

This notice is published in accordance with sections 751(c), 752(c), and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: November 28, 2006.

**David M. Spooner,**  
Assistant Secretary for Import  
Administration.

[FR Doc. E6-20553 Filed 12-4-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

A-570-905

#### Postponement of Preliminary Determination of Antidumping Duty Investigation: Certain Polyester Staple Fiber from the People's Republic of China

**AGENCY:** Import Administration,  
International Trade Administration,  
Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** December 5, 2006.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**  
Michael Holton or Paul Walker, Import  
Administration, International Trade  
Administration, U.S. Department of  
Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution  
Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230;  
telephone: (202) 482-1324 or (202) 482-  
0413, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Postponement of Preliminary Determination

On July 13, 2006, the Department of Commerce ("Department") initiated the antidumping duty investigation of certain polyester staple fiber from the People's Republic of China. See *Initiation of Antidumping Duty Investigation: Certain Polyester Staple Fiber from the People's Republic of China*, 71 FR 41201 (July 20, 2006) ("Initiation Notice"). The Initiation Notice stated that the Department would make its preliminary determination for this antidumping duty investigation no later than 140 days after the date of issuance of the initiation (*i.e.*, November 30, 2006).

We have determined that this investigation is extraordinarily complicated within the meaning of section 733(c)(1)(B)(i) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"). On November 16, 2006, the Department notified parties to the investigation that it intended to postpone the preliminary determination for reasons provided in this notice. See Memorandum to the file, from Michael Holton, *Postponement of Preliminary Determination of Antidumping Duty Investigation: Certain Polyester Staple*

*Fiber from the People's Republic of China*, dated November 16, 2006.

Specifically, we find that the Department requires additional time to gather more information from all the mandatory respondents regarding market-economy inputs, affiliations, establishing the proper date of sale and the allocation methodology used to report certain factors of production. In addition, the Department also requires additional time to evaluate the separate-rate applications.

Therefore, it is the Department's decision to postpone the current preliminary determination so that all of the issues currently under investigation at this time can be addressed in the most complete manner possible. For the reasons identified above, we are postponing the preliminary determination under section 733(c)(1)(B) of the Act by fifteen days to December 15, 2006. The deadline for the final determination will continue to be 75 days after the date of the preliminary determination.

This notice is issued and published pursuant to sections 733(c)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(f)(1).

Dated: November 28, 2006.

**David M. Spooner,**  
Assistant Secretary for Import  
Administration.

[FR Doc. E6-20566 Filed 12-4-06; 8:45 am]

Billing Code: 3510-DS-S

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

A-823-810

#### Solid Agricultural Grade Ammonium Nitrate from Ukraine; Final Results of the Expedited Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order

**AGENCY:** Import Administration,  
International Trade Administration,  
Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On August 1, 2006, the Department of Commerce ("Department") initiated a sunset review of the antidumping duty order on solid agricultural grade ammonium nitrate from Ukraine pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"). On the basis of a notice of intent to participate and a complete substantive response filed on behalf of the domestic interested parties and an inadequate response from respondent interested parties, the Department conducted an expedited sunset review of the antidumping duty order pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(B).

As a result of this sunset review, the Department finds that revocation of the order would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the levels indicated in the "Final Results of Review" section of this notice.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** December 5, 2006.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**  
Audrey Twyman, Damian Felton, or  
Brandon Farlander, AD/CVD  
Operations, Office 1, Import  
Administration, International Trade  
Administration, U.S. Department of  
Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution  
Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230;  
telephone: (202) 482-3534, (202) 482-  
0133, and (202) 482-0182, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On August 1, 2006, the Department initiated a sunset review of the antidumping duty order on solid agricultural grade ammonium nitrate ("ammonium nitrate") from Ukraine pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act. See *Initiation of Five-year (Sunset) Reviews*, 71 FR 43443 (August 1, 2006) ("Notice of Initiation"). The Department received a notice of intent to participate from the following domestic parties: the Committee for Fair Ammonium Nitrate Trade ("COFANT") and its individual producer members, El Dorado Chemical Company and Terra Industries, Inc. (also known as "domestic interested parties") within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(I). COFANT claims interested party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act as domestic manufacturers of ammonium nitrate for its members.

The Department received a complete substantive response collectively from the domestic interested parties within the 30-day deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i). The Department also received a substantive response from respondent interested party, Open Joint Stock Company "Azot," within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i). On September 7, 2006, the domestic interested parties submitted a rebuttal to Azot's substantive response. On September 20, 2006, the Department determined that the respondent interested party did not account for more than 50 percent of exports by volume of the subject merchandise, because it reported that it had no exports during the 2001-2005 sunset review period. Therefore, the Department concluded that the respondent interested party did not submit an adequate response to the Department's *Notice of Initiation*. See Memorandum to Susan H. Kuhbach entitled, "Adequacy Determination in