

acid or with iopanoic acid. These macromolecular imaging agents have clearance properties that mimic the pharmacokinetic properties of co-administrated drugs, so as to be useful in quantifying the range and dosage level of therapeutic drugs using MR imaging.

*E-206-2000 "Method for increasing the distribution of therapeutic agents:" "Method for increasing the distribution of nucleic acids."* The invention pertains to the reliance of therapies on the local parenchymal delivery of macromolecules or nucleic acids for success. However, the volume of distribution of many of these potential therapeutic agents is restricted by their interactions with the extracellular matrix and cellular receptors. Heparin-sulfate proteoglycans are cell surface components which bind to an array of molecules such as growth factors, cytokines and chemokines and viruses such as cytomegalovirus, herpes simplex virus and HIV. The invention provides a method of dramatically increasing the volume of distribution and effectiveness of certain therapeutic agents after local delivery by the use of facilitating agents as described in Neuroreport. 2001 Jul 3;12(9):1961-4 entitled "Convection enhanced delivery of AAV-2 combined with heparin increases TK gene transfer in the rat brain" and in Exp Neurol. 2001 Mar;168(1):155-61 entitled "Heparin coinfusion during convection-enhanced delivery (CED) increases the distribution of the glial-derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF) ligand family in rat striatum and enhances the pharmacological activity of neurturin." These methods are especially useful when used in conjunction with technology described and claimed in the convection enhanced delivery technology claimed in U.S. patent 5,720,720

The prospective exclusive license will be royalty bearing and will comply with the terms and conditions of 35 U.S.C. 209 and 37 CFR 404.7. The prospective exclusive license may be granted unless, within sixty (60) days from the date of this published notice. NIH receives written evidence and argument that establishes that the grant of the license would not be consistent with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 209 and 37 CFR 404.7.

Properly filed competing applications for a license filed in response to this notice will be treated as objections to the contemplated license. Comments and objections submitted in response to this notice will not be made available for public inspection, and, to the extent permitted by law, will not be released

under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. 552.

Dated: July 5, 2006.

**David R. Sadowski,**

*Acting Director, Division of Technology Development and Transfer, Office of Technology Transfer, National Institutes of Health.*

[FR Doc. 06-6213 Filed 7-13-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4140-01-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

### Office of the Secretary

#### Welcome to the United States Survey

**AGENCY:** Office of the Secretary, Office of Policy, Private Sector Office, DHS.

**ACTION:** Emergency submission to OMB, comment request.

The Department of Homeland, Office of the Secretary, Private Sector Office has submitted the following (see below) information collection request (ICR), utilizing emergency review procedures, to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and clearance in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13, 44 U.S.C. chapter 35). OMB approval has been requested by July 30, 2006. A copy of this ICR, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained by calling the Departmental Clearance Officer.

Comments and questions about the ICR listed below should be forwarded to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: OMB Desk Officer for the Department of Homeland Security, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503 (OMB phone number). The Office of Management and Budget is particularly interested in comments which: [set asterisks]

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other

technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses. [end asterisks]

**Agency:** Department of Homeland Security, Office of the Secretary, Office of Policy, Private Sector Office.

**Title:** Welcome to the United States Survey.

**OMB Number:** 1601-NEW.

**Frequency:** One-time collection.

**Affected Public:** Foreign visitors into the U.S.

**Number of Respondents:** 939.

**Estimated Time Per Respondent:** 5 minutes.

**Total Burden Hours:** 78.25 hours.

**Total Burden Cost (capital/startup):** \$0.00.

**Total Burden Cost (operating/maintaining):** \$0.00.

**Description:** The Department of Homeland Security (DHS), Office of Policy, Private Sector Office in conjunction with Customs and Border Protection (CBP) and Research Triangle Institute, International, will interview foreign visitors entering the United States at four southern border ports of entry, three northern border ports of entry and four airport ports of entry before the Labor Day holiday in August 2006. This survey will measure how CBP is serving the American public with vigilance and integrity, while providing courteous and helpful treatment to visitors, immigrants and travelers. Additionally, this survey will further the Rice-Chertoff Initiative as has been announced by evaluating the two model airports (Dulles International Airport, Chantilly, VA, and Houston International Airport, Houston, TX) for baseline information as well as how welcomed foreign visitors feel upon entering the United States and interacting with a DHS Customs and Border Protection officer.

**Scott Charbo,**

*Chief Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. E6-11135 Filed 7-13-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-10-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

### Coast Guard

[USCG-2006-25312]

#### Meeting of the Office of Boating Safety's Recreational Boating Safety Strategic Planning Panel

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DHS.

**ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard's Office of Boating Safety is sponsoring a panel of

representatives of the recreational boating community to discuss strategic planning goals, objectives and strategies that the Coast Guard may use to improve recreational boating safety. This meeting is open to the public.

**DATES:** The meeting will occur on Saturday and Sunday, July 22 and 23, 2006, from 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.

**ADDRESSES:** This meeting will occur at the Hyatt Regency Hotel, 2799 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA. This notice is available on the Internet at <http://dms.dot.gov> and at <http://uscgboating.org>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jeff Ludwig, Office of Boating Safety, U.S. Coast Guard, telephone 202-267-0979, fax 202-267-4285. If you have questions on viewing material in the docket, call Renee V. Wright, Program Manager, Docket Operations, Department of Transportation, telephone 202-493-0402.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** At the October 2004 meeting of the National Boating Safety Advisory Council (NBSAC), the Office of Boating Safety proposed to assemble a Goal-Setting Recommendation Panel. NBSAC endorsed this proposal. To facilitate this, the Coast Guard invited representatives of the recreational boating community to participate on this panel.

The Coast Guard held the meeting on February 8 and 9, 2005, in Arlington, VA. The panel considered, analyzed, and proposed recreational boating safety (RBS) performance goals that can be supported by the government, industry, and the boating public. A representative of the panel presented its conclusions at the April 2005 NBSAC meeting. Minutes of the panel's February 2005 meeting may be obtained from the person listed above under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

The Coast Guard held a second meeting of the panel on October 8 and 9, 2005. The panel considered, analyzed, and proposed recreational boating safety program objectives related to the new RBS Program goals. A representative of the panel presented its conclusions at the November 2005 NBSAC meeting. Minutes of the panel's October 2005 meeting may be obtained from the person listed above under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

The Coast Guard held a third meeting of the panel on February 4 through 6, 2006. The panel considered, analyzed, and proposed recreational boating safety program strategies related to the new RBS Program goals and objectives. A representative of the panel presented its

conclusions at the April 2006 NBSAC meeting. Minutes of the panel's February 2006 meeting may be obtained from the person listed above under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

At the fourth meeting of the panel on July 22 and 23, the panel will further consider and analyze the proposed strategies to support the RBS Program goals and objectives previously developed. A representative of the panel will present its conclusions at the October 2006 NBSAC meeting. We will also prepare minutes of the fourth meeting. You may obtain them from the person listed above under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

#### Procedural

The meeting is open to the public. Please note that the meeting may close early if all business is finished.

#### Information on Services for Individuals With Disabilities

For information on facilities or services for individuals with disabilities or to request special assistance at the meeting, contact the person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** as soon as possible.

Dated: July 10, 2006.

**B.M. Salerno,**

*Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard, Director of Inspections and Compliance.*

[FR Doc. E6-11105 Filed 7-13-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-15-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

### Bureau of Customs and Border Protection

#### Agency Information Collection Activities: Deferral of Duty on Large Yachts Imported for Sale

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Customs and Border Protection, Department of Homeland Security.

**ACTION:** Proposed collection; comments requested.

**SUMMARY:** The Bureau of Customs and Border Protection (CBP) of the Department of Homeland Security has submitted the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995: Deferral of Duty on Large Yachts Imported for Sale. This is a proposed extension of an information collection that was previously approved. CBP is proposing that this information collection be extended with a change to

the burden hours. This document is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. This proposed information collection was previously published in the **Federal Register** (71 FR 25599) on May 1, 2006, allowing for a 60-day comment period. This notice allows for an additional 30 days for public comments. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

**DATES:** Written comments should be received on or before August 14, 2006.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments and/or suggestions regarding the items contained in this notice, especially the estimated public burden and associated response time, should be directed to the Office of Management and Budget Desk Officer at [Nathan.Lesser@omb.eop.gov](mailto:Nathan.Lesser@omb.eop.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Bureau of Customs and Border Protection (CBP) encourages the general public and affected Federal agencies to submit written comments and suggestions on proposed and/or continuing information collection requests pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13). Your comments should address one of the following four points:

(1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the Proper performance of the functions of the agency/component, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies/components estimate of the burden of The proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Minimize the burden of the collections of information on those who are to respond, including the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

*Title:* Deferral of Duty on Large Yachts Imported for Sale.

*OMB Number:* 1651-0080.

*Form Number:* N/A.

*Abstract:* Section 2406(a) of the Miscellaneous Trade and Technical Corrections Act of 1999 provides that an otherwise dutiable "large yacht" may be imported without the payment of duty if the yacht is imported with the intention to offer for sale at a boat show in the U.S.

*Current Actions:* This submission is being submitted to extend the expiration date with a change to the burden hours.