### Summary

This final rule eliminates the option to open a new EMCA using a local trust account funded by cash and/or check deposits and requires all new EMCA customers to fund their accounts using one of the following payment methods:

a. Use a personal or business credit card.

b. Authorize the USPS to originate an ACH debit from a specified bank account.

c. Participate in the Centralized Account Processing System (CAPS) debit only if combined with other *PostalOne!*<sup>®</sup> accounts such as permit imprint, Periodicals, and Business Reply Mail<sup>®</sup>.

This final rule also adds provisions to close an EMCA that apply as a result of the addition of ACH debit payments.

### Implementation

The addition of the ACH debit payment method, the elimination of cash and check deposits to open new local trust accounts, and the updated provisions to close an account are effective October 1, 2008.

Existing EMCA customers who deposit cash and checks in local trust accounts will be transitioned to electronic payment methods. Details of this process will be directly communicated to affected EMCA customers.

The Postal Service adopts the following changes to *Mailing Standards of the United States Postal Service,* Domestic Mail Manual (DMM), which is incorporated by reference in the *Code of Federal Regulations.* See 39 CFR 111.1.

### List of Subjects in 39 CFR Part 111

Administrative practice and procedure, Postal Service.

■ Accordingly, 39 CFR part 111 is amended as follows:

### PART 111-[AMENDED]

■ 1. The authority citation for 39 CFR part 111 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 5 U.S.C. 552(a); 39 U.S.C. 101, 401, 403, 404, 414, 416, 3001–3011, 3201–3219, 3403–3406, 3621, 3622, 3626, 3632, 3633, and 5001.

■ 2. Revise the following sections of Mailing Standards of the United States Postal Service, Domestic Mail Manual (DMM), as follows:

### 400 Commercial Parcels

\* \* \* \* \* 410 Express Mail

### \* \* \* \* \*

414 Postage Payment Methods

2.0 Corporate Accounts

[Revise the heading and text in 2.4 as follows:]

### 2.4 Payment Method

For opening and maintaining an account, the mailer may do any of the following:

a. Use a personal or business credit card.

b. Authorize the USPS to originate an Automated Clearing House (ACH) debit from a specified bank account.

c. Participate in the Centralized Account Processing System (CAPS) debit only if combined with other *PostalOne!* accounts such as permit imprint, Periodicals, and Business Reply Mail.

d. Existing EMCA customers who deposit cash and checks in local trust accounts must maintain a minimum balance in the account equal to an average week's postage and fees, or \$100, whichever is higher.

### 2.6 Closing Account

### [Revise the text in 2.6 as follows:]

\*

The USPS may close an account with 10 days' written advance notice to the account holder (and reserves the right to refer closed corporate accounts with negative balances or unpaid mailings to a collection agency), for any of the following reasons:

a. The ending balance on the mailing activity statement is below the minimum balance required for two consecutive months.

b. The account remains inactive for three consecutive months, unless circumstances warrant otherwise (e.g., a seasonal mailer, positive balance, etc.).

c. For any unpaid mailings.

d. There are repetitive unpaid mailings due to rejection of payment by the account holders' credit card company or ACH institution. The closing of an account due to repetitive unpaid mailings caused by the rejection of the payment by the banking institution is subject to review by the manager, Business Mail Entry.

\* \* \* \*

### Neva R. Watson,

Attorney, Legislative. [FR Doc. E8–18886 Filed 8–18–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7710-12–P

### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 131

[EPA-HQ-OW-2008-0495; FRL-8706-7]

### Withdrawal of the Federal Water Quality Standards Use Designations for Soda Creek and Portions of Canyon Creek, South Fork Coeur d'Alene River, and Blackfoot River in Idaho

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Direct final rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is taking direct final action to withdraw the Federal water quality standards designating cold water biota uses for Soda Creek and portions of Canyon Creek, South Fork Coeur d'Alene River, and Blackfoot River in Idaho. In July 1997, EPA promulgated a Federal rule designating uses for water bodies in the State of Idaho, including the designation of cold water biota for Soda Creek, and portions of Canyon Creek, South Fork Coeur d'Alene River, and Blackfoot River, with the exception of any portion in Indian country. These Federal water quality standards designating cold water biota uses are no longer necessary since EPA approved Idaho's adopted uses that result in protection for cold water biota. EPA is also withdrawing the water quality standards variance provision applicable to these uses, because this provision is no longer necessary with the withdrawal of the Federal water quality standards designating these uses.

**DATES:** This rule is effective on November 17, 2008 without further notice, unless EPA receives adverse comment by September 18, 2008. If EPA receives adverse comment, we will publish a timely withdrawal in the **Federal Register** informing the public that the rule will not take effect.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OW-2008-0495, by one of the following methods:

• *www.regulations.gov:* Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.

• *E-mail: ow-docket@epa.gov.* 

• *Mail to either:* Water Docket, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Mailcode: 2822T, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20460 or Lisa Macchio, U.S. EPA, Region 10, Mailcode: OWW–131, 1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 900, Seattle, Washington 98101, Attention: Docket ID No. EPA– HQ–OW–2008–0495.

• *Hand Delivery:* EPA Docket Center, EPA West Room 3334, 1301

Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20004 or Lisa Macchio, U.S. EPA, Region 10, 1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 900, Seattle, WA 98101, Attention Docket ID No. EPA–HQ–OW–2008– 0495. Such deliveries are only accepted during the Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of boxed information.

Instructions: Direct your comments to Docket ID No. EPA-HO-OW-2008-0495. EPA's policy is that all comments received will be included in the public docket without change and may be made available online at www.regulations.gov, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes information claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Do not submit information that you consider to be CBI or otherwise protected through www.regulations.gov or e-mail. The www.regulations.gov Web site is an "anonymous access" system, which means EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send an e-mail comment directly to EPA without going through www.regulations.gov your email address will be automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the public docket and made available on the Internet. If you submit an electronic comment, EPA recommends that you include your name and other contact information in the body of your comment and with any disk or CD–ROM you submit. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment. Electronic files should avoid the use of special characters, any form of encryption, and be free of any defects or viruses. For additional information about EPA's public docket visit the EPA Docket Center homepage at http:// www.epa.gov/epahome/dockets.htm.

Docket: All documents in the docket are listed in the www.regulations.gov index. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, e.g., CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, will be publicly available only in hard copy. Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically in www.regulations.gov or in hard copy at two docket facilities. The OW Docket Center is open from 8:30 until 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The OW Docket Center

telephone number is (202) 566-2426, and the Docket address is OW Docket, EPA West, Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20004. The Public Reading Room is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The telephone number for the Public Reading Room is (202) 566-1744. Publicly available docket materials are also available in hard copy at U.S. EPA, Region 10, 1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 900, Seattle, WA 98101. Docket materials can be accessed from 9 a.m. until 3 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The telephone number is (206) 553-1834.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Wendy Drake, U.S. EPA Headquarters, Office of Water, Mailcode: 4305T, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20460; telephone number: 202–564–2926; fax number: 202–566–0409; e-mail address: *drake.wendy@epa.gov* or Lisa Macchio, U.S. EPA, Region 10, Mailcode: OWW– 131, 1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 900, Seattle, Washington 98101; telephone number: 206–553–1834; fax number: 206–553–0165; e-mail address: *macchio.lisa@epa.gov*.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In July 1997, EPA promulgated a Federal rule designating uses for water bodies in the State of Idaho, including the designation of cold water biota for Soda Creek, and portions of Canvon Creek. South Fork Coeur d'Alene River, and Blackfoot River, with the exception of any portion in Indian country (62 FR 41183, July 31, 1997). In March 2000, Idaho adopted a revised use for a segment of Blackfoot River, which changed from "Protected for Future Use" to undesignated. In Idaho, undesignated waters are protected for all recreational use in and on the water and for the propagation of fish, shellfish, and wildlife (IDAPA 58.01.02.101.01). In March 2002, Idaho adopted a use designation of cold water biota for segments of Canyon Creek and South Fork Coeur d'Alene River. In March 2006, Idaho adopted a revised use for Soda Creek, which changed from "NONE" to undesignated. As described in the undesignated surface waters provision of Idaho's Water Quality Standards (IDAPA 58.01.02.101.01a), the Idaho Department of Environmental Quality (IDEQ) applies cold water aquatic life criteria to undesignated waters because it is presumed that most waters in the State will support cold water aquatic life. Thus, cold water aquatic life criteria now apply to Soda Creek and the segment of the Blackfoot River. EPA approved Idaho's revised water quality standards for segments of

Canvon Creek and South Fork Coeur d'Alene River on June 24, 2005, and for Soda Creek on August 15, 2006. EPA approved Idaho's revised water quality standards for the segment of the Blackfoot River, except for any portion in Indian country, on August 22, 2006. Thus, the Federal water quality standards designating Soda Creek and portions of Canyon Creek, South Fork Coeur d'Alene River, and Blackfoot River for cold water biota use (40 CFR 131.33(b)) is no longer necessary, and EPA is withdrawing it with this action. EPA is also withdrawing the water quality standards variance provision applicable to these uses (40 CFR 131.33(d)), because this provision is no longer necessary with the withdrawal of the Federal water quality standards designating these uses.

#### I. Why EPA Is Using a Direct Final Rule

EPA is publishing this rule without a prior proposed rule because we view this as a noncontroversial action and anticipate no adverse comment because this action withdraws the Federal water quality standards designating cold water biota uses that are no longer necessary since EPA approved Idaho's adopted uses that result in protection for cold water biota. However, in the "Proposed Rules" section of today's Federal **Register**, we are publishing a separate document that will serve as the proposed rule to withdraw the Federal water quality standards for these uses if adverse comments are received on this direct final rule. We will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting must do so at this time. For further information about commenting on this rule, see the ADDRESSES section of this document.

If EPA receives adverse comment, we will publish a timely withdrawal in the **Federal Register** informing the public that this direct final rule will not take effect. We would address all public comments in any subsequent final rule based on the proposed rule.

## II. What Entities May Be Affected by This Action?

Citizens concerned with water quality in Idaho may be interested in this rulemaking. Entities discharging pollutants to Soda Creek, Canyon Creek, South Fork Coeur d'Alene, and Blackfoot River in Idaho could be indirectly affected by this rulemaking because water quality standards are used in determining National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit limits. Because this action withdraws the Federal water quality standards designating cold water biota uses that are no longer necessary since EPA approved Idaho's adopted uses that result in protection for cold water biota, the effect of this rulemaking may only occur when entities seek variances to water quality standards. Entities seeking variances from use designations on these waters will now apply to the state, and EPA will act on the state's decision to grant the variance.

Categories and entities that may ultimately be affected include:

Category	Examples of potentially affected entities
Industry	Industries discharging pollutants to Soda Creek, Canyon Creek, South Fork Coeur d'Alene River, and Blackfoot River in Idaho.
Municipalities	Publicly owned treatment works discharging pollutants to Soda Creek, Canyon Creek, South Fork Coeur d'Alene River, and Blackfoot River in Idaho.

This table is not intended to be exhaustive, but rather provides a guide for readers regarding NPDES regulated entities likely to be affected by this action. This table lists the types of entities that EPA is now aware could potentially be affected by this action.

### III. What To Consider in Preparing Comments for EPA

A. *Submitting CBI*. Do not submit this information to EPA through www.regulations.gov or e-mail. Clearly mark the part or all of the information that you claim to be CBI. For CBI information in a disk or CD-ROM that you mail to EPA, mark the outside of the disk or CD–ROM as CBI and then identify electronically within the disk or CD-ROM the specific information that is claimed as CBI. In addition to one complete version of the comment that includes information claimed as CBI, a copy of the comment that does not contain the information claimed as CBI must be submitted for inclusion in the public docket. Information so marked will not be disclosed except in accordance with procedures set forth in 40 CFR part 2.

B. *Tips for Preparing Your Comments.* When submitting comments, remember to:

• Identify the rulemaking by docket number and other identifying information (subject heading, **Federal Register** date and page number).

• Follow directions—The agency may ask you to respond to specific questions or organize comments by referencing a Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) part or section number.

• Explain why you agree or disagree; suggest alternatives and substitute language for your requested changes.

• Describe any assumptions and provide any technical information and/ or data that you used.

• If you estimate potential costs or burdens, explain how you arrived at your estimate in sufficient detail to allow for it to be reproduced.

• Provide specific examples to illustrate your concerns, and suggest alternatives.

• Explain your views as clearly as possible, avoiding the use of profanity or personal threats.

• Make sure to submit your comments by the comment period deadline identified.

### **IV. Background**

On July 31, 1997, pursuant to section 303(c) of the Clean Water Act (CWA), EPA promulgated water quality standards for Idaho, which designated several water body segments for cold water biota use. These segments included: A segment of the Blackfoot River, then identified as USB 360-Equalizing Dam to mouth (with the exception of any portion in Indian country); a segment of Canyon Creek (segment PB 121)—below mining impact; a segment of South Fork Coeur d'Alene River (segment PB 140S)-Daisy Gulch to mouth; and Soda Creek (segment BB 310)—source to mouth. A. Blackfoot River: In March 2000, the

Idaho Legislature adopted revised water quality standards, providing an undesignated use for the segment of the Blackfoot River that the Federal rule addressed (IDAPA 58.01.02.150.09). In Idaho, undesignated waters are protected for all recreational use in and on the water and for the propagation of fish, shellfish, and wildlife (IDAPA 58.01.02.101.01). Given the flow limitations on the Blackfoot River segment, IDEQ removed the aquatic life use designation of "Protected for Future Use" from the Blackfoot River segment and left the use undesignated so that a more appropriate aquatic use designation may be described and added to Idaho water quality standards in the future. As described in the undesignated surface waters provision (IDAPA 58.01.02.101.01a), IDEQ applies cold water aquatic life criteria to undesignated waters because it is presumed that most waters in the State will support cold water aquatic life. As EPA stated in its approval letter of August 22, 2006, EPA considers Idaho's revision to provide a default cold water aquatic life use designation for the Blackfoot River segment, except for any portion in Indian country. EPA would

consider any change in the level of protection afforded to the Blackfoot River segment to be a revision to Idaho's water quality standards, subject to EPA review pursuant to 40 CFR part 131. The water quality standards revision also included a reformatting and renumbering of the Water Body/Basin Designation Tables and the segment of the Blackfoot River previously identified as USB 360 (Equalizing Dam to mouth) was renumbered to U.S.-1 (Fort Hall Main Canal diversion to mouth), which is within the Blackfoot Subbasin of the Upper Snake Basin. Thus, cold water aquatic life criteria now apply to the U.S.-1 segment of the Blackfoot River, which was formerly identified as USB 360. EPA approved Idaho's revision, except for any portion in Indian country, on August 22, 2006. The 1997 promulgation establishing the Federal water quality standards designating uses for Blackfoot River did not apply to waters in Indian country; likewise, EPA's approval of the state's designated use for Blackfoot River excludes waters in Indian country.

B. Canyon Creek and South Fork Coeur d'Alene River: On March 15, 2002, the Idaho Legislature adopted revised water quality standards, including the cold water biota designated use for Canyon Creek, which was previously identified as PB 121 (below mining impact) and is now renumbered and renamed segment P-14 (from and including Gorge Gulch to mouth); and South Fork Coeur d'Alene River, which was previously identified as segment PB 140S and is now renumbered and includes two segments: segment P-1 (Canyon Creek to mouth) and segment P-11 (from and including Daisy Gulch to Canyon Creek) (IDAPA 58.01.02.110.09). Canyon Creek and the South Fork Coeur d'Alene River are within the South Fork Coeur d'Alene River Subbasin of the Panhandle Basin. Canvon Creek in its entirety, including segments P-14 (from and including Gorge Gulch to mouth) and P-15 (source to Gorge Gulch), is designated for cold water biota. The South Fork Coeur d'Alene River is also designated for cold water biota use in its entirety; the South

Fork Coeur d'Alene River upstream of Daisy Gulch (segment P–13 source to Daisy Gulch) was already designated as a cold water biota use. When the State first established its water quality standards, it included the phrase "below mining impact" to identify a number of stream segments in order to account for the lingering adverse environmental effects of numerous abandoned mines in the State. EPA recognized the concerns of the State and used the same terminology in its promulgation of Federal standards on July 31, 1997. EPA approved Idaho's revisions on June 24, 2005.

C. Soda Creek: In March 2006, the Idaho Legislature adopted revised water quality standards, removing the use designation of "NONE" and providing an undesignated use for Soda Creek. In Idaho, undesignated waters are protected for all recreational use in and on the water and for the propagation of fish, shellfish, and wildlife (IDAPA 58.01.02.101.01). Soda Creek had been identified as segment BB 310 (source to mouth) and is now renumbered and includes three segments: segments B-23 (Soda Creek Reservoir Dam to Alexander Reservoir), B-24 (Soda Creek Reservoir), and B-25 (source to Soda Creek Reservoir) in the South Fork Clearwater Subbasin of the Clearwater Basin (IDAPA 58.01.02.160.02). IDEQ initially proposed that Soda Creek be designated for coldwater aquatic life use. However, due to a lack of data, particularly water temperature records, showing that cold water aquatic life criteria were met, Soda Creek was left undesignated. As described in the undesignated surface waters provision (IDAPA 58.01.02.101.01a), IDEQ applies cold water aquatic life criteria to undesignated waters because it is presumed that most waters in the State will support cold water aquatic life. Thus, cold water aquatic life criteria now apply to Soda Creek. EPA approved Idaho's revision on August 15, 2006. As EPA stated in this approval letter, EPA considers Idaho's revision to provide a default cold water aquatic life use designation for Soda Creek. EPA would consider any change in the level of protection afforded to Soda Creek to be a revision to Idaho's water quality standards, subject to EPA review pursuant to 40 CFR part 131.

D. EPA-approved Use Designations and Criteria: For Blackfoot River (US–1) and Soda Creek (B–23, B–24, and B–25), the State now applies an undesignated use that is practically equivalent to the aquatic life use established by EPA in its July 31, 1997, rulemaking because cold water biota criteria apply. Specifically, Idaho's undesignated surface waters provision states (IDAPA 58.01.02.101):

"Surface waters not designated in Sections 110 through 160 shall be designated according to Section 39–3604, Idaho Code, taking into consideration the use of the surface water and such physical, geological, chemical, and biological measures as may affect the surface water. Prior to designation, undesignated waters shall be protected for beneficial uses, which includes all recreational use in and on the water and the protection and propagation of fish, shellfish, and wildlife, wherever attainable.

a. Because [IDEQ] presumes most waters in the state will support cold water aquatic life and primary or secondary contact recreation beneficial uses, [IDEQ] will apply cold water aquatic life and primary or secondary contact recreation criteria to undesignated waters unless Sections 101.01.b and 101.01c. are followed.

b. During the review of any new or existing activity on an undesignated water, [IDEQ] may examine all relevant data or may require the gathering of relevant data on beneficial uses; pending determination in Section 101.01.c. existing activities will be allowed to continue.

c. If, after review and public notice of relevant data, it is determined that beneficial uses in addition to or other than cold water aquatic life and primary or secondary contact recreation are appropriate, then [IDEQ] will:

i. Complete the review and compliance determination of the activity in context with the new information on beneficial uses, and

ii. Initiate rulemaking necessary to designate the undesignated water, including providing all necessary data and information to support the proposed designation."

For Canyon Creek (P–14) and South Fork Coeur d'Alene River (P–1 and P– 11), the State now applies an aquatic life use designation that is the same as the one established by EPA in its July 31, 1997 rulemaking ("cold water biota"). Therefore, withdrawing the Federal water quality standards designating these uses will not result in a change in the level of protection afforded to Soda Creek, Canyon Creek, South Fork Coeur d'Alene River, or Blackfoot River.

EPA's action to remove the federal water quality standards that designated uses for Soda Creek and portions of Canvon Creek, South Fork Coeur d'Alene River, and Blackfoot River does not change the water quality criteria that apply to these water bodies. Idaho's water quality criteria that provide protection for the cold water aquatic life use are found in several sections of Idaho's water quality standards. Specifically, the general surface water criteria applicable to all surface waters in Idaho are provided in IDAPA 58.01.02.200, and numeric criteria for toxic substances for waters designated for aquatic life use apply per IDAPA 58.01.02.210a. IDAPA 58.01.02.250 provides additional aquatic life criteria

applicable to the segments from which the federal water quality standards are being withdrawn, including general criteria for pH and dissolved gas that apply to all aquatic life use designations (IDAPA 58.01.02.250.01), as well as cold water criteria for dissolved oxygen, temperature, ammonia (acute and chronic), and turbidity that apply to waters designated for cold water aquatic life (IDAPA 58.01.02.250.02).

E. Water Quality Standards Variance: In promulgating Federal water quality standards designating uses for Idaho waters, EPA also included a water quality standards variance provision (40 CFR 131.33(d)) authorizing the EPA Region 10 Regional Administrator to grant variances from the Federal water quality standards that designated the cold water biota uses. Because today's rule removes the Federal water quality standards designating these uses, provision 40 CFR 131.33(d) is no longer necessary and is also withdrawn with this action. Idaho has adopted its own water quality standards variance provision (IDAPA 58.01.02.260), which was approved by EPA on June 25, 1996.

### V. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

# Executive Order 12866 (Regulatory Planning and Review)

This action withdraws Federal requirements applicable to Idaho and imposes no regulatory requirements on any person or entity, does not interfere with the action or planned action of another agency, and does not have any budgetary impacts or raise novel legal or policy issues. The action imposes no additional cost on the regulated community because it will not change the level of environmental protection already achieved. The rule imposes only minimal additional effort on the State of Idaho as the regulator, because entities seeking variances from use designations will now apply to the state instead of to EPA. Thus, it has been determined that this rule is not a "significant regulatory action" under the terms of Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993) and is therefore not subject to review under the Executive Order.

### Paperwork Reduction Act

This rule does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the *Paperwork Reduction Act* (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), because it is administratively withdrawing Federal requirements that no longer need to apply to Idaho. Burden is defined at 5 CFR 1320.3(b).

### Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.), as amended by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally requires an agency to prepare a regulatory flexibility analysis of a rule that is subject to notice and comment rulemaking requirements under the Administrative Procedure Act or any other statute unless the agency certifies that the rule will not have significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Small entities include small businesses, small organizations, and small governmental jurisdictions.

For purposes of assessing the impacts of this action on small entities, small entity is defined as: (1) A small business as defined by the Small Business Administration's (SBA) regulations at 13 CFR 121.201; (2) a small governmental jurisdiction that is a government of a city, county, town, school district or special district with a population of less than 50,000; and (3) a small organization that is any not-for-profit enterprise which is independently owned and operated and is not dominant in its field.

This rule imposes no regulatory requirements or costs on any small entity. Therefore, I certify that this action will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

### Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

Title II of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act (UMRA), Public Law 104-4 establishes requirements for Federal agencies to assess the effects of their regulatory actions on State, Tribal, and local governments and the private sector. Under section 202 of the UMRA, EPA generally must prepare a written statement, including a cost-benefit analysis, for proposed and final rules with "Federal mandates" that may result in expenditures to State, local, and tribal governments, in the aggregate, or to the private sector, of \$100 million or more in any one year. Before promulgating an EPA rule for which a written statement is needed, section 205 of the UMRA generally requires EPA to identify and consider a reasonable number of regulatory alternatives and adopt the least costly, most costeffective or least burdensome alternative that achieves the objectives of the rule. The provisions of section 205 do not apply when they are inconsistent with applicable law. Moreover, section 205 allows EPA to adopt an alternative other than the least costly, most cost-effective or least burdensome alternative if the

Administrator publishes with the final rule an explanation why that alternative was not adopted. Before EPA establishes any regulatory requirements that may significantly or uniquely affect small governments, including tribal governments, it must have developed under section 203 of the UMRA a small government agency plan. The plan must provide for notifying potentially affected small governments, enabling officials of affected small governments to have meaningful and timely input in the development of EPA regulatory proposals with significant Federal intergovernmental mandates, and informing, educating, and advising small governments on compliance with the regulatory requirements.

Today's rule contains no Federal mandates (under the regulatory provisions of Title II of the UMRA) for State, Tribal, or local governments or the private sector because it imposes no enforceable duty on any of these entities. Thus, today's rule is not subject to the requirements of UMRA sections 202 and 205. Similarly, EPA has determined that this rule contains no regulatory requirements that might significantly or uniquely affect small governments and is therefore not subject to UMRA section 203.

### Executive Order 13132 (Federalism)

Executive Order 13132, entitled "Federalism" (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999), requires EPA to develop an accountable process to ensure "meaningful and timely input by State and local officials in the development of regulatory policies that have federalism implications." "Policies that have federalism implications" is defined in the Executive Order to include regulations that have "substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government."

This rule does not have federalism implications. It will not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government, as specified in Executive Order 13132. This rule imposes no regulatory requirements on any State, Tribal, or local government. The rule imposes only minimal additional effort on the State of Idaho as the regulator, because entities seeking variances from use designations will now apply to the state instead of to EPA. Thus, Executive Order 13132 does not apply to this rule.

### Executive Order 13175 (Consultation and Coordination With Indian Tribal Governments)

Executive Order 13175, entitled "Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments" (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000), requires EPA to develop an accountable process to ensure "meaningful and timely input by tribal officials in the development of regulatory policies that have tribal implications." This rule does not have tribal implications, as specified in Executive Order 13175. It imposes no regulatory requirements or costs on any Tribal government. It does not have substantial direct effects on Tribal governments, on the relationship between the Federal government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal government and Indian tribes. Thus, Executive Order 13175 does not apply to this rule.

### Executive Order 13045 (Protection of Children From Environmental Health and Safety Risks)

This rule is not subject to Executive Order 13045, entitled "Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks" (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997), because it is not economically significant as defined in Executive Order 12866, and EPA has no reason to believe the environmental health or safety risks addressed by this action present a disproportionate risk to children.

### Executive Order 13211 (Actions That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use)

This rule is not subject to Executive Order 13211, "Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use" (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001), because it is not a significant regulatory action under Executive Order 12866.

### National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act

Section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 ("NTTAA"), Public Law 104–113, 12(d) (15 U.S.C. 272 note) directs EPA to use voluntary consensus standards in its regulatory activities unless to do so would be inconsistent with applicable law or otherwise impractical. Voluntary consensus standards are technical standards (e.g., materials specifications, test methods, sampling procedures, and business practices) that are developed or adopted by voluntary consensus standards bodies. NTTAA directs EPA to provide Congress, through OMB, explanations

when the Agency decides not to use available and applicable voluntary consensus standards.

This action does not involved technical standards. Therefore, EPA did not consider the use of any voluntary consensus standards.

Executive Order 12898 (Federal Actions To Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations)

Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994) establishes Federal executive policy on environmental justice. Its main provision directs Federal agencies, to the greatest extent practicable and permitted by law, to make environmental justice part of their mission by identifying and addressing, as appropriate, disproportionately high and adverse human health or environmental effects of their programs, policies, and activities on minority populations and low-income populations in the United States.

EPA has determined that this direct final rule will not have disproportionately high and adverse human health or environmental effects on minority or low-income populations, because it does not affect the level of protection provided to human health or the environment.

### Congressional Review Act

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 et seq., as added by the Small **Business Regulatory Enforcement** Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the Federal Register. A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the Federal Register. This action is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2) and will be effective on November 17, 2008.

### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 131

Environmental protection, Intergovernmental relations, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Water pollution control, Water quality standards. Dated: August 13, 2008. Stephen L. Johnson, Administrator.

■ For the reasons set forth in the preamble, 40 CFR part 131 is amended as follows:

### PART 131—WATER QUALITY STANDARDS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 131 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 1251 et seq.

### §131.33 [Amended]

■ 2. Section 131.33 is amended by removing and reserving paragraph (b) and by removing paragraph (d).

[FR Doc. E8–19201 Filed 8–18–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

### FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

### 47 CFR Part 27

[WT Docket Nos. 03–66; RM–10586; 03–67; 02–68; IB Docket No. 02–364; ET Docket No. 00–258; DA 08–1879]

### Facilitating the Provision of Fixed and Mobile Broadband Access, Educational and Other Advanced Services in the 2150–2162 and 2500– 2690 MHz Bands

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Final rule; extension of time for filing replies to oppositions to petition for reconsideration.

**SUMMARY:** In this document, the Commission extends the deadline for filing replies to oppositions to petition for reconsideration. This action is taken in order to allow the Educational Broadband Service (EBS) and Broadband Radio Service (BRS) communities to discuss the complex issues at stake and develop consensus approaches where possible.

**DATES:** Replies to oppositions are due on or before September 5, 2008.

**ADDRESSES:** Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554. You may submit replies to oppositions to petition for reconsideration, identified by WT Docket No. 03–66, RM–10586, by any of the following methods:

• Federal eRulemaking Portal: *http://www.regulations.gov*. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

• Federal Communications Commission's Web Site: *http:// www.fcc.gov/cgb/ecfs/*. Follow the instructions for submitting comments. • People with Disabilities: Contact the FCC to request reasonable accommodations (accessible format documents, sign language interpreters, CART, etc.) by e-mail: *FCC504@fcc.gov* or phone: (202) 418–0530 or TTY: (202) 418–0432.

For detailed instructions for submitting replies to oppositions to petition for reconsideration and additional information on the rulemaking process, see the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section of this document.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Schauble, Deputy Chief, Broadband Division, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554, at (202) 418– 0797 or via the Internet to John.Schauble@fcc.gov.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This is a summary of an Order, DA 08-1879 adopted and released by the FCC on August 8, 2008 in WT Docket No. 03-66, RM-10586. The full text of this document is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Information Center, Room CY-A257, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554. The complete text may be purchased from the Commission's duplicating contractor, Best Copy and Printing, Inc. (BCPI), Portals II, 445 12th Street, SW., Room CY-B402, Washington, DC 20554, (202) 488-5300, facsimile (202) 488-5563, or via e-mail at *fcc@bcpiweb.com*. The complete text is also available on the Commission's Web site at http:// wireless.fcc.gov/edocspublic/ attachment/DA-08-1879A1doc. This full text may also be downloaded at: http://wireless.fcc.gov/releases.html. Alternative formats (computer diskette, large print, audio cassette, and Braille) are available by contacting Brian Millin at (202) 418-7426, TTY (202) 418-7365, or via e-mail to *bmillin@fcc.gov*.

### Summary of the Order

1. On March 20, 2008, the Commission released a *Fourth Memorandum Opinion and Order* (73 FR 26032, May 8, 2008) in the abovecaptioned proceeding. Petitions for reconsideration of the *Fourth Memorandum Opinion and Order* were due on June 9, 2008, oppositions to petitions for reconsideration were due on July 29, 2008, and replies to oppositions were due on August 13, 2008.

2. On June 9, 2008, the Wireless Communications Association International, Inc. (WCA) timely filed a Petition for Reconsideration of the