

**INTERNATIONAL TRADE
COMMISSION**

[Investigation No. 337-TA-587]

**In the Matter of Certain Connecting
Devices (“Quick Clamps”) for Use With
Modular Compressed Air Conditioning
Units, Including Filters, Regulators,
and Lubricators (“FRL’s”) That Are
Part of Larger Pneumatic Systems and
the FRL Units They Connect; Notice of
Commission Decision Not To Review
an Initial Determination of the
Administrative Law Judge Finding No
Violation of Section 337; Termination
of the Investigation****AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade
Commission.**ACTION:** Notice.**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that
the U.S. International Trade
Commission has determined not to
review an initial determination (“ID”)
issued by the presiding administrative
law judge (“ALJ”) determining that
there is no violation of section 337 of
the Tariff Act of 1930.**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**
Mark B. Rees, Office of the General
Counsel, U.S. International Trade
Commission, 500 E Street, SW.,
Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202)
205-3116. Copies of non-confidential
documents filed in connection with this
investigation are or will be available for
inspection during official business
hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the
Office of the Secretary, U.S.
International Trade Commission, 500 E
Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436,
telephone (202) 205-2000. General
information concerning the Commission
may also be obtained by accessing its
Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>.
The public record for this investigation
may be viewed on the Commission’s
electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired
persons are advised that information on
this matter can be obtained by
contacting the Commission’s TDD
terminal on (202) 205-1810.**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The
Commission instituted this investigation
on November 13, 2006, based on a
complaint filed by Norgren, Inc.
 (“Norgren”) of Littleton, Colorado. 71
FR 66193 (Nov. 13, 2006). An amended
complaint was filed on October 25,
2006. A supplement to the complaint
was filed on November 1, 2006. The
amended complaint alleged violations
of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930
(19 U.S.C. 1337) in the importation into
the United States, the sale for
importation, or the sale within theUnited States after importation of
certain devices for modular compressed
air conditioning units and the FRL units
they connect by reason of infringement
of claims 1–9 of U.S. Patent No.
5,372,392 (“the ’392 patent”). The
amended complaint also alleged that a
domestic industry exists with regard to
the ’392 patent under subsection (a)(2)
of section 337. The amended complaint
named SMC Corporation of Japan; SMC
Corporation of America of Indianapolis,
Indiana (collectively, “SMC”); AIRTAC
of China; and MFD Pneumatics (“MFD”)
of Chicago, Illinois as the respondents
and requested a limited exclusion order
and a cease and desist order. On July 13,
2007, the Commission determined not
to review an ID terminating the
investigation with respect to MFD and
AIRTAC on the basis of a consent order
stipulation and consent order.On February 13, 2008, the ALJ issued
his final ID finding no violation of
section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19
U.S.C. 1337). Specifically, the ALJ
found that there had been an
importation of SMC’s accused products
and that none of the accused products
infringe the asserted claims of the ’392
patent. He also found that the asserted
claims are not invalid due to
obviousness. He further found that
Norgren satisfies the domestic industry
requirement with respect to the ’392
patent. On February 25, 2008, the ALJ
issued a recommended determination
on remedy and bonding in the event the
Commission reversed his finding of no
violation of section 337.On February 25, 2008, Norgren and
SMC each filed a separate petition for
review of the ID. The Commission
Investigative Attorney (“IA”) did not
file a petition for review. On March 3,
2008, Norgren and SMC filed responses
in opposition to each other’s petitions
for review, and the IA filed her response
in opposition to both petitions.On March 5, 2008, the Commission
determined to extend the deadline for
determining whether to review the ID by
14 days, *i.e.*, until April 14, 2008.Having examined the record of this
investigation, including the ALJ’s final
ID and the submissions of the parties,
the Commission has determined not to
review the ALJ’s determination and has
terminated this investigation with a
finding of no violation.The authority for the Commission’s
determination is contained in section
337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as
amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in
section 210.42–45 of the Commission’s
Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR
210.42–45).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: April 14, 2008.

Marilyn R. Abbott,*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. E8–8353 Filed 4–17–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**Employment and Training
Administration**

[TA–W–59,649]

**Rowe Furniture, Inc., Including On-Site
Leased Workers From Penske
Logistics, Elliston, VA; Amended
Certification Regarding Eligibility To
Apply for Worker Adjustment
Assistance and Negative
Determination Regarding Eligibility To
Apply for Alternative Trade Adjustment
Assistance**In accordance with section 223 of the
Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273), and
section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974 (26
U.S.C. 2813), as amended, the
Department of Labor issued a
Certification of Eligibility to Apply for
Worker Adjustment Assistance and a
Negative Determination Regarding
Eligibility to Apply for Alternative
Trade Adjustment Assistance on July
25, 2006, applicable to workers of Rowe
Furniture, Inc., Elliston, Virginia. The
notice was published in the **Federal
Register** on August 14, 2006 (71 FR
46518).At the request of the State agency, the
Department reviewed the certification
for workers of the subject firm. The
workers are engaged in the production
of upholstered living room furniture.New information shows that leased
workers of Penske Logistics were
employed on-site at the Elliston,
Virginia location of Rowe Furniture.
The Department has determined that
these workers were sufficiently under
the control of the subject firm to be
considered leased workers.Based on these findings, the
Department is amending this
certification to include leased workers
of Penske Logistics working on-site at
the Elliston, Virginia location of the
subject firm.The intent of the Department’s
certification is to include all workers
employed at Rowe Furniture, Inc.,
Elliston, Virginia who were adversely
affected by increased imports.The amended notice applicable to
TA–W–59,649 is hereby issued as
follows:All workers of Rowe Furniture, Inc.,
including on-site leased workers from Penske
Logistics, Elliston, Virginia, who became