

natural progesterone. According to the Commission's complaint, the respondents represented that Eternal Woman Progesterone Cream and Pro-Gest Body Cream: (1) were effective in preventing, treating, or curing osteoporosis; (2) were effective in preventing or reducing the risk of estrogen-induced endometrial (uterine) cancer; and (3) did not increase the user's risk of developing breast cancer and/or were effective in preventing or reducing the user's risk of developing breast cancer. The complaint alleged that the respondents failed to have substantiation for these claims. The proposed consent order contains provisions designed to prevent the respondents from engaging in similar acts and practices in the future.

Part I of the proposed order requires the respondents to have competent and reliable scientific evidence substantiating claims that any progesterone product or any other dietary supplement, food, drug, device or health-related service or program is effective in preventing, treating, or curing osteoporosis, in preventing or reducing the risk of estrogen-induced endometrial cancer or breast cancer, or in the mitigation, treatment, prevention, or cure of any disease, illness, or health condition; that it does not increase the user's risk of developing breast cancer, is safe for human use, or has no side effects; or about its health benefits, performance, efficacy, safety, or side effects.

Part II of the proposed order prevents the respondents from misrepresenting the existence, contents, validity, results, conclusions, or interpretations of any test, study, or research.

Part III of the proposed order provides that the order does not prohibit the respondents from making representations for any drug that are permitted in labeling for the drug under any tentative final or final Food and Drug Administration ("FDA") standard or under any new drug application approved by the FDA; representations for any medical device that are permitted in labeling under any new medical device application approved by the FDA; and representations for any product that are specifically permitted in labeling for that product by regulations issued by the FDA under the Nutrition Labeling and Education Act of 1990.

Parts IV through VIII require the respondents to keep copies of relevant advertisements and materials substantiating claims made in the advertisements; to provide copies of the order to certain of their personnel; to notify the Commission of changes in

corporate structure and changes in employment that might affect compliance obligations under the order; and to file compliance reports with the Commission. Part IX provides that the order will terminate after twenty (20) years under certain circumstances.

The purpose of this analysis is to facilitate public comment on the proposed order. It is not intended to constitute an official interpretation of the agreement and proposed order or to modify in any way their terms.

By direction of the Commission.

Donald S. Clark

Secretary

[FR Doc. E8-1169 Filed 1-23-08; 8:45 am]

[BILLING CODE 6750-01-S]

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

[File No. 072 3046]

Life is good, Inc., and Life is good Retail, Inc.; Analysis of Proposed Consent Order to Aid Public Comment

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission.

ACTION: Proposed Consent Agreement.

SUMMARY: The consent agreement in this matter settles alleged violations of federal law prohibiting unfair or deceptive acts or practices or unfair methods of competition. The attached Analysis to Aid Public Comment describes both the allegations in the draft complaint and the terms of the consent order—embodied in the consent agreement—that would settle these allegations.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before February 19, 2008.

ADDRESSES: Interested parties are invited to submit written comments. Comments should refer to "Life is good, File No. 072 3046," to facilitate the organization of comments. A comment filed in paper form should include this reference both in the text and on the envelope, and should be mailed or delivered to the following address: Federal Trade Commission/Office of the Secretary, Room 135-H, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20580. Comments containing confidential material must be filed in paper form, must be clearly labeled "Confidential," and must comply with Commission Rule 4.9(c). 16 CFR 4.9(c) (2005).¹ The FTC is

¹ The comment must be accompanied by an explicit request for confidential treatment, including the factual and legal basis for the request, and must identify the specific portions of the comment to be withheld from the public record. The request will be granted or denied by the Commission's General Counsel, consistent with

requesting that any comment filed in paper form be sent by courier or overnight service, if possible, because U.S. postal mail in the Washington area and at the Commission is subject to delay due to heightened security precautions. Comments that do not contain any nonpublic information may instead be filed in electronic form as part of or as an attachment to email messages directed to the following email box: consentagreement@ftc.gov.

The FTC Act and other laws the Commission administers permit the collection of public comments to consider and use in this proceeding as appropriate. All timely and responsive public comments, whether filed in paper or electronic form, will be considered by the Commission, and will be available to the public on the FTC website, to the extent practicable, at www.ftc.gov. As a matter of discretion, the FTC makes every effort to remove home contact information for individuals from the public comments it receives before placing those comments on the FTC website. More information, including routine uses permitted by the Privacy Act, may be found in the FTC's privacy policy, at <http://www.ftc.gov/ftc/privacy.htm>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jessica Rich, FTC Bureau of Consumer Protection, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20580, (202) 326-2252.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to section 6(f) of the Federal Trade Commission Act, 38 Stat. 721, 15 U.S.C. 46(f), and § 2.34 of the Commission Rules of Practice, 16 CFR 2.34, notice is hereby given that the above-captioned consent agreement containing a consent order to cease and desist, having been filed with and accepted, subject to final approval, by the Commission, has been placed on the public record for a period of thirty (30) days. The following Analysis to Aid Public Comment describes the terms of the consent agreement, and the allegations in the complaint. An electronic copy of the full text of the consent agreement package can be obtained from the FTC Home Page (for January 17, 2008), on the World Wide Web, at <http://www.ftc.gov/os/2008/01/index.htm>. A paper copy can be obtained from the FTC Public Reference Room, Room 130-H, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20580, either in person or by calling (202) 326-2222.

Public comments are invited, and may be filed with the Commission in either paper or electronic form. All comments

applicable law and the public interest. See Commission Rule 4.9(c), 16 CFR 4.9(c).

should be filed as prescribed in the **ADDRESSES** section above, and must be received on or before the date specified in the **DATES** section.

Analysis of Agreement Containing Consent Order to Aid Public Comment

The Federal Trade Commission has accepted, subject to final approval, a consent agreement from Life is good, Inc. and Life is good Retail, Inc. (collectively, "Life is good").

The proposed consent order has been placed on the public record for thirty (30) days for receipt of comments by interested persons. Comments received during this period will become part of the public record. After thirty (30) days, the Commission will again review the agreement and the comments received, and will decide whether it should withdraw from the agreement and take appropriate action or make final the agreement's proposed order.

Life is good designs and distributes retail apparel and accessories and operates a retail website at *www.lifeisgood.com*. In selling its products, Life is good routinely has collected sensitive information from consumers, including name, address, e-mail address, phone number, credit card number, credit card expiration date, and credit card security code (hereinafter "consumer information"). Life is good has collected this consumer information through its website and telephone orders and stored it on a network computer accessible through the website. This matter concerns alleged false or misleading representations Life is good made about the security it provided for this information.

The Commission's proposed complaint alleges that Life is good represented that it implemented reasonable and appropriate security measures to protect the privacy and confidentiality of sensitive consumer information. The complaint alleges this representation was false because Life is good engaged in a number of practices that, taken together, failed to provide reasonable and appropriate security for the sensitive consumer information stored on its computer network. In particular, Life is good: (1) created unnecessary risks to credit card information by storing it indefinitely in clear, readable text on its network without a business need, and by storing credit card security codes; (2) failed to assess adequately the vulnerability of its web application and corporate computer network to certain commonly known or reasonably foreseeable attacks, such as SQL injection attacks; (3) failed to implement simple, free or low-cost, and readily available defenses to SQL and

related types of attacks; (4) failed to use readily available security measures to monitor and control connections from the network to the internet; and (5) failed to employ sufficient measures to detect unauthorized access to credit card information.

The complaint further alleges that between June and August 2006, a hacker exploited Life is good's failures by using SQL injection attacks on Life is good's website and web application and exporting to the hacker's browser consumer information for thousands of customers, including credit card numbers, expiration dates, and security codes.

The proposed order applies to personal information Life is good collects from or about consumers. It contains provisions designed to prevent Life is good from engaging in the future in practices similar to those alleged in the complaint.

Part I of the proposed order prohibits Life is good, in connection with the collection of personally identifiable information from or about consumers, in or affecting commerce, from misrepresenting the extent to which it maintains and protects the privacy, confidentiality, or integrity of such information.

Part II of the proposed order requires Life is good to establish and maintain a comprehensive information security program in writing that is reasonably designed to protect the security, confidentiality, and integrity of personal information collected from or about consumers. The security program must contain administrative, technical, and physical safeguards appropriate to Life is good's size and complexity, the nature and scope of its activities, and the sensitivity of the personal information collected from or about consumers. Specifically, the order requires Life is good to:

1. Designate an employee or employees to coordinate and be accountable for the information security program.

2. Identify material internal and external risks to the security, confidentiality, and integrity of personal information that could result in the unauthorized disclosure, misuse, loss, alteration, destruction, or other compromise of such information, and assess the sufficiency of any safeguards in place to control these risks.

3. Design and implement reasonable safeguards to control the risks identified through risk assessment, and regularly test or monitor the effectiveness of the safeguards' key controls, systems, and procedures.

4. Develop and use reasonable steps to retain service providers capable of appropriately safeguarding personal information they receive from respondents, require service providers by contract to implement and maintain appropriate safeguards, and monitor their safeguarding of personal information.

5. Evaluate and adjust its information security program in light of the results of the testing and monitoring, any material changes to its operations or business arrangements, or any other circumstances that it knows or has reason to know may have a material impact on the effectiveness of their information security program.

Part III of the proposed order requires that Life is good obtain, covering the first 180 days after the order is served, and on a biennial basis thereafter for twenty (20) years, an assessment and report from a qualified, objective, independent third-party professional, certifying, among other things, that (1) it has in place a security program that provides protections that meet or exceed the protections required by Part II of the proposed order; and (2) its security program is operating with sufficient effectiveness to provide reasonable assurance that the security, confidentiality, and integrity of consumers' personal information is protected.

Parts IV through VII of the proposed order are reporting and compliance provisions. Part IV requires Life is good to retain documents relating to their compliance with the order. For most records, the order required that the documents be retained for a five-year period. For the third-party assessments and supporting documents, Life is good must retain the documents for a period of three years after the date that each assessment is prepared. Part V requires dissemination of the order now and in the future to persons with responsibilities relating to the subject matter of the order. Part VI ensures notification to the FTC of changes in corporate status. Part VII mandates that Life is good submit an initial compliance report to the FTC, and make available to the FTC subsequent reports. Part VIII is a provision "sunsetting" the order after twenty (20) years, with certain exceptions.

The purpose of the analysis is to aid public comment on the proposed order. It is not intended to constitute an official interpretation of the proposed order or to modify its terms in any way.

By direction of the Commission.

Donald S. Clark

Secretary

[FR Doc. E8-1168 Filed 1-23-08; 8:45 am]

[BILLING CODE 6750-01-S]

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

[OMB Control No. 3090-0197]

General Services Administration Acquisition Regulation; Information Collection; GSAR Provision 552.237-70, Qualifications of Offerors

AGENCY: Office of the Chief Acquisition Officer, GSA.

ACTION: Notice of request for comments regarding a renewal to an existing OMB clearance.

SUMMARY: Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), the General Services Administration will be submitting to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request to review and approve a renewal of a currently approved information collection requirement regarding the qualifications of offerors. The clearance currently expires on April 30, 2008.

Public comments are particularly invited on: Whether this collection of information is necessary and whether it will have practical utility; whether our estimate of the public burden of this collection of information is accurate, and based on valid assumptions and methodology; ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected.

DATES: Submit comments on or before: March 24, 2008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Michael Jackson, Contract Policy Division, GSA, (202) 208-4949.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this

burden to the Regulatory Secretariat (VIR), General Services Administration, Room 4035, 1800 F Street, NW., Washington, DC 20405. Please cite OMB Control No. 3090-0197, GSAR Provision 552.237-70, Qualifications of Offerors, in all correspondence.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A. Purpose

The General Services Administration (GSA) has various mission responsibilities related to the acquisition and provision of service contracts. These mission responsibilities generate requirements that are realized through the solicitation and award of contracts for building services. Individual solicitations and resulting contracts may impose unique information collection and reporting requirements on contractors not required by regulation, but necessary to evaluate particular program accomplishments and measure success in meeting program objectives.

B. Annual Reporting Burden

Respondents: 6794

Responses Per Respondent: 1

Hours Per Response: 1

Total Burden Hours: 6794

OBTAINING COPIES OF PROPOSALS: Requesters may obtain a copy of the information collection documents from the General Services Administration, Regulatory Secretariat (VIR), 1800 F Street, NW., Room 4035, Washington, DC 20405, telephone (202) 501-4755. Please cite OMB Control No. 3090-0197, GSAR Provision 552.237-70, Qualifications of Offerors, in all correspondence.

Dated: January 15, 2008.

Al Matera,

Director, Office of Acquisition Policy.

[FR Doc. E8-1144 Filed 1-23-08; 8:45 am]

[BILLING CODE 6820-61-S]

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Administration for Children and Families

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

Title: Application Requirements for the Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program (LIHEAP) Residential Energy Assistance Challenge Program (REACH) Model Plan.

OMB No.: New Collection.

Description: States, including the District of Columbia, Tribes, Tribal organizations and Territories applying for LIHEAP REACH funds must submit an annual application prior to receiving Federal funds. The Human Services Amendments of 1994 (Pub. L. 103-252) amended the LIHEAP statute to add Section 2607B, which established the REACH Program. REACH was funded for the first time in FY 1996 and is intended to: (1) Minimize health and safety risks that result from high energy burdens on low-income Americans; (2) reduce home energy vulnerability and prevent homelessness as a result of the inability to pay energy bills; (3) increase the efficiency of energy usage by low-income families, helping them achieve energy self-sufficiency; and (4) target energy assistance to individuals who are most in need.

The REACH Model Plan clarifies the information being requested and ensures the submission of all the information required by statute. The form facilitates our response to numerous queries each year concerning the information that should be included in the REACH application. Submission of a REACH application and use of the REACH Model Plan is voluntary. Grantees have the option to use another format.

Respondents: State Governments, Tribal governments, Insular Areas, the District of Columbia, and the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico.

ANNUAL BURDEN ESTIMATES

Instrument	Number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Average burden hours per response	Total burden hours
REACH Model Plan	51	1	72	3,672

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 3,672.

Additional Information: Copies of the proposed collection may be obtained by writing to the Administration for Children and Families, Office of

Administration, Office of Information Services, 370 L'Enfant Promenade, SW., Washington, DC 20447, Attn: ACF Reports Clearance Officer. All requests should be identified by the title of the

information collection. E-mail address: infocollection@acf.hhs.gov.

OMB Comment: OMB is required to make a decision concerning the collection of information between 30 and 60 days after publication of this