Department to extend the time limit for the final results to a maximum of 180 days. See also 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2).

We determine that it is not practicable to complete the final results of this review within the original time limit. Several technical issues arose after the preliminary results which require the collection and analyses of certain additional information and verification of the information. Therefore, to allow sufficient time to collect and analyze the additional information, and to conduct the briefing process, the Department is fully extending the final results. The final results are now due not later than July 7, 2008, 180 days from publication of the preliminary results. The amended schedule for interested parties to submit case briefs, written comments, and/or request a hearing is not later than seven days after the release of the last verification report. Rebuttal briefs are limited to issues raised in such briefs or comments and may be filed no later than five days after the time limit for filing the case briefs or comments. See 19 CFR 351.309(d). Any hearing, if requested, ordinarily will be held two days after the due date of the rebuttal briefs.

This extension is in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2).

Dated: February 29, 2008.

### Stephen J. Claeys,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E8-4427 Filed 3-5-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

# **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# **International Trade Administration**

[A-469-814]

Chlorinated Isocyanurates from Spain: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: (March 6, 2008.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Scott Lindsay, AD/CVD Operations, Office 6, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14<sup>th</sup> Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0780.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## **Background**

On July 2, 2007, the Department of Commerce (the Department) received a timely request for an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on chlorinated isocyanurates from Spain, with respect to Aragonesas Industrias y Energía S.A. ("Aragonesas"). On July 26, 2007, the Department published a notice of initiation of this administrative review for the period of June 1, 2006 through May 31, 2007. See Notice of Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation In Part, 72 FR 41057 (July 26, 2007).

# **Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results**

Pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), the Department shall issue preliminary results in an administrative review of an antidumping duty order within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of the date of publication of the order. The Act further provides, however, that the Department may extend that 245—day period to 365 days if it is not practicable to complete the review within the foregoing time period.

The Department finds that it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results by the current deadline of March 3, 2008, because additional time is needed to analyze issues involving affiliations and collapsing. Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, the Department is extending the time limit for the preliminary results until no later than June 30, 2008, which is 365 days after the last day of the anniversary month of the date of publication of the order. Unless extended, the final results continue to be due 120 days after the publication of the preliminary results, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and section 351.213(h) of the Department's regulations.

This notice is issued and published in accordance to sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: February 15, 2008.

# Stephen J. Claeys,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E8–4397 Filed 3–5–08; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 3510-DR-S** 

### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# International Trade Administration [A-570-831]

Fresh Garlic from the People's Republic of China: Extension of Time Limits for the Preliminary Results of the New Shipper Reviews

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** March 6, 2008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Julia Hancock and Paul Walker, AD/CVD Operations, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–1394 or (202) 482–0413, respectively.

# **Background**

On July 12, 2007 the Department published a notice of initiation of new shipper reviews of fresh garlic from the PRC covering the period November 1, 2006 through April 30, 2007. See Fresh Garlic from the People's Republic of China: Initiation of New Shipper Reviews, 72 FR 38057 (July 12, 2007). On November 16, 2007 the Department extended the preliminary results of these new shipper reviews by ninety days. See Fresh Garlic from the People's Republic of China: Extension of Time Limits for the Preliminary Results of the New Shipper Reviews, 72 FR 64579 (November 16, 2007). The preliminary results of these new shipper reviews are currently due no later than March 25, 2008.

### **Statutory Time Limits**

Section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the "Act"), provides that the Department will issue the preliminary results of a new shipper review of an antidumping duty order within 180 days after the day on which the review was initiated. See also 19 CFR 351.214 (i)(1). The Act further provides that the Department may extend that 180–day period to 300 days if it determines that the case is extraordinarily complicated. See 19 CFR 351.214 (i)(2).

# **Extension of Time Limit of Preliminary Results**

The Department determines that these new shipper reviews involve extraordinarily complicated methodological issues such as the use of intermediate input methodology, potential affiliation issues, the examination of importer information