viewed on the World Wide Web at http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/open.html.

#### Kurt N. Lindland,

Assistant United States Attorney. [FR Doc. 06–6647 Filed 8–1–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–15–M

### **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

# Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives

## Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comments Requested

**ACTION:** 30-Day Notice of Information Collection Under Review: Employee Possessor Questionnaire [OMB Number 1140–0072]

The Department of Justice (DOJ), Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives (ATF) has submitted the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. This proposed information collection was previously published in the Federal Register Volume 71, Number 104, pages 30959-30960 on May 31, 2006, allowing for a 60 day comment period.

The purpose of this notice is to allow for an additional 30 days for public comment until September 1, 2006. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

Written comments and/or suggestions regarding the items contained in this notice, especially the estimated public burden and associated response time, should be directed to The Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention Department of Justice Desk Officer, Washington, DC 20503. Additionally, comments may be submitted to OMB via facsimile to (202) 395–5806.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- —Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- —Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies estimate of the burden of the

- proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- —Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- —Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

## Overview of This Information Collection

- (1) Type of Information Collection: Extension of a currently approved collection.
- (2) *Title of the Form/Collection:* Employee Possessor Questionnaire.
- (3) Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department of Justice sponsoring the collection: Form Number: ATF F 5400.28. Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives.
- (4) Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract: Primary: Individuals or households. Other: Business or other for-profit. Abstract: Each employee possessor in the explosive business or operations required to ship, transport, receive, or possess (actual or constructive), explosive materials must submit this form. The form will be submitted to ATF to determine whether the person who provided the information is qualified to be an employee possessor in an explosive business.
- (5) An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond: There will be an estimated 10,000 respondents, who will complete the form within approximately 20 minutes.
- (6) An estimate of the total burden (in hours) associated with the collection: There are an estimated 3,334 total burden hours associated with this collection.

If additional information is required contact: Lynn Bryant, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Policy and Planning Staff, Justice Management Division, Suite 1600, Patrick Henry Building, 601 D Street NW., Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: July 28, 2006.

#### Lvnn Brvant,

Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice. [FR Doc. E6–12450 Filed 8–1–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4810-FY-P

## **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

### **Antitrust Division**

## **Proposed Termination of Judgments**

Notice is hereby given that Defendant American Watch Association, Inc. ("AWA") and Defendant Foote, Cone & Belding, Inc. ("Foote") have filed a joint motion to terminate both the Final Judgment entered against the AWA ("the AWA Final Judgment") and the Final Judgment entered against Foote ("the Foote Final Judgment") on March 9, 1960 in United States v. The Watchmakers of Switzerland Information Center, Inc., Trade Reg. Rep. (CCH) ¶69,655 (S.D.N.Y. Mar 9, 1960) (collectively "the AWA and Foote Final Judgments") and that the Department of Justice ("the Department"), Antitrust Division, in a stipulation also filed with the Court, has tentatively consented to termination of the AWA and Foote Final Judgments, but has reserved the right to withdraw its consent pending receipt of public comments.

The AWA and Foote Final Judgments, similar to the Final Judgment entered in United States v. The Watchmakers of Switzerland Information Center, Inc., Trade Reg. Rep. (CCH)  $\P69,655$ (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 9, 1960) ("the Watchmakers Final Judgment"), arose out of a 1950s investigation of the anticompetitive practices of the Swiss watch industry, including Swiss watch manufacturers, Swiss trade associations, and their United States importers. The United States filed a complaint against more than 20 watch companies and associations in 1954, including the AWA and Foote. United States v. The Watchmakers of Switzerland Information Center, Inc., Civil Action No. 96-170 (S.D.N.Y. Complaint filed Oct. 19, 1954). The AWA is an association that promotes the growth and health of the U.S. watch industry and lobbies to influence regulatory policy. Its members include U.S. watch companies as well as U.S. subsidiaries of foreign watch manufacturers. Foote is an advertising agency that allegedly acted as an agent for some of the defendants.

The United States made serveral allegations in its complaint. It charged that certain Swiss and U.S.