configuration consulting and design, systems integration, installation of multi-vendor computer equipment, customization of hardware or software, training, product technical support, maintenance, and end user support. For purposes of Government procurement, an information technology procurement classified under this industry category must consist of at least 15% and not more than 50% of value added services as measured by the total price less the cost of information technology hardware, computer software, and profit. If the contract consists of less than 15% of value added services, then it must be classified under a NAICS manufacturing industry. If the contract consists of more than 50% of value added services, then it must be classified under the NAICS industry that best describes the predominate service of the procurement. To qualify as an Information Technology Value Added Reseller for purposes of SBA assistance, other than for Government procurement, a concern must be primarily engaged in providing information technology equipment and computer software and provide value added services which account for at least 15% of its receipts but not more than 50% of its receipts.

■ 3. Amend \S 121.301 by revising paragraphs (a), (b)(2), and (d)(1) to read as follows:

§ 121.301 What size standards are applicable to financial assistance programs?

*

- (a) For Business Loans and Disaster Loans (other than physical disaster loans), an applicant business concern must satisfy two criteria:
- (1) The size of the applicant alone (without affiliates) must not exceed the size standard designated for the industry in which the applicant is primarily engaged; and
- (2) The size of the applicant combined with its affiliates must not exceed the size standard designated for either the primary industry of the applicant alone or the primary industry of the applicant and its affiliates, which ever is higher. These size standards are set forth in § 121.201.

(b) * * *

(2) Including its affiliates, tangible net worth not in excess of \$8.5 million, and average net income after Federal income taxes (excluding any carry-over losses) for the preceding two completed fiscal years not in excess of \$3.0 million. If the applicant is not required by law to pay Federal income taxes at the enterprise level, but is required to pass income through to its shareholders, partners, beneficiaries, or other equitable owners, the applicant's "net income after Federal income taxes" will be its net income reduced by an amount computed as follows:

* * * * *

(d) * * *

(1) Any construction (general or special trade) concern or concern performing a contract for services is small if, together with its affiliates, its average annual receipts do not exceed \$7.0 million, except as provided in § 121.301(d)(3).

■ 4. Amend § 121.302 by revising paragraph (c) to read as follows:

§ 121.302 When does SBA determine the size status of an applicant?

* * * * *

- (c) For disaster loan assistance (other than physical disaster loans), size status is determined as of the date the disaster commenced, as set forth in the Disaster Declaration. For economic injury disaster loan assistance under disaster declarations for Hurricanes Katrina. Rita, and Wilma, size status is determined as of the date SBA accepts the application for processing, and for applications submitted before December 6, 2005, whether denied because of size status or pending, such applications shall be deemed resubmitted on December 6, 2005. For pre-disaster mitigation loans, size status is determined as of the date SBA accepts a complete Pre-Disaster Mitigation Small Business Loan Application for processing. Refer to § 123.408 of this chapter to find out what SBA considers to be a complete Pre-Disaster Mitigation Small Business Loan Application. *
- 5. Amend § 121.502 by revising paragraph (a)(2) to read as follows:

§ 121.502 What size standards are applicable to programs for sales and leases of Government property?

(a) * * *

(2) A concern not primarily engaged in manufacturing is small for sales or leases of Government property if it has annual receipts not exceeding \$7.0 million.

■ 6. Amend § 121.512 by revising paragraph (b) to read as follows:

§ 121.512 What is the size standard for stockpile purchases?

* * * * * *

(b) Its annual receipts, together with its affiliates, do not exceed \$57.5 million.

PART 123—DISASTER LOAN PROGRAM

■ 7. The authority citation of part 123 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 15 U.S.C. 634(b)(6), 636(b), 636(c); Pub. L. 102–395, 106 Stat. 1828, 1864;

- and Pub. L. 103–75, 107 Stat. 739; and Pub. L. 106–50, 113 Stat. 245.
- 8. Amend § 123.300 by revising paragraph (b) to read as follow:

§ 123.300 Is my business eligible to apply for an economic injury disaster loan?

* * * * *

(b) Economic injury disaster loans are available only if you were a small business (as defined in part 121 of this chapter) when the declared disaster commenced (except disaster declarations for Hurricanes Katrina, Rita and Wilma, for which size status is determined as of the date SBA accepts the application for processing, and for applications submitted before December 6, 2005, whether denied because of size status or pending, such applications shall be deemed resubmitted on December 6, 2005), you and your affiliates and principle owners (20% or more ownership interest) have used all reasonably available funds, and you are unable to obtain credit elsewhere (see § 123.104).

Dated: July 3, 2008.

Jovita Carranza,

Acting Administrator.

[FR Doc. E8–16148 Filed 7–17–08; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 71

[Docket No. FAA-2008-0003; Airspace Docket No. 08-ASW-1]

Amendment of Class E Airspace; Lexington, OK

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Direct final rule; removal.

SUMMARY: A direct final rule, published in the Federal Register April 16, 2008 (73 FR 20526) Docket No. FAA–2008–0003, adding additional Class E airspace at Lexington, OK is being removed. Although the rule became effective April 10, 2008, charting of this airspace was never completed. A new rulemaking will be forthcoming with an effective date that coincides with the new charting date.

DATES: Effective Date: 0901 UTC July 18, 2008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Gary Mallett, Central Service Center, Operations Support Group, Federal Aviation Administration, Southwest Region, 2601 Meacham Blvd., Fort

Worth, Texas 76193–0530; telephone number (817) 222–4949.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

History

On April 16, 2008, the FAA published a direct final rule; confirmation of effective date, correction, in the Federal Register (73 FR 20526) Docket No. FAA-2008-0003, amending the existing Class E airspace at Muldrow Army Heliport, Lexington, OK. No comments were received therefore the rule became effective on the date specified, April 10, 2008. It was then determined that the airspace had not been charted. Therefore, the FAA is removing this action from the Federal Register publication system and will issue a new rulemaking with a new effective date to coincide with the charting date.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 71

Airspace, Incorporation by reference, Navigation (Air).

Removal of the Rule

■ Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me, Airspace Docket No. 08–ASW–1, as published in the **Federal Register** on April 16, 2008 (73 FR 20526), is hereby removed.

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g); 40103, 40113, 40120; E.O. 10854, 24 FR 9565, 3 CFR, 1959–1963 Comp., p. 389.

Issued in Fort Worth, TX, on July 1, 2008. **Donald R. Smith,**

Manager, Operations Support Group, ATO Central Service Center.

[FR Doc. E8–15959 Filed 7–17–08; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 71

[Docket No. FAA-2008-0024; Airspace Docket No. 08-AGL-4]

Amendment of Class E Airspace; Black River Falls, WI

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT. **ACTION:** Direct final rule; removal.

SUMMARY: A direct final rule, published in the **Federal Register** April 2, 2008 (73 FR 17888) docket No. FAA–2008–0024, adding additional Class E airspace at Black River Falls, WI is being removed. Although the rule became effective June 5, 2008, charting of this airspace was never completed. A new rulemaking will be forthcoming with an effective

date that coincides with the new charting date.

DATES: Effective Date: 0901 UTC July 18, 2008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Gary

Mallett, Central Service Center, Operations Support Group, Federal Aviation Administration, Southwest Region, 2601 Meacham Blvd., Fort Worth, Texas 76193–0530; telephone number (817) 222–4949.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

History

On April 2, 2008, the FAA published a direct final rule; request for comments, in the Federal Register (73 FR 17888) Docket No. FAA-2008-0024, amending the existing Class E airspace at Black River Falls Area Airport, Black River Falls, WI. No comments were received therefore the rule became effective on the date specified, June 5, 2008. It was then determined that the airspace had not been charted. Therefore, the FAA is removing this action from the Federal Register publication system and will issue a new rulemaking with a new effective date to coincide with the charting date.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 71

Airspace, Incorporation by reference, Navigation (Air).

Removal of the Rule

■ Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me, Airspace Docket No. 08–AGL–4, as published in the **Federal Register** on April 2, 2008 (73 FR 17888), is hereby removed.

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g); 40103, 40113, 40120; E.O. 10854, 24 FR 9565, 3 CFR, 1959–1963 Comp., p. 389.

Issued in Fort Worth, TX, on July 1, 2008. **Donald R. Smith,**

Manager, Operations Support Group, ATO Central Service Center.

[FR Doc. E8–15960 Filed 7–17–08; 8:45 am] $\tt BILLING$ CODE 4910–13–M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 71

[Docket No. FAA-2008-0307; Airspace Docket 08-AEA-18]

Establishment of Class E Airspace; Removal of Class E Airspace; Roanoke Rapids, NC

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This action establishes Class E airspace at Halifax-Northampton Regional Airport, (IXA), Roanoke Rapids, NC and removes Class E airspace at Halifax County Airport, Roanoke Rapids, NC, (RZZ). The operating status of the airport will include Instrument Flight Rule (IFR) operations. This action will enhance the safety and airspace management of Halifax-Northampton Regional Airport.

DATES: Effective 0901 UTC, September 25, 2008. The Director of the Federal Register approves this incorporation by reference action under title 1, Code of Federal Regulations, part 51, subject to the annual revision of FAA Order 7400.9 and publication of conforming amendments.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Melinda Giddens, Operations Support, Eastern Service Center, Federal Aviation Administration, P.O. Box 20636, Atlanta, Georgia 30320; telephone (404) 305–5610.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

History

On April 8, 2008, the FAA proposed to amend Title 14 Code of Federal Regulations (14 CFR) part 71 by establishing Class E airspace at Roanoke Rapids, NC, (73 FR 19020). This action provides adequate Class E airspace for Instrument Flight Rules (IFR) operations at the new Halifax-Northampton Regional Airport (IXA), and will remove Class E airspace for the Halifax County Airport (RZZ). Area Navigation (RNAV) Global Positioning System (GPS) Standard Instrument Approach Procedures (SIAPs) Runways (RWYs) 02-20 have been developed for Halifax-Northampton Regional Airport. As a result, controlled airspace extending upward from 700 feet Above Ground Level (AGL) is needed to contain the SIAP and for IFR operations at Halifax-Northampton Regional Airport. Class E airspace designations for airspace areas extending upward from 700 feet or more above the surface of the Earth are published in Paragraph 6005 of FAA Order 7400.9R, signed August 15, 2007, and effective September 15, 2007, which is incorporated by reference in 14 CFR 71.1. The Class E designations listed in this document will be published subsequently in the Order.

Interested parties were invited to participate in this rulemaking proceeding by submitting written comments on the proposal to the FAA. No comments objecting to the proposal were received.