

Dated: March 3, 2006.

**Gail Acheson,**

*Field Manager, Palm Springs-South Coast Field Office, Bureau of Land Management.*

Dated: March 3, 2006.

**Laurie Rosenthal,**

*District Ranger, San Jacinto Ranger District, San Bernardino National Forest, USDA Forest Service.*

Dated: March 3, 2006.

**James Foote,**

*Acting Monument Manager, Santa Rosa and San Jacinto Mountains, National Monument.*

[FR Doc. 06-3844 Filed 4-21-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-40-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

**Bureau of Land Management**

[OR 110 5882 PH MJ99; HAG06-0104]

**Notice of Meetings**

**AGENCY:** Medford District, Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of Bureau of Land Management, Medford District Resource. Advisory Committee meeting as identified in section 205(f)(2) of the Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act of 2000, Public Law 106-393.

**SUMMARY:** The Bureau of Land Management Medford District Resource Advisory Committee will meet in Medford, Oregon to tour project sites and to discuss proposed 2007 projects, pursuant to Public Law 106-393. Agenda topics include on-site inspections of previous projects and proposed 2007 projects, review of last meeting minutes, presentations on proposed fiscal year 2007 Title II projects, and discussion regarding proposed projects.

**DATES:** See **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section for meeting dates.

**ADDRESSES:** The field trips will start from, and the meetings will be held at, the Bureau of Land Management Medford District Office, located at 3040 Biddle Road, Medford, Oregon.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Bureau of Land Management, Medford District, Patty Burel at (541-618-2424), e-mail: [patricia\\_burel@blm.gov](mailto:patricia_burel@blm.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

The field trip dates are:

1. June 15, 2006, 7 a.m. to 4 p.m.
2. June 22, 2006, 7 a.m. to 4 p.m.

The meeting dates are:

1. July 13, 2006, 9 a.m. to 4 p.m.
2. July 20, 2006, 9 a.m. to 4 p.m.

A public comment period will be held from 2:00 p.m. to 2:30 p.m. on July 13 and July 20, 2006.

**Authority:** 43 CFR subpart 1784/Advisory Committees.

**Timothy R. Reuwsaat,**

*District Manager, Medford.*

[FR Doc. E6-6060 Filed 4-21-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-33-P**

**INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION**

[Investigation No. 337-TA-559]

**In the Matter of Certain Digital Processors and Digital Processing Systems, Components Thereof, and Products Containing Same; Notice of Commission Decision Not To Review an Initial Determination Granting Complainant's Motion To Amend the Complaint and Notice of Investigation**

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination ("ID") issued by the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") granting complainant's motion to amend the complaint and notice of investigation.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Michelle Walters, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708-5468. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This investigation was instituted on January 9, 2006, based on a complaint filed by Biac Corporation ("Biac") of Boulder, Colorado. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1337) in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of

certain digital processors or digital processing systems, components thereof, or products containing the same by reason of infringement of various claims of United States Patent Nos. 5,021,945, 5,517,628, and 6,253,313. The complaint originally named four respondents: Philips Semiconductors B.V. of the Netherlands; Philips Consumer Electronics Services B.V. of the Netherlands; Philips Consumer Electronics North America Corp. of Atlanta, Georgia; and 2Wire, Inc. of San Jose, California. Biac previously amended the complaint and notice of investigation in order to remove respondent Philips Consumer Electronics North America Corp. and to add Philips Electronics North America Corp. 71 FR 17136 (April 5, 2006).

On March 9, 2006, Biac moved to amend the complaint and notice of investigation in order to remove respondent Philips Consumer Electronics Services B.V. and to add Philips Semiconductors, Inc. of San Jose, California, and Philips Consumer Electronics B.V. of the Netherlands. Biac stated that it had recently learned that Philips Consumer Electronics Services B.V. is a dormant entity that has not imported into the United States, sold, or offered for sale any of the accused products. In addition, Biac stated that it had recently learned that Philips Semiconductors, Inc. imports and sells the accused products in the United States and that Philips Consumer Electronics B.V. manufactures consumer products that contain the accused products and sells them in the United States. None of the current respondents nor the Commission investigative attorney opposed Biac's motion.

On March 27, 2006, the ALJ issued an ID granting Biac's motion to amend the complaint and notice of investigation. The ALJ found that, pursuant to Commission Rule 210.14(b)(1) (19 CFR 210.14(b)(1)), there was good cause to amend the complaint and notice of investigation in order to remove respondent Philips Consumer Electronics Services B.V. and to add Philips Semiconductors, Inc. and Philips Consumer Electronics B.V. No petitions for review of the ID were filed. Having examined the record of this investigation, the Commission has determined not to review the ALJ's ID.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in section 210.42 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.42).

Issued: April 18, 2006.

By order of the Commission.

**Marilyn R. Abbott,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. E6-6079 Filed 4-21-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731-TA-860 (Review)]

### Tin- and Chromium-Coated Steel Sheet from Japan

**AGENCY:** United States International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Revised schedule for the subject review.

**DATES:** Effective Date: April 17, 2006.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Olympia Hand (202-205-3182) or Douglas Corkran (202-205-3057), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this review may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Effective December 2, 2005, the Commission established a schedule for the conduct of the subject full review (70 FR 73027, December 8, 2005). Subsequently, counsel on behalf of the Japanese respondents requested that the Commission postpone its deadline for the filing of posthearing briefs by two days, citing communication difficulties arising from multiple national holidays in Japan during the period between the Commission's hearing and the due date for posthearing briefs.<sup>1</sup> No party to the review objected to the requested postponement. The Commission, therefore, is revising its schedule to incorporate this and related changes to the schedule of the review.

The Commission's new schedule for the review is as follows: the deadline for filing posthearing briefs is May 10, 2006; the Commission will make its

final release of information on June 6, 2006; and final party comments are due on June 8, 2006.

For further information concerning this review see the Commission's notice cited above and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

**Authority:** This review is being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

Issued: April 17, 2006.

By order of the Commission.

**Marilyn R. Abbott,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. E6-6028 Filed 4-21-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[USITC SE-06-027]

### Sunshine Act Meeting

**AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING:** United States International Trade Commission.

**TIME AND DATE:** April 26, 2006 at 3 p.m.

**PLACE:** Room 101, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, Telephone: (202) 205-2000.

**STATUS:** Open to the public.

#### MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

1. Agenda for future meetings: None.
2. Minutes.
3. Ratification List.
4. Inv. No. 731-TA-1091 (Final) (Artists' Canvas from China)—briefing and vote. (The Commission is currently scheduled to transmit its determination and Commissioners' opinions to the Secretary of Commerce on or before May 8, 2006.)

5. Outstanding action jackets: None.

In accordance with Commission policy, subject matter listed above, not disposed of at the scheduled meeting, may be carried over to the agenda of the following meeting.

Issued: April 19, 2006.

By order of the Commission.

**Marilyn R. Abbott,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 06-3904 Filed 4-21-06; 9:12 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-U**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-58,663]

#### Classic Print Products, Inc., Burlington, NC; Notice of Revised Determination on Reconsideration

By letter dated March 15, 2006, a company official requested administrative reconsideration regarding the Department's Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance, applicable to the workers of the subject firm. On April 12, 2006, a Notice of Dismissal of Application for Reconsideration was issued, stating that the application did not contain new information supporting a conclusion that the determination was erroneous and did not provide a justification for reconsideration of the determination that was based on either mistaken facts or a misinterpretation of facts or of the law.

The petition, filed on behalf of workers at the subject firm producing sublimated printed paper, asserted that production of sublimated printed paper had shifted abroad. The denial, issued on March 1, 2006, was based on the findings that neither the subject firm nor surveyed customers imported sublimation printed paper during the relevant period and that the subject firm did not shift production abroad during the investigation period. The Department's Notice of determination was published in the **Federal Register** on March 24, 2006 (70 FR 14954).

Upon receipt of new information by the company official regarding the article produced at the subject firm, the Department conducted an investigation to determine whether the subject worker group is eligible to apply for worker adjustment assistance as provided by the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.

The new information indicated that the subject firm used sublimated printed paper as a medium to transfer ink graphics onto substrates. The substrates were then incorporated into the customer's final products (water boards and snow boards).

The investigation revealed that the subject firm supplied component parts (substrates) and a loss of business with a manufacturer of water boards and snow boards whose workers were certified eligible to apply for adjustment assistance contributed importantly to the separation or threat of separation of workers at Classic Print Products, Inc., Burlington, North Carolina.

<sup>1</sup> Correspondence of April 7, 2006, from Willkie Farr & Gallagher LLP.