PB-219 396

EVALUATION OF TECHNOL G, A FUEL ADDITIVE

Henry L. Gompf

Environmental Protection Agency Ann Arbor, Michigan

August 1972

DISTRIBUTED BY:



National Technical Information Service U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield Va. 22151

APTD-1379

PB 219 396

Evaluation of Technol G, A Fuel Additive

August 1972

Henry L. Gompf Control Device Evaluation Section Environmental Protection Agency

Reproduced by
NATIONAL TECHNICAL
INFORMATION SERVICE
U 5 Department of Commerce
Springfield VA 22181

M)

BIBLIOGRAPHIC DATA 1. Report No. APTD-1379	2.		Accession No.		
4. Title and Subtitle		5. Report Date	!		
Evaluation of Technol G, A Fuel Additive		6.	August 1972		
7. Author(s) Henry L. Gompf		8. Performing	Organization Rept.		
9. Performing Organization Name and Address	<u> </u>	10. Project/T	ask/Work Unit No.		
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY Control Device Evaluation Section Ann Arbor, Michigan		11. Contract/	Grant No.		
12. Sponsoring Organization Name and Address	<del></del>	13. Type of R	eport & Period		
Same		Inhouse			
·		14.			
15. Supplementary Notes	<del> </del>				
			•		
16. Abstracts A test program was conducted to ev	valuate Tech	nol G, a fuel addit	ive. The manu-		
facturer recommends that Technol G be mixed of one part Technol G to every one-thousand tests were performed using a 1962 Chevrolet transmission. Technol G was added to Indoler manufacturer. The vehicle was driven abouting and mixture delivery to the engine fuel ance with the 1975 Federal emission test proline and additive are presented in the Appendict on hydrocarbon or carbon monoxide emission to the low magnitude of the emission characteristics.	parts of ga equipped wi ne 30 in the 15 miles aft system. All ocedure. Com dix. Test ission could on oxides o	soline. Baseline an th a 283 CID engine proportions recommer fuel treatment the testing was perforplete test results data indicate that be measured due to finitrogen emissioned, to adequately expressions.	d additive and automatic ended by the o insure mix- med in accord- for the base- no beneficial normal test was measured. valuate Tech-		
nol G, a fleet evaluation would be necessary	/ to definit	Ively show the over	all effect.		
17. Key Words and Document Analysis. 170. Descriptors	<u> </u>	<u></u>	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Air pollution Exhaust emissions Fuel additives Tests Standards Vehicles Hydrocarbon Carbon monoxide Carbon dioxide Nitrogen oxides			•		
17b. Identifiers/Open-Buded Terms					
Technol G Federal Test Procedure (1975)					
†					
17c. COSATI Field/Group   138	and the second s	·	The state of the s		
18. Availability Statement	15	), Security Class (This Report)	21. No. of Pages		
Unlimited	20	Report) UNCLASSIFIED Security Class (This	22. Přice		
The state of the s		Page UNCLASSIFIED			

#### Background

The Office of Air Programs was requested to evaluate Technol G, a fuel additive. With the request the Oil Technology Corporation supplied a test report on Technol G from the Stevens Institute of Technology. Using the 7-mode/7-cycle Federal procedure with a cold start, Stevens Institute reported a 12% reduction in hydrocarbon level and a 17% reduction in carbon monoxide level on a 1967 Oldsmobile with the use of Technol G. No effect on the oxides of nitrogen level was reported. The Test and Evaluation Branch arranged a confirmatory evaluation in the Ann Arbor laboratory.

## Additive Description

The manufacturer recommends that Technol G be mixed with gasoline in the volumetric proportion of one part Technol G to every one-thousand parts of gasoline. The manufacturer further states that Technol G is a petroleum distillate containing "no salts, acids or heavy metals". The odor of Technol G suggests that the additive contains naphthalene. No description of the full composition of the additive was provided.

### Test Procedure

Baseline and additive tests were performed using a 1962 Chevrolet equipped with a 283 CID engine and automatic transmission. Technol G was added to Indolene 30, a standard test fuel specified in the Federal procedures, in the proportions recommended by the manufacturer. The vehicle was driven about 15 miles after fuel treatment to insure mixing and mixture delivery to the engine fuel system.

All testing was performed in accordance with the standard Federal emission test procedure as specified for 1975. Details of this procedure are presented in the July 2, 1971, Federal Register. Testing was conducted from a cold start.

## Test Results

Complete test results for the baseline and additive are presented in the Appendix of this report. The following effects using Technol G were measured.

Hydrocarbon 6% reduction Carbon Monoxide 4% reduction Carbon Dioxide 4% reduction Oxides of Nitrogen 12% increase

The changes in hydrocarbon, carbon monoxide, and carbon dioxide are well within the expected bounds of test variability for a single vehicle evaluation. Oxides of nitrogen emissions seem to have been adversely affected with the addition of Technol G.

#### Conclusions

- 1. No beneficial effect on hydrocarbon or carbon monoxide emission could be measured due to normal test variability masking.
- 2. A small adverse effect on oxides of nitrogen emission was measured.
- 3. Due to the low magnitude of the emission changes measured, to adequately evaluate Technol G, a fleet evaluation would be necessary to definitively show the overall effect.

# 1975 Federal Emission Test Results (all results in grams per mile)

	Technol G			
Baseline	<u>HC</u>	<u>co</u>	CO <sub>2</sub>	<u>NOx</u>
6/29/72 7/03/72 7/05/72 7/06/72	4.93 4.97 4.51 4.34	44.13 40.97 33.20 33.08	559.87 544.92 545.20 553.92	3.74 3.68 3.58 4.17
Average	4.69	37.84	550.98	3.79
Technol G	HC	<u>co</u>	CO <sub>2</sub>	<u>NOx</u>
7/12/72 7/13/72	4.61 4.21	36.55 36.03	519.89 541.45	4.22
Average	4.41	36.29	530.67	4.25
Percent Reduction from Baseline	6%	4%	.4%	- 12%*

<sup>\*</sup>increase