

246(a)(3)(A)(ii) have not been met for the reasons specified.

The Department has determined that criterion (1) of Section 246 has not been met. The firm does not have a significant number of workers 50 years of age or older.

TA-W-63,388; *The News and Observer Publishing Company, Advertising Department, Raleigh, NC.*

The Department has determined that criterion (2) of Section 246 has not been met. Workers at the firm possess skills that are easily transferable.

TA-W-63,569; *Leggett and Platt, Super Sagless Division, Tupelo, MS.*

The Department has determined that criterion (3) of Section 246 has not been met. Competition conditions within the workers' industry are not adverse. *None.*

Negative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance

In the following cases, the investigation revealed that the eligibility criteria for worker adjustment assistance have not been met for the reasons specified.

Because the workers of the firm are not eligible to apply for TAA, the workers cannot be certified eligible for ATAA.

The investigation revealed that criteria (a)(2)(A)(I.A.) and (a)(2)(B)(II.A.) (employment decline) have not been met.

TA-W-63,496; *Boyd Corporation, Chino, CA.*

TA-W-63,583; *Dicon Fiber Optics, Inc., Richmond, CA.*

The investigation revealed that criteria (a)(2)(A)(I.B.) (Sales or production, or both, did not decline) and (a)(2)(B)(II.B.) (shift in production to a foreign country) have not been met.

TA-W-63,431; *Greenville Tool & Die Company, Greenville, MI.*

TA-W-63,466; *Citation Corporation, Butler, IN.*

TA-W-63,533; *Thomasville Furniture Industries, Upholstery Plant 9, Hickory, NC.*

The investigation revealed that criteria (a)(2)(A)(I.C.) (increased imports) and (a)(2)(B)(II.B.) (shift in production to a foreign country) have not been met.

TA-W-63,452; *Katahdin Paper Company LLC, Millinocket, ME.*

TA-W-63,444; *Skyline McMinnville Nomad Division, McMinnville, OR.*

The workers' firm does not produce an article as required for certification under Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974.

TA-W-63,512; *Dynamic Technology, Inc., Leased Workers On-Site at General Motors, Proving Ground, Milford, MI.*

TA-W-63,512A; *Dynamic Technology, Inc., Leased Workers On-Site at General Motors, Research & Development, Warren, MI.*

TA-W-63,512B; *Dynamic Technology, Inc., Leased Workers On-Site at General Motors, Research & Development, Pontiac, MI.*

TA-W-63,512C; *Dynamic Technology, Inc., Leased Workers On-Site at General Motors, Proving Ground, Proving Ground, AZ.*

TA-W-63,541; *Avery Dennison Corporation, Corp. Center, Customer Operations D.C., Fontana, CA.*

TA-W-63,561; *United Airlines, Information Services Division, El Segundo, CA.*

TA-W-63,573; *Avon Products, Inc., Suwannee, GA.*

The investigation revealed that criteria of Section 222(b)(2) has not been met. The workers' firm (or subdivision) is not a supplier to or a downstream producer for a firm whose workers were certified eligible to apply for TAA.

None.

I hereby certify that the aforementioned determinations were issued during the period of June 30 through July 3, 2008. Copies of these determinations are available for inspection in Room C-5311, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210 during normal business hours or will be mailed to persons who write to the above address.

Dated: July 10, 2008.

Linda G. Poole,
Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E8-16562 Filed 7-18-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Investigations Regarding Certifications of Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance

Petitions have been filed with the Secretary of Labor under Section 221(a) of the Trade Act of 1974 ("the Act") and are identified in the Appendix to this notice. Upon receipt of these petitions, the Director of the Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Employment and Training Administration, has instituted investigations pursuant to Section 221 (a) of the Act.

The purpose of each of the investigations is to determine whether the workers are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Title II, Chapter 2, of the Act. The investigations will further relate, as appropriate, to the determination of the date on which total or partial separations began or threatened to begin and the subdivision of the firm involved.

The petitioners or any other persons showing a substantial interest in the subject matter of the investigations may request a public hearing, provided such request is filed in writing with the Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than July 31, 2008.

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments regarding the subject matter of the investigations to the Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than July 31, 2008.

The petitions filed in this case are available for inspection at the Office of the Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Employment and Training Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, Room C-5311, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 10th day of July 2008.

Linda G. Poole,
Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

APPENDIX—TAA PETITIONS INSTITUTED BETWEEN 6/30/08 AND 7/3/08

TA-W	Subject firm (petitioners)	Location	Date of institution	Date of petition
63616	Holophane (Comp)	Newark, OH	06/30/08	06/20/08
63617	Comprehensvie Logistics, Inc. (Comp)	Youngstown, OH	06/30/08	06/27/08
63618	Whirlpool Corporation (State)	Fort Smith, AR	06/30/08	06/26/08

APPENDIX—TAA PETITIONS INSTITUTED BETWEEN 6/30/08 AND 7/3/08—Continued

TA-W	Subject firm (petitioners)	Location	Date of institution	Date of petition
63619	Comor, Inc. (Comp)	Cochran, PA	06/30/08	06/27/08
63620	Plastech Engineered Products (Comp)	Romulus, MI	06/30/08	06/27/08
63621	Valco Furniture USA, Inc. (Comp)	Malone, NY	06/30/08	06/27/08
63622	Plastech Engineered Products—Grandville (Union)	Grandville, MI	06/30/08	06/26/08
63623	Best Textiles International (Wkrs)	Highland, IL	06/30/08	06/25/08
63624	UFE, Inc.—River Falls Molding (Comp)	River Fall, WI	06/30/08	06/27/08
63625	Carlisle Publishing Services (Comp)	Dubuque, IA	06/30/08	06/27/08
63626	Mahle Engine Components USA, Inc. (IAMAW)	Manchester, MO	06/30/08	06/27/08
63627	Chrysler, LLC—Toledo Machining Plant (Comp)	Perrysburg, OH	06/30/08	06/28/08
63628	Frontier Yarns, LLC (Comp)	Lafayette, AL	06/30/08	06/27/08
63629	Gleason Corporation (Comp)	Fort Madison, IA	06/30/08	06/26/08
63630	Permacel St. Louis, Inc. (IBT)	St. Louis, MO	07/01/08	06/23/08
63631	Johnson Controls, Inc. (Comp)	West Carrollton, OH	07/01/08	06/27/08
63632	Luxmovera DBA Uplinkearth (Wkrs)	Somerset, NJ	07/01/08	06/30/08
63633	Quest Diagnostics Clinical (Wkrs)	St. Louis, MO	07/01/08	06/23/08
63634	Wausau Paper Specialty Products, LLC (Comp)	Jackson, MS	07/01/08	06/28/08
63635	Robert Bosch Corporation (Comp)	Broadview, IL	07/01/08	06/27/08
63636	Rutland Tool and Supply Company (State)	Whittier, CA	07/01/08	06/30/08
63637	Hayes Lemmerz International—Georgia, Inc. (Comp)	Gainesville, GA	07/01/08	07/01/08
63638	Magna (Wkrs)	Shelby Township, MI	07/02/08	06/24/08
63639	Taylor's Leatherwear (Comp)	Tullahoma, TN	07/02/08	06/25/08
63640	3M Touch Systems (Comp)	Milwaukee, WI	07/03/08	07/02/08
63641	Shaw Industries (Wkrs)	Stevenson, AL	07/03/08	06/24/08
63642	Enercon (Comp)	Gray, ME	07/03/08	07/01/08
63643	Zafarana Enterprises, Inc. (Comp)	Lathrup Village, MI	07/03/08	07/02/08
63644	Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics (Comp)	Los Angeles, CA	07/03/08	07/01/08
63645	Kavlico Corporation (Wkrs)	Moorpark, CA	07/03/08	06/23/08

[FR Doc. E8-16561 Filed 7-18-08; 8:45 am]
 BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-63,019]

Honeywell Aerospace Aerospace—Defense & Space Division Teterboro, NJ; Notice of Negative Determination on Reconsideration

On June 16, 2008, the Department issued an Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration for the workers and former workers of the subject firm. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on June 25, 2008 (73 FR 36119).

The initial investigation resulted in a negative determination based on the finding that imports of displays, processors, flight controls, software, and test equipment did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the subject firm and no shift of production to a foreign source occurred.

The United Automobile, Aerospace & Agricultural Implement Workers of America, Local 153 filed a request for reconsideration and alleged that Honeywell is “closing the Teterboro facility and opening a facility in Mexicali, Mexico.”

The Department of Labor contacted a company official to address the allegation that production was shifted from the subject firm to Mexico. The company official confirmed that Honeywell International has a production facility in Mexicali, Mexico. However, it was revealed that the facility in Mexicali does not manufacture articles like or directly competitive with products manufactured at the subject facility. The company official stated that although some production has been shifted by Honeywell from the United States to foreign locations, the articles shifted are not like or directly competitive with the articles manufactured by Aerospace—Defense & Space Division in Teterboro, New Jersey. The official stated that Honeywell Aerospace did not shift production of defense avionics, flight controls, test equipment and displays from the Teterboro facility to any overseas locations. Furthermore, the official stated that the subject firm is ceasing production since Honeywell “made a business decision to sell the Teterboro property” and “consolidate the work into other U.S. locations.” Therefore, the worker separations at the subject firm are the result of production being shifted from the subject facility to other domestic locations.

The petitioner also alleged that the subject firm would cease its production as a direct result of Honeywell

importing products from the facility in Mexico to the United States.

The company official stated that Honeywell Aerospace did not import products like or directly competitive with defense avionics, flight controls, test equipment manufactured at the subject facility.

Conclusion

After reconsideration, I affirm the original notice of negative determination of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance for workers and former workers of Honeywell Aerospace, Aerospace—Defense & Space Division, Teterboro, New Jersey.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 15th day of July 2008.

Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E8-16567 Filed 7-18-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P