake Band of Chippewa Indians	

Region 6	5	18
	Arkansas	18
	Louisiana	18
	New Mexico	18
	Oklahoma	18
	Texas	18
	Pueblo of Acoma	19
	Pueblo of Isleta	19
	Pueblo of Nambe	19
		19
		19
	J 1	19
		19
		20
		20
Region '		20
region i		20
		20
		20
		20
D: (		20
Region o		
		21
	, E	21
		21
	Montana	
	North Dakota	
	South Dakota	
	Assiniboine and Sioux Tribes of the Fort Peck Indian Reservation	
	Confederated Salish and Kootenai Tribes of the Flathead Reservation	
Region 9		23
	Arizona	
	California	23
	=======================================	24
	Nevada	25
	American Samoa	25
	Common wealth of the Northern Mariana Islands	25
	Guam	26
	Hoopa Valley Tribe	26
	White Mountain Apache Tribe	26
Region 1		26
υ		26
		26
		27
	Washington	27
	Confederated Tribes of the Chehalis Reservation	27
		28
		28
		28 28
		28 28
	Puyallup Tribe of the Puyallup Reservation	28 28
	SDOKARE TIDE	7.8

#### Introduction

In 1997, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency established the Beaches Environmental Assessment, and Coastal and Health (BEACH) Program. The goal of the BEACH program is to reduce risks to human health caused by exposure to pathogens in recreational waters. Surveys and ongoing scientific studies continue to document the presence of, or the potential for, disease-carrying bacteria, viruses, and other pathogens present in local beach water, primarily from sewage and stormwater runoff. Humans can be exposed to pathogens in recreational waters through ingestion, inhalation, and body contact.

The BEACH Program focuses on the following five areas to improve public health and environmental protection programs for beach goers, and to provide the public with information about the quality of their beach water:

Strengthening beach standards and testing Providing faster laboratory test methods Predicting pollution Investing in health and methods research Informing the public

The Beaches Environmental Assessment and Coastal Health (BEACH) Act of 2000 authorized EPA to award grants for development and implementation of programs to notify the public of the potential exposure to disease-causing microorganisms in coastal recreation waters. Program development and implementation grants to eligible States, Territories, Tribes, and local governments support microbiological testing and monitoring of coastal recreation waters, including the Great Lakes, that are adjacent to beaches or similar points of access used by the public. The BEACH Act also amended Section 303 of the Clean Water Act to require by April 10, 2004 that coastal and Great Lakes states adopt EPA's published indicators for pathogens with criteria as protective as those published by EPA, in their water quality standards.

EPA is working with states and tribes to assist them in adopting water quality criteria for EPA's published pathogen indicators, E. coli and/or enterococcus bacteria, in their water quality standards. The Agency is also working with states, tribes, and local governments to strengthen local beach health monitoring efforts and procedures to achieve these standards. EPA assistance includes awarding grants for beach monitoring and public notification as well as providing technical assistance and training.

This document, *Bacterial Water Quality Standards for Recreational Waters* (*Freshwater and Marine Waters*) - *Status Report* provides a brief overview of the bacterial water quality standards that have been adopted by states for their marine and fresh recreational waters in the United States. This report is based on consultations with EPA water quality standards coordinators. The report is accurate as of September 2002; however, there may be revisions to standards that are not reflected in this report. EPA will update the report periodically to reflect new information. The information in the report is presented in summary format for both states/territories and tribes. The summary is organized first by EPA region, and then by state, territory, and tribe within each region.

For the precise regulatory language applicable to a particular state, the reader should consult the water quality standards of the state. Copies of state water quality standards may be obtained from the state's water quality management agency or its equivalent (EPA houses a repository of state, tribal, and territorial water quality standards on its website at <a href="http://www.epa.gov/waterscience/standards/wqslibrary/">http://www.epa.gov/waterscience/standards/wqslibrary/</a>). Readers should also note that standards in this report may not be the only guidelines or standards in effect for recreational waters in a particular location. It is not uncommon for local health agencies to develop and adopt site-specific guidelines as part of their public health codes. One should consult the appropriate local health agency to obtain detailed information.

EPA's BEACH Program is improving public access to information about the quality of the water at their beaches and health risks associated with swimming in those waters. More information about water quality at our nation's beaches, local protection programs, and other beach-related programs is available on EPA's "Beach Watch" internet website at http://www.epa.gov/waterscience/beaches/.

#### Water Quality Standards Background

In response to widespread public concern about the condition of our nation's waters, the United States Congress enacted landmark legislation in 1972. This statute, the Federal Water Pollution Control Act Amendments of 1972 (referred to as the Clean Water Act of 1972, or CWA), expanded and built upon existing laws designed to control and prevent water pollution. Successive amendments to the 1972 CWA (the Clean Water Act of 1977 and the Water Quality Act of 1987) have continued to strengthen the law to better protect our nation's waters.

Water quality standards are the cornerstone of a state's water quality management program. States, territories, and Indian tribes set water quality standards for waters within their jurisdictions. Water quality standards define a use for a waterbody and describe the specific water quality criteria to achieve that use. The water quality standards also contain antidegradation policies to protect existing water quality. These are the goals by which success is ultimately gauged for a given waterbody or watershed.

The water quality standards program is administered by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). Congress has mandated that EPA is responsible for providing water quality criteria recommendations; approving state-adopted standards for waters of the United States; evaluating adherence to the standards; and overseeing enforcement of standards compliance. Guidance for the development of standards by individual states, tribes, and territories is contained in the EPA documents *Water Quality Standards Handbook*, Second Edition (1983) and *Ambient Water Quality Criteria for Bacteria* (1986).

Fecal bacteria have been used as an indicator of the possible presence of pathogens in surface waters and the risk of disease, based on epidemiological evidence of gastrointestinal disorders from ingestion of contaminated surface water or raw shellfish. Contact with contaminated water can lead to ear or skin infections, and inhalation of contaminated water can cause respiratory diseases. The pathogens responsible for these diseases can be bacteria, viruses, protozoans, fungi, or parasites that live in the gastrointestinal tract and are shed in the feces of warm-blooded animals.

However, because of the difficulties in analyzing for and detecting the many possible pathogens or parasites, concentrations of fecal bacteria, including fecal coliforms, enterococci, and *Escherichia coli*, are used as the primary indicators of fecal contamination. The latter two indicators are considered to have a higher degree of association with outbreaks of certain diseases than fecal coliforms and were recommended as the basis for bacterial water quality standards in the 1986 *Ambient Water Quality Criteria for Bacteria* document (both for fresh waters, enterococci for marine waters). The standards are defined as a concentration of the indicator above which the health risk from waterborne disease is unacceptably high.

Prior to the 1986 revision to the National criterion, there were recommendations in the report of the National Technical Advisory Committee to the Secretary of the Interior, *Water Quality Criteria* (1967) and by EPA in *Quality Criteria for Water* (1976). Both of these documents were based on fecal coliforms and recommended that maximum densities not exceed geometric means of 200 organisms per 100 ml in recreational waters.

The 1986 criteria statement for bacteriological criteria follows:

# EPA Criteria for Bathing (Full Body Contact) Recreational Waters

#### Freshwater

Based on a statistically sufficient number of samples (generally not less than 5 samples equally spaced over a 30-day period), the geometric mean of the indicated bacterial densities should not exceed one or the other of the following:<sup>1</sup>

E. coli 126 per 100 ml; or Enterococci 33 per 100 ml.

No sample should exceed a one sided confidence limit (C.L.) calculated using the following as guidance:

Designated bathing beach 75% C.L. Moderate use for bathing 82% C.L. Light use for bathing 90% C.L. Infrequent use for bathing 95% C.L.

based on a site-specific log standard deviation, or if site data are insufficient to establish a log standard deviation, then using 0.4 as the log standard deviation for both indicators.

#### **Marine Water**

Based on a statistically sufficient number of samples (generally not less than 5 samples equally spaced over a 30-day period), the geometric mean of the enterococci densities should not exceed 35 per 100 ml.

No sample should exceed a one sided confidence limit using the following as guidance:

Designated bathing beach 75% C.L. Moderate use for bathing 82% C.L. Light use for bathing 90% C.L. Infrequent use for bathing 95% C.L.

based on a site-specific log standard deviation, or if site data are insufficient to establish a log standard deviation, then using 0.7 as the log standard deviation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Only one indicator should be used. The regulatory agency should select the appropriate indicator for its conditions.

#### Acknowledgments

This report is an update of the 1997 Bacterial Water Quality Standards Report and was compiled by Susan Emerson in the Office of Science and Technology. To ensure the completeness and accuracy of this overview, the following EPA Regional Water Quality Standards Coordinators were consulted:

Matt Liebman, Office of Ecosystem Protection Region 1:

Boston, MA

Region 2: Wayne Jackson, Division of Environmental Planning and Protection

New York, NY

Region 3: Cheryl Atkinson, Water Protection Division

Philadelphia, PA

Region 4: Fritz Wagener, Water Management Division

Atlanta, GA

Region 5: Holly Wirick, Water Division

Chicago, IL

Region 6: Russell Nelson, Water Quality Protection Division

Dallas, TX

Region 7: Larry Shepard, Water, Wetlands and Pesticides Division

Kansas City, KS

Region 8: Dave Moon, Office of Ecosystem Protection and Remediation

Denver, CO

Region 9: Phil Woods, Water Division

San Francisco, CA

Region 10: Marcia Lagerloef, Office of Water

Seattle, WA

#### **Bacterial Water Quality Standards - Summary Information**

#### Summary of Bacterial Water Quality Standards for States, Tribes, and Territories (By EPA Region)

State / Tribe / Territory	Cı	riteria <sup>1,2</sup>
	Freshwater	Marine Water
Region 1	•	•
Connecticut	EN/FC/TC	EN
Maine	EC	EN
Massachusetts	FC	FC
New Hampshire	EC	EN
Rhode Island	FC/TC	FC/TC
Vermont	EC	•
Region 3		
Delaware	EN	EN
District of Columbia	FC	•
Maryland	EC/EN/FC	EN/FC
Pennsylvania	FC	•
Virginia	EC/FC	EN/FC
West Virginia	FC	•

State / Tribe / Territory	Cı	riteria <sup>1,2</sup>
	Freshwater	Marine Water
Region 2		-
New Jersey	EN/FC	EN/FC
New York	FC/TC	FC/TC
Puerto Rico	FC/TC	EN/FC/TC
Virgin Islands	•	FC
Region 4		
Alabama	FC	FC
Florida	FC	FC
Georgia	FC	FC
Kentucky	FC	•
Mississippi	FC	FC
North Carolina	FC	FC
South Carolina	FC	FC
Tennessee	EC/FC	•
Miccosukee Tribe of Indians of Florida	FC/TC	•
Seminole Tribe of Florida	FC	•

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> FC = fecal coliforms; TC = total coliforms; EN = enterococci; EC = *Escherichia coli*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Many jurisdictions use both the 1986 indicator criteria and fecal coliforms; some continue to use total coliforms. Even if a state has the authority to use the 1986 indicators, it may use another indicator at its discretion.

#### Summary of Bacterial Water Quality Standards for States, Tribes, and Territories (By EPA Region)

State / Tribe / Territory	Cr	riteria <sup>1,2</sup>	State / Tribe /
	Freshwater	Marine Water	
Region 5		•	Region 6
Illinois	FC	•	Arkansas
Indiana	EC	•	Louisiana
Michigan	EC/FC	•	New Mexico
Minnesota	FC	•	Oklahoma
Ohio	EC/FC	•	Texas
Wisconsin	FC	•	Pueblo of Acor
Fond du Lac Band of the Chippewa Tribe	EC	•	Pueblo of Isleta
Sokaogon Chippewa Community of the Mole Lake	EC/EN	•	Pueblo of Nam
Band of Chippewa Indians			Pueblo of Picus
			Pueblo of Pojos
			Pueblo of Sand
			Pueblo of San J
			Pueblo of Santa
			Pueblo of Tesu

State / Tribe / Territory	Cı	riteria <sup>1,2</sup>
	Freshwater	Marine Water
Region 6		
Arkansas	FC	•
Louisiana	FC	FC
New Mexico	FC	•
Oklahoma	EC/EN/FC	•
Texas	EC/EN/FC	EN/FC
Pueblo of Acoma	EC/EN/FC	•
Pueblo of Isleta	EC/FC	•
Pueblo of Nambe	FC	•
Pueblo of Picuris	EC/FC	•
Pueblo of Pojoaque	EC/FC	•
Pueblo of Sandia	FC	•
Pueblo of San Juan	EC/FC	•
Pueblo of Santa Clara	FC	•
Pueblo of Tesuque	FC	•

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> FC = fecal coliforms; TC = total coliforms; EN = enterococci; EC = *Escherichia coli*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Many jurisdictions use both the 1986 indicator criteria and fecal coliforms; some continue to use total coliforms. Even if a state has the authority to use the 1986 indicators, it may use another indicator at its discretion.

#### Summary of Bacterial Water Quality Standards for States, Tribes, and Territories (By EPA Region)

State / Tribe / Territory	Criteria <sup>1,2</sup>		State / Tribe / Territory	Criteria <sup>1,2</sup>	
	Freshwater	Marine Water		Freshwater	Marine Wa
Region 7		•	Region 8	•	•
Iowa	FC	•	Colorado	EC/FC	•
Kansas	FC	•	Montana	FC	•
Missouri	FC	•	North Dakota	FC	•
Nebraska	FC	•	South Dakota	FC	•
			Utah	FC/TC	•
			Wyoming	FC	•
			Assiniboine and Sioux Tribes of the Fort Peck Res.	EC/FC	•
			Confederated Salish & Kootenai Tribes of the Flathead Reservation	FC/TC	•
Region 9			Region 10		
Arizona	EC	•	Alaska	FC	FC
California <sup>3</sup>	EC/EN/FC/TC	EN/FC/TC	Idaho	EC	•
Hawaii	FC	EN	Oregon	EC	FC
Nevada	EC/FC	•	Washington	FC	FC
American Samoa	FC	EN	Confederated Tribes of the Chehalis Reservation	FC	FC
Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands	EC/EN/FC	FC/EN	Confederated Tribes of the Colville Reservation	EN	•
Guam	EC/EN	EN	Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Reservation	EC	•
White Mountain Apache Tribe of the Fort Apache	EC/FC		Confederated Tribes of the Warm Springs Reservation	EC	
Reservation			Puyallup Tribe of the Puyallup Reservation	FC	FC
Hoopa Valley Tribe	EC/EN		Spokane Tribe	EC	•

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> FC = fecal coliforms; TC = total coliforms; EN = enterococci; EC = *Escherichia coli*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Many jurisdictions use both the 1986 indicator criteria and fecal coliforms; some continue to use total coliforms.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> California has 9 Regional Boards; some use the 1986 indicator criteria, whereas some use fecal coliform and total coliform entirely or for other purposes.

#### **Narrative Summary**

Texas Virginia

Eighteen states, twelve tribes, and two territories have adopted an E. coli standard for freshwaters:

States:	Tribes:	Territories:
Arizona	Assiniboine and Sioux Tribes	Commonwealth of the
California	of the Fort Peck Reservation	Northern Mariana Islands
Colorado	Confederated Tribes of the	Guam
Idaho	Umatilla Reservation	
Illinois	Confederated Tribes of the	
Indiana	Warm Springs Reservation	
Maine	Fond du Lac Band of the	
Maryland	Chippewa Tribe	
Michigan	Hoopa Valley Tribe	
Nevada	Pueblo of Acoma	
New Hampshire	Pueblo of Isleta	
Ohio	Pueblo of Picuris	
Oklahoma	Pueblo of Pojoaque	
Oregon	Pueblo of San Juan	
Tennessee	Spokane Tribe	
Texas	White Mountain Apache Tribe	
Vermont	of the Fort Apache	
Virginia	Reservation	

Six states, three tribes, and two territories use enterococci as a standard for freshwaters:

States:	Tribes:	Territories:
California	Confederated Tribes of the	Commonwealth of the
Connecticut	Colville Reservation	Northern Mariana Islands
Delaware	Hoopa Valley Tribe	Guam
Maryland	Pueblo of Acoma	
New Jersey		
Oklahoma		

Nine states and four territories use enterococci as a standard for marine waters:

States:	Territories:
California	American Samoa
Connecticut	Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana
Delaware	Islands
Hawaii	Guam
Maine	Puerto Rico
Maryland	
New Hampshire	
New Jersey	

## **Bacterial Water Quality Standards - Detailed Overview**

			Fre	shwater	M	[arine	
Region	State	Class	Primary	Secondary	Primary	Secondary	
Region 1	Connecticut	Class AA	100 TC				
			_	imple to exceed or contact recrea		AA waters are	
		Class A/SA	33 EN 100 TC 33 EN				
			No single sample may exceed 61 EN. TC value is month moving average. No more than 10% of TC samples may exceed 500.				
		Class B/SB	33 EN	200 FC	33 EN		
				ample may excee amples may exce		nore than 10% of	
	Comments:	EC criteria do not a established bathing		imary contact re	ecreation waters	, only	
	Maine	Class AA & A/SA		``````````````````````````````````````			
		Class B/SB	Note: Bacteria content may be as naturally occurs.  64 EC 8 EN				
				For season May 15–September 30. No Class B sample may exceed 427 EC. No Class SB sample may exceed 54 EN.			
		Class C/SC	142 EC		14 EN		
				For season May 15–September 30. No Class C sample may exceed 949 EC. No Class SC sample may exceed 94 EN.			
	Massachusetts	Class A/SA	20 FC		200 FC		
			than 10% of 400 for mar	Primary freshwater value based on arithmetic mean. No more than 10% of FC samples may exceed 100 for freshwater and 400 for marine waters, respectively. Marine value may be applied seasonally.			
		Class B/SB	200 FC 200 FC				
			No more than 10% of FC samples may exceed 400. Marin value may be applied seasonally.			eed 400. Marine	
		Class C/SC		1000 FC		1000 FC	
			No more tha	ın 10% of FC sa	mples may exce	eed 2000.	

			Freshwater		N	<b>Iarine</b>	
Region	State	Class	Primary	Secondary	Primary	Secondary	
Region 1	New Hampshire	Class A	47 EC		35 EN		
(cont'd.)			"beach," no		may exceed 8	or 104 EN. For 38 EC. Based on eriod.	
		Class B	126 EC		35 EN		
				ample may exceeninimum of 3 sar			
		Class B (beaches)	47 EC				
				ample may excee ten in a 60-day pe		on minimum of 3	
		Temporary Partial Use	(none)	(none)	(none)	(none)	
	Rhode Island	Class A/SA	100 TC 20 FC		70 TC 15 FC		
			Primary values based on median. No more the samples may exceed 500 and 330, respectively. 10% of FC samples may exceed 200 and 50, respectively.				
		Class B/SB	1000 TC		700 TC		
				ed on median. No ny exceed 2400 an		% and 10% of TC ctively.	
			200 FC		50 FC		
				ed on median. No ny exceed 500 and		% and 10% of FC vely.	
		Class C/SC		(see note)		(see note)	
			Note: None in concentrations that would impair a assigned to this class.				
	Comments:	Marine FC criteria	ı are guides p	oending further r	esearch.		
	Vermont	Class A	18 EC				
		Class B	77 EC		·· <b>-</b> ····		
			Secretary n	nay waive Octobe	er 31–April 1.		

			Fre	shwater	Marine		
Region	State	Class	Primary	Secondary	Primary	Secondary	
Region 2	New Jersey	Freshwater 1	(see note)	(see note)			
		(FW1)	shall be main	ntained as to qua	ality in the natu	ral state.	
		Pinelands Waters (PL)		• •	•	ral state or the use, whichever is	
		Freshwater 2 (FW2)	33 EN 200 FC				
				n 10% of FC sa N sample may e		eed 400.	
		Saline Estuary 1 (SE1)			35 EN 200 FC		
				n 10% of FC sa N sample may e		eed 400.	
		Saline Estuary 2 (SE2)				770 FC	
		Saline Estuary 3 (SE3)				1500 FC	
		Saline Coastal (SC) Waters			35 EN 50 FC (within coastline) 200 FC (1500		
				n 10% of FC sa N sample may e		eed 400.	
	Mainste	em Delaware River and	Delaware Bay	<b>:</b> :			
		Zones 1C,1D,1E,6	200 FC				
		Zone 2	200 FC	770 FC			
			Primary RM	133.4–117.81;	secondary RM	133.4– 108.4	
		Zones 3,4		770 FC			
		Zone 5	200 FC	770 FC			
			Primary RM	59.5–48.2; seco	ondary RM 78.8	3–59.5	
	New York	Class AA		d 240. Standa		% of TC samples ring periods of	
		Class A	2400 TC 200 FC TC value bas	sed on median. N	No more than 20	0% of TC samples	
			TC value based on median. No more than 20% of TC sample may exceed 20,000.				

			Fre	shwater	M	Marine		
Region	State	Class	Primary	Secondary	Primary	Secondary		
Region 2 (cont'd.)	New York (continued)	Class B/SB	2400 TC 200 FC		2400 TC 200 FC			
				based on media exceed 5000.	an. No more th	nan 20% of TC		
		Class C/SC	2400 TC 200 FC		2400 TC 200 FC			
				based on media exceed 5000.	an. No more th	nan 20% of TC		
		Class D/SD	2400 TC 200 FC					
			TC values based on median. No more than 20% of To samples may exceed 5000. Criteria apply only to Class I waters. There are no bacterial criteria for Class SD waters Class SD waters are not meant for recreational purposes.					
		Class I	10000 TC 2000 FC					
-		Class A-Special (A-S)	1000 TC					
		Fresh Surface Water	200 FC					
	Puerto Rico	Class SA			(see note)			
			Note: May not be altered except by natural causes.					
		Class SB			35 EN 200 FC			
				ntensely used w 0% of FC sample		ise, 200 FC. No 400.		
		Class SC				10,000 TC 2000 FC		
			No more tha	n 20% of FC sa	mples may exce	ed 4000.		
		Class SD		10,000 TC 2000 FC				
			No more tha	n 20% of FC sa	mples may exce	ed 4000.		
		Class SE	(see note)					
			Note: None natural cause	_	eters may be al	tered, except by		
	Virgin Islands	Class A		(see note)				
		Note: Existing natural conditions are						
		Class B			70 FC			
		Class D			7010			

			Fre	shwater	N	Iarine	
Region	State	Class	Primary	Secondary	Primary	Secondary	
Region 3	Delaware		100 EN		10 EN		
		Bathing beaches	193 EN		35 EN		
			marine samp		2,212 EN, or 46	60 EN. No single 60 EN within one-	
	Comments:	All samples with ar based on consecutive criteria are not part	ve samples in	excess of the 10	04 EN criteria.	Bathing beaches	
	District of		200 FC	1000 FC			
	Columbia	Does not apply for 24 hr following high flow cond Maximum 30 day geometric for 5 samples.					
	Maryland	Public bathing beach	126 EC 33 EN		35 EN		
	Other than public bathing beach		200 FC	200 FC	200 FC	200 FC	
			No more than 10% of FC samples may exceed 400. Based on no less than 5 samples taken over a 30-day period.				
	Pennsylvania	Bac 1	200 FC				
			_	season (May 1–Sest of the year.	September 30).	2000 FC applies	
		Bac 2				000 FC. No more For public water	
	Comments:	Delaware River fro Delaware line, 770	Criteria adopted by the Delaware River Basin Commission (200 FC for the Delaware River from the Burlington Bristol Bridge to the Pennsylvania / Delaware line, 770 FC for the Delaware River from the head of tide to the Burlington Bristol Bridge) apply when they are more stringent than				
	Virginia		126 EC 200 FC	200 FC	35 EN 200 FC	200 FC	
	Comments:	Based on two or more samples over a calendar month. No more than 10% of FC samples taken over a calendar month may exceed 400 FC. No sample may exceed 1000 FC. FC criteria do not apply after a sampling station has 12 or more data points for EC or EN, or after June, 2008, whichever comes first. Single sample maximum based on 75% upper confidence limit and site-specific log standard deviation. Until sufficient data are acquired 0.4 will be used for fresh waters, and 0.7 will be used for marine waters (235 EC and 104 EN)					
	West Virginia	Categories A&C	200 FC				
			No more tha	ın 10% of FC sa	mples may exc	eed 400.	
		Ohio River	2000 FC				
		(Category C)	For nonrecr	eation season No	ovember-April	only.	
	Comments:	Based on minimum	of 5 samples	per month			

			Fres	shwater	$\mathbf{M}$	Marine	
Region	State	Class	Primary	Secondary	Primary	Secondary	
Region 4	Alabama	Swimming	200 FC	200 FC	100 FC	100 FC	
			Primary applies year-round. Secondary applies for out of season (October–May). Out of season mean 2000 FC; 4000 FC sample maximum for freshwater and marine waters.				
		Fish and Wildlife	200 FC	1000 FC	100 FC	1000 FC	
	Florida		200 FC				
			No more than 10% FC samples may exceed 400; 800 FC on any one day. 1000 TC maximum for monthly average. No more than 20% of TC single samples may exceed 1000. 2400 TC maximum on any one day. Based on minimum of 10 samples.				
	Georgia	Recreation	200 FC	200 FC	100 FC	200 FC	
			All other mo		recreation crit	on criteria apply. eria apply with a	
		Fishing	200 FC	1000 FC	200 FC	1000 FC	
	Kentucky		200 FC	1000 FC			
			For May–October; no more than 20% of FC samples mexceed 400 and 2000, respectively. Out of season, seconda contact criteria used for primary waters.				
	Mississippi	<b>Iississippi</b> Recreation			200 FC		
			No more than 10% of FC samples may exceed 400.				
		Fish & Wildlife	200 FC	2000 FC	200 FC	2000 FC	
			November to		ry applies and n	aceed 400. From no more than 10%	
	North Carolina	Class SA	14 FC			_	
		(shellfishing)	may exceed	43 in those areason during the mo	s most probably	% of FC samples exposed to fecal hydrographic and	
		Class B/SB (Primary	200 FC		200 FC		
		Recreation, Fresh\Tidal Salt)		n 20% of FC sa		eed 400.	
		Class C/SC		200 FC		200 FC	
	(Secondary Recreation, Fresh\Tidal Salt)		Violations a rainfall in se	re expected im	mediately follo uncontrollable	nay exceed 400. owing periods of nonpoint source	

			Fre	shwater	$\mathbf{M}$	larine			
Region	State	Class	Primary	Secondary	Primary	Secondary			
Region 4	South Carolina	Class FW/SA	200 FC		200 FC				
(cont'd.)			No more tha	ın 10% of FC saı	mples may exc	eed 400.			
		Class SB			200 FC	1000 FC			
			No more than 20% of FC samples may exceed 2000.						
	Tennessee	Recreation	200 FC 126 EC						
			Based on minimum of 10 samples. No single FC sample may exceed 1000.						
		Domestic Water Supply	ter 1000 FC 1000 FC						
				Based on a minimum of 10 samples. No single FC sample may exceed 5000.					
		Fish & Wildlife							
			Based on a minimum of 10 samples. No single FC sample may exceed 5000.						
	Miccosukee Tribe of Indians of		1000 TC 200 FC						
	Florida		No more than 20% of samples may exceed 1000 FC. No more than 10% of samples may exceed 400 FC. No sample may exceed 2,400 EC.						
	Seminole Tribe of		200 FC						
	Florida		No more than 10% of samples may exceed 400 FC.  No sample may exceed 800 FC.						
Region 5	Illinois		200 FC						
			No more that	ın 10% of FC saı					
		Lake Michigan	20 FC						
	Comments:	Illinois monitors 9	99% of its recr	eational waters u	sing EC.				
	Indiana		125 EC For season exceed 235	April through C	October. No sii	ngle sample may			
	Michigan		130 EC 200 FC						
			No single sample may exceed 300 EC. May be exceeded if due to uncontrollable nonpoint sources. Primary standard can be temporarily suspended due to flood, accident, or emergencies that affect a sewer or wastewater treatment system. Can be suspended November 1-April 30. The criteria apply, at minimum, May 1-October 31.						
	Comments:	The EC value is u effluent discharge	sed for ambient monitoring; the FC value is used for assessing						

	Freshwater		ıwater	M	arine	
Region	State	Class	Primary	Secondary	Primary	Secondary
Region 5	Minnesota	Class A	200 FC			
(cont'd.)					ples may excee h 1–October 31	d 400. Criterion season.
		Class B	200 FC			
						y exceed 2000. ctober 31 season.
	Ohio	Lake Erie & Ohio River Uses	200 FC 126 EC			
			more than 109	% of EC sample	nples may exce es may exceed 2 during any 30-	235. Based on
		Rest of state	1000 FC 126 EC	5000 FC 576 EC		
			respectively.		10% of EC sam	d 2000 and 5000, ples may exceed
	Comments:	Both Lake Erie and designation, at leas These criteria apply	t one of the tw	o bacterial stan		
	Wisconsin		200 FC			
					nples may exce es which allow	ed 400. Specific 1000 FC.
	Fond du Lac Band		126 EC	126 EC		
	of the Chippewa Tribe			han five sample	es are collected ed 235 EC.	in a thirty day
	Sokaogon Chippewa		(See note)	(See note)		
	Community of the Mole Lake Band of Chippewa Indians				EPA criteria gui chever is more s	

			Fre	shwater	$\mathbf{N}$	Marine			
Region	State	Class	Primary	Secondary	Primary	Secondary			
Region 6	Arkansas		200 FC	1000 FC					
			respectively standard alv	. For extraord vays applies; for	linary resource other waters, pr	eed 400 and 2000, waters, primary rimary standard in secondary applies.			
	Louisiana		200 FC	1000 FC	200 FC	1000 FC			
			No more than 10% of FC samples in a 30 day perio annually, may exceed 400 for primary contact and secondary contact. Primary criteria apply May 1 - Or The rest of the year, secondary criteria apply.						
	New Mexico		200 FC 1000 FC						
			No single sample may exceed 400 FC or 2000 FC, respectively.						
		Select Segments:	· · · ·						
	Comments:	waters of the state u	s of the state shall be virtually free of pathogens. In particular, surface tate used for irrigation of table crops such as lettuce shall be virtually nella and shingella species.						
	Oklahoma		126 EC Narrative 33 EN 200 FC  Primary criteria apply May 1 – September 30; rest of year, secondary criteria apply. No more than 10% of FC samples may exceed 400. For lakes and high use waterbodies, no single sample may exceed 235 EC and 61 EN. For all other waters, no single sample may exceed 406 EC and 108 EN.						
	Comments:	Adopted WQS to a			·				
	Texas	-	126 EC 200 FC	605 EC 2000 FC	35 EN 200 FC	168 EN 2000 FC			
			samples may	•	or 89 EN for pr	nary contact. No imary contact and			
		Houston Ship Channel	168 EN						
		No more than 10% of EN samples (if more than 10 samples or a single sample (if fewer than 10 samples) may exceed 500. This criterion applies for two segments of the Houston Shi Channel.							
	Comments:	Texas Department Texas Commission methodology. FC I recreational suitabi segments designate indicator of recreat suitability of oyster	on Environn bacteria can b lity until suff ed as oyster w ional suitabil	nental Quality use used as an alto icient data are a caters, FC can co	ses membrane f ernative instrea vailable for EC ontinue to be us	filtration (MF) m indicator of or EN. For sed as an			

			Fre	shwater	M	[arine		
Region	State	Class	Primary	Secondary	Primary	Secondary		
Region 6 (cont'd.)	Pueblo of Acoma		and high use ceremonial at the total san The criteria	e water bodies an and recreational nples in any 30-	d 406 EC or 100 use areas. No not any period may contact is 10	or Acomita Lake 8 EN for all other nore than 10% of exceed 400 FC. times the criteria		
	Comments:	Compliance for primary contact recreation based on meeting the criteria for on of the indicators.						
	Pueblo of Isleta	Primary Contact Ceremonial	47 EC 100 FC					
			No sample may exceed 200 FC or 88 EC for primary contact ceremonial and recreational uses.					
	Pueblo of Nambe		200 FC					
			No sample may exceed 400 FC					
	Comments:	No secondary con	No secondary contact recreation use.					
•	Pueblo of Picuris		126 EC 200 FC No sample may exceed 400 FC or 235 EC.					
	Comments:	No secondary contact recreation use.						
	Pueblo of Pojoaque		126 EC 200 FC					
			No sample i	may exceed 400	FC or 235 EC.			
	Comments:	No secondary con-	tact recreation	use.				
	Pueblo of Sandia	Ceremonial	100 FC					
		Recreational (April 1- September 30)	100 FC					
		(All other times)		200 FC				
	Comments:	No sample may excontact ceremonia		1 "				
	Pueblo of San Juan	Ceremonial	100 FC 47 EC					
		Recreational (April 1 - September 30)	47 EC 100 FC	200 FC				
		(All other times):		200 FC				
	Comments:	No sample may ex primary contact ce						

			Freshwater		M	arine		
Region	State	Class	Primary	Secondary	Primary	Secondary		
Region 6	Pueblo of Santa		200 FC					
(cont'd.)	Clara		No sample n	nay exceed 400	FC.			
	Comments:	No secondary contact recreation use.						
	Pueblo of Tesuque		200 FC			_		
			No sample may exceed 400 FC.					
	Comments:	use.						
Region 7	Iowa		200 FC					
	Comments:	For April 1-October 31 season. Excepted when waters are materially affected by surface runoff, but FC levels downstream from discharge may not be >200 more than the background level upstream.						
	Kansas	200 FC 2000 FC  No sample may exceed 900 FC. Primary contact use applies April 1-October 31. Secondary criteria applies year-round.						
	Comments:	Classified surface waters may be excluded from the application of the numeric criteria for fecal coliform when stream flow exceeds 50% of the estimated 2-year flood flow.						
	Missouri		200 FC					
				when the stream Applies April		ffected by storm		
	Comments:	State applies FC to designated losing streams also, but on a year-round be						
	Nebraska		200 FC					
				n 10% of samply 1-September 3	es may exceed 4 0.	400 FC.		

			Fres	shwater	M	arine		
Region	State	Class	Primary	Secondary	Primary	Secondary		
Region 8	Utah	Class 2A	1000 TC 200 FC					
				se for action i		is unusually high meeting permit		
		Class 2B		5000 TC 200 FC				
	Comments:	Although the state same primary cont use classifications "swimmable."	act level of pro	tection is applie	ed to both. The s	state retained two		
	Wyoming		200 FC	1000 FC				
	Comments:	No more than 10% of FC samples may exceed 400 and 2000, respectively. For recreational season May 1 –September 30. The geometric mean of 3 samples collected within a 24 hour period may not exceed 400 FC. All waters of the state are designated for primary contact. Standards apply throughout the year.						
	Colorado	Class 1A	200 FC 126 EC					
		Class 1B	325 FC 205 EC					
		Class 2		2000 FC 630 EC				
	Comments:							
	Montana	Class A	50 FC					
		Class A1	50 FC					
		Classes B1, B2, B3, C1, C2, C3	200 FC  No more that	 n 10% of sampl	es may exceed	400 FC.		
	Comments:	Classes A and A1 B and C classes of the state are given	are protected a	s primary drink the water is abo	ing water source	es. Criteria for		

			Freshwater		Marine		
Region	State	Class	Primary	Secondary	Primary	Secondary	
Region 8	North Dakota		200 FC				
(cont'd.)			Only during r	ecreation seaso	n May 1-Septer	nber 30.	
	Comments:	The primary conta III waters have lim and intermittent flo	nited potential for	or immersion re	creation because		
	South Dakota		200 FC	1000 FC			
			recreation and No more than	ay exceed 400 I d 2000 FC for so a 20% of sample act recreation areation.	econdary contacts may exceed 2	ct recreation. 200 FC for	
	Comments:	The primary and secondary contact standards apply May 1-September 30.					
	Assiniboine and Sioux Tribes of the		126 EC 200 FC	126 EC 200 FC			
	Fort Peck Indian Reservation		exceed 400 F	otal samples dur C. No sample n ation and 406 E	nay exceed 235	EC for primary	
	Comments:	The recreational st degrees C. The on secondary is the si	ly difference in	the level of pro			
	Confederated		200 FC	200 FC			
	Salish and Kootenai Tribes of the Flathead	Class A - closed basin	50 TC	50 TC			
	Reservation	Class A1	50 TC	50 TC			
			10% of the to exceed 400 F	tal samples dur C.	ing a 30-day pe	riod cannot	
	Comments:	All waters of the Felement of the Cla				recreation. One	

			Fre	shwater	Marine	
Region	State	Class	Primary	Secondary	Primary	Secondary
Region 9	Arizona			126 EC le maximum is 2 ial body contact.		ly contact and
	California	North Coastal Regional Board 1	50 FC  No more that	n 10% of FC sa	50 FC mples may exc	eed 400.
		San Francisco Bay Regional Board 2	126 EC† 33 EN† 200 FC 240 TC	2000 FC	35 EN 200 FC 240 TC	2000 FC
			on frequence 61-151 EN of sample may more than 1	ers: No sample n y of use. Fresh v or 235-576 EC t exceed 4000 FC 0% of FC sampl o exceed 10,000	vaters: No same based on freque C for secondary es may exceed	ency of use. No contact. No
		Central Coast Regional Board 3		2000 FC in 10% of FC sat ct recreation (RE tion (REC-2).		
	_	Los Angeles Regional Board 4	126 EC 200 FC	2000 FC	35 EN 200 FC 1000 TC	2000 FC
			104 EN.	gle sample maxin		c, 10,000 TC, and and 400 FC.
		Central Valley Regional Board 5	126 EC Single samp	le maximum is 2	235 EC.	
		Folsom Lake (In Central	100 FC	100/ . 5	1	1.200 FG
		Valley)		in 10% of samp	les may exceed	1 200 FC.
		Lahontan Regional Board 6				
			No more that Eagle Drain exceeding 2	0/100 mi for any this objective ev	mples may exc Area. A log me 30-day period	eed 75 for the ean concentration I shall indicate

			Freshwater		Marine		
Region	State	Class	Primary	Secondary	Primary	Secondary	
Region 9 (cont'd.)	California (continued)	Colorado River Basin Regional Board 7	126 EC 33 EN 200 FC	630 EC 165 EN			
			No sample may exceed 100 EN and 400 EC for print contact and 500 EN and 2000 EC for secondary contact. For the Colorado River, no sample may exceed 61 EN 235 EC for freshwater primary contact. For secondary contact no sample may exceed 305 EN and 1175 EC.  No more than 10% of FC samples may exceed 400. A maximum limits for EN and EC vary by level of use.				
		Santa Ana Regional Board 8	No more than 10% of FC samples may exceed 400 for primary contact and 4000 for secondary contact; 100 TC maximum in lakes and streams designated as domestic water supply. The marine water criteria also apply to bays and estuaries.				
		San Diego Regional Board 9	126 EC 33 EN 200 FC	2000 FC	35 EN 200 FC	2000 FC	
			For fresh water, no more than 10% of samples may exceed 400 FC for primary contact and 4000 FC for secondary contact. Single sample maximum ranges from 61 EN - 151 EN and 235 EC - 576 EC for fresh waters and 104 EN - 500 EN for marine waters based on frequency of use.				
		Ocean Plan			24 EN for 30 12 EN for 6 n 200 FC 1000 TC	• •	
			No more than 20% of TC samples may exceed 1000 in bays and estuaries. No more than 10% of FC samples may exceed 400.				
	Comments:	Essentially all California waters are designated for primary contact recreation with the exception of the Colorado River Basin Region.					
	Hawaii		200 FC		7 EN		
			Inland: based on minimum of 10 samples. No more than 10% of FC samples may exceed 400. Marine: based on minimum of 5 samples.				
	Comments:	Revisions pending	for fresh water	s and marine w	aters.		

			Fres	shwater	Ma	arine		
Region	State	Class	Primary	Secondary	Primary	Secondary		
Region 9 (cont'd.)	Nevada	Class A and B	200 FC  No more than 10% of FC samples may exceed 400.					
		Class C (includes noncontact recreation)	FC may not exceed the more stringent of:  1) The FC concentration must not exceed 1000 nor may more than 20% of total samples exceed 2400.  2) The FC concentration must not exceed that which is characteristic of natural conditions by more than 200, nor may the FC concentration in a single sample exceed that which is characteristic of natural conditions by more than 400.					
		Waters not listed below	200 FC 1000 FC  More stringent of the following: For 1000 FC, no more than 20% of samples may exceed 2400 FC. Annual geometric mean FC concentration may not exceed characteristics of natural conditions by more than 200 FC, nor 400 FC in a single sample. For primary, no more than 10% of FC samples may exceed 400.					
		Lake Tahoe and Tributaries and Humboldt River Basin, Walker River, and Walker Lake	Lake Tahoe Basin also has FC limits between 5 and 32 (median) for offshore and undeveloped lake shore. Humboldt River Basin has single value of 406 EC.					
	Comments:	Nevada adopts water quality standards on a water body specific basis. The state is in the process of replacing waters with FC criteria with EC criteria as revisions are made basin-by-basin.						
	American Samoa		100 FC 35 EN					
			Open Ocean, Coastal Wate Fagatele Bay	es may exceed 2 exceed 276 EN syments except on, no sample rele Bay, and Pal	I. For Open Pago Harbor, nay exceed 124			
	Comments:	Revisions pending	ding for fresh waters.					
	Common wealth of the Northern Mariana Islands	All waters	200 FC No FC samp	les may exceed	200 FC 400 at any time			
		Class AA			35 EN			
		Class 1	125 EC 33 EN					
		Class A			125 EN			
		Class 2	300 EC 90 EN					
	Comments:	All Mariana Island One element of the						

			Freshwater		Marine				
Region	State	Class	Primary	Secondary	Primary	Secondary			
Region 9 (cont'd.)	Guam	S1/S2 Fresh Waters	126 EC 33 EN						
			No sample may exceed 235 EC or 61 EN. Values based on arithmetic mean.						
		S3 Fresh Waters	126 EC 33 EN						
			Values based on arithmetic mean. No sample may exceed 406 EC. No sample may exceed 108 EN.						
		M1/M2 Marine Waters	35 EN						
			No sample may exceed 104 EN.						
		M3 Marine Waters	35 EN						
			No sample 1	No sample may exceed 276 EN.					
	Comments:	All waters are des	All waters are designated for contact recreation.						
	Hoopa Valley Tribe		126 EC 33 EN						
	White Mountain Apache Tribe		47 EC 1000 FC 2000 FC with 10%						
				nay exceed 88 I	EC for primary	contact and 4000			
	Comments:	•	ecreation criteria apply May 1 - September 30, secondary contact apply October 1 - April 30.						
Region 10	Alaska		100 FC	200 FC	100 FC	200 FC			
			No more than 1 sample, or 10% of the samples if there are more than 10 samples, may exceed 200 FC and 400 FC for both freshwater and marine, primary and secondary, respectively.						
	Comments:	Alaska designates all waters for all uses, and the most stringent criteria must be used. Therefore, for freshwater, the drinking water use criterion of 20 FC usually drives most NPDES permit actions, 303(d) listings, and TMDL development. For marine waters, the most stringent bacterial criterion is for the seafood processing use = 20 FC (no more than 10% of the samples may exceed 40 FC). Even though Alaska has 100 FC/200 FC as its recreation criteria, more stringent criteria for other use categories take precedence.							
	Idaho		126 EC	126 EC					
			primary onl may exceed	y; secondary ap	plies all other mary contact re	September 30 for times. No sample ecreation and 576			

			Fres	Freshwater		Marine	
Region	State	Class	Primary	Secondary	Primary	Secondary	
Region 10 (cont'd.)	Oregon		126 EC		14 FC		
			No freshwater single sample may exceed 406 EC. No more than 10% of FC marine samples may exceed 43. For estuarine waters other than shellfish growing, same criterion as freshwater criterion. For estuarine waters with shellfish, same criterion as marine.				
	Washington	Class AA	50 FC		14 FC		
		(extraordinary)		n 10% of FC sa		ceed 100 and 43,	
		Class A	100 FC		14 FC		
		(excellent)	No more that respectively.		amples may exc	ceed 200 and 43,	
		Class B (good)		200 FC		100 FC	
					mples may exc	eed 400 and 200,	
		Class C (fair)				200 FC	
			No more that		amples may ex	ceed 400. Only	
		Lake Class	50 FC				
			No more tha	n 10% of sample			
	Tribes of the Chehalis Reservation  Class A (excelled)  Class E	Class AA	50 FC		14 FC		
		(extraordinary)	No more that respectively.		amples may exc	ceed 100 and 43,	
		Class A (excellent)	100 FC		14 FC		
			No more that respectively.		amples may exc	ceed 200 and 43,	
		Class B (good)		200 FC		100 FC	
				n 10% of FC sar Only designate		eed 400 and 200, y contact.	
		Class C (fair)				200 FC	
			No more tha		amples may ex	ceed 400. Only	
		Lake Class	50 FC				
			No more than 10% of samples may exceed 100 FC.				

			Fre	shwater	M	larine		
Region	State	Class	Primary	Secondary	Primary	Secondary		
Region 10 (cont'd.)	Confederated	Class I	8 EN					
	Tribes of the Colville	(extraordinary)	No sample may exceed 35 EN.					
	Reservation	Class II	16 EN					
		(excellent)	No sample n	nay exceed 75 E				
		Class III (good)	33 EN					
			No sample may exceed 150 EN. Only designated for secondary contact.					
		Lake Class						
			No sample may exceed 150 EN.					
	Confederated Tribes of the		126 EC					
	Warm Springs Reservation		No sample may exceed 406 EC.					
	Comments:	Standards are for public and private domestic water supply, water contact recreation, wildlife and hunting, fishing, and boating/recreation.						
	Confederated Tribes of the		126 EC					
	Umatilla Reservation			nay exceed 406				
	Puyallup Tribe of the Puyallup Reservation	Class AA (extraordinary)	50 FC		14 FC			
				un 10% of FC sa		ceed 100 and 43,		
		Class A (excellent)	100 FC		14 FC	_		
			No more than 10% of FC samples may exceed 200 and 43 respectively.					
		Class B (good)		200 FC		100 FC		
			No more than 10% of FC samples may exceed 400 and 200, respectively. Only designated for secondary contact.					
		Class C (fair)				200 FC		
			No more than 10% of FC samples may exceed 400. Only designated for secondary contact.					
		Lake Class	50 FC					
			No more tha	n 10% of sampl	es may exceed	100 FC.		
	Spokane Tribe of		126 EC					
	Indians		No more than 10% of samples may exceed 406 EC. This applies to single samples if less than 10 samples are taken.					

Sources: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Regional Offices and Office of Science and Technology, Standards and Health Protection Division.