FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Laurel LaCivita or Matthew Quigley, AD/CVD Operations, Office 8, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–4243 or (202) 482– 4551, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On September 28, 2005, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published in the **Federal Register** a notice of initiation of the antidumping duty administrative review of Polyethylene Retail Carrier Bags ("PRCBs") from the People's Republic of China ("PRC") for the period January 24, 2004, through July 31, 2005. *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part*, 70 FR 56631 (September 28, 2005). The preliminary results of review are currently due no later than May 3, 2006.

Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act), requires the Department to make a preliminary determination within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order for which a review is requested. Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act further states that, if it is not practicable to complete the review within the time specified, the administering authority may extend the 245-day period to issue its preliminary results by up to 120 days. Completion of the preliminary results of this review within the 245-day period is not practicable because the Department needs additional time to analyze a significant amount of information pertaining to each company's sales practices, factors of production, corporate relationships, and to review responses to supplemental questionnaires.

Because it is not practicable to complete this review within the time specified under the Act, we are extending the time period for issuing the preliminary results of review by 110 days until August 21, 2006, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act. The final results continue to be due 120 days after the publication of the preliminary results of review.

Dated: April 21, 2006.

Stephen J. Claeys,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E6–6368 Filed 4–26–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

A-570-879

Notice of Extension of Time Limit for the Final Results of the Antidumping Administrative Review of Polyvinyl Alcohol from the People's Republic of China

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 27, 2006.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lilit Astvatsatrian, AD/CVD Operations, Office 8, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–6412.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On November 7, 2005, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on polyvinyl alcohol (''PVA'') from the People's Republic of China ("PRC"), covering the period August 11, 2003, through September 30, 2004. See Polyvinyl Alcohol from the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 70 FR 67434 (November 7, 2005) ("Preliminary Results"). In the Preliminary Results, we stated that we would make our final determination for the antidumping duty review no later than 120 days after the date of publication of the preliminary results (i.e., March 7, 2006).

Extension of Time Limit of Final Results

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), requires the Department to issue the final results in an administrative review within 120 days of the publication date of the preliminary results. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within this time period, the Department may extend the time limit for the final results to 180 days. On February 27, 2006, the Department published in the Federal Register a notice extending the time limit for the final results of the administrative review from March 7, 2006, to April 6, 2006. See Notice of Extension of Time Limit for the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Polyvinyl Alcohol from the People's Republic of China, 71 FR 9781

(February 27, 2006). On March 29, 2006, the Department published in the Federal Register a notice extending the time limit for the final results of the administrative review from April 6, 2006, to April 21, 2006. See Notice of Extension of Time Limit for the Final Results of the Antidumping Administrative Review of Polyvinyl Alcohol from the People's Republic of China, 71 FR 15697 (March 29, 2006). The final results of review are currently due no later than April 21, 2006. However, completion of the final results by April 21, 2006, is not practicable because this review involves certain complex issues, including examination of the co-product allocation methodology, application of by-product credits, and the valuation of natural gas and certain other factors.

Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, the Department is now fully extending the time period for issuing these final results of review until May 6, 2006. However, because May 6, 2006, falls on a Saturday, the final results will be due on May 8, 2006, the next business day.

Dated: April 21, 2006.

Stephen J. Claeys,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration. [FR Doc. E6–6365 Filed 4–26–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

A-570-890

Wooden Bedroom Furniture from The People's Republic of China: Notice of Court Decision Not in Harmony

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce. SUMMARY: On April 5, 2006, the United States Court of International Trade ("Court") sustained the final remand determination made by the Department of Commerce ("the Department") pursuant to the Court's remand of the amended final determination of the investigation of wooden bedroom furniture from the People's Republic of China. See Guangzhou Maria Yee Furnishings Ltd., et. al. v. United States, Ct. No. 05–00065, Slip Op. 06–44 (Ct. Int'l Trade April 5, 2006) ("Maria Yee Remand II''). This case arises out of the Department's Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Wooden Bedroom Furniture From the People's Republic of China, 69 FR 67313 (November 17, 2004), as amended, 70

FR 329 (January 4, 2005) ("*Final Determination*"). The final judgment in this case was not in harmony with the Department's *Final Determination*. **EFFECTIVE DATE:** April 17, 2006.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Will Dickerson, AD/CVD Operations, Office 8, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–1778. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

In Guangzhou Maria Yee Furnishings. Ltd., et al. v. United States, Court No. 05-00065, Slip Op. 05-158 (CIT December 14, 2005) ("Maria Yee *Remand*"), the Court remanded the Department's determination to reject, as untimely, certain information submitted by Guangzhou Maria Yee Furnishings Ltd., et al. ("Maria Yee"). The Court found that the Department's method of notice to parties of the requirement and deadline to submit a response to Section A of the Department's questionnaire was not reasonable, and remanded this case to the Department for further consideration consistent with the Court's opinion, and in light of the Court's decision in Decca Hospitality Furnishings, LLC v. United States, Court No. 05-00002, Slip Op. 05-100 (CIT

August 23, 2005) ("*Decca*") On February 10, 2006, the Department issued its draft results of redetermination pursuant to remand for comment by the interested parties. On February 14, 2006, Maria Yee submitted comments in response to the Department's draft results of redetermination. No other party filed comments. On March 1, 2006, the Department issued its final results of redetermination pursuant to remand to the Court. The remand redetermination explained that, in accordance with the Court's opinion, the Department must analyze the evidence presented by Maria Yee to determine whether it is eligible for a separate rate. Accordingly, on December 27, 2005, the Department reopened the record and requested that Maria Yee re–submit a copy of its initial July 2, 2004, submission. On December 28, 2005, Maria Yee re-submitted a copy of its initial July 2, 2004, submission. Additionally, the Department issued one supplemental questionnaire to Maria Yee to address a few deficiencies found in Maria Yee's December 28, 2005, submission. Maria Yee submitted timely and complete responses to these questionnaires. Based on our analysis of Maria Yee's evidence, we determined that Maria Yee qualifies

for a separate rate in the investigation of wooden bedroom furniture from the PRC. See Final Results of Redetermination Pursuant to Court Remand, November 7, 2005.

On April 5, 2006, the Court ordered that the Department's remand determination is supported by substantial evidence, and affirmed the Department's remand results in their entirety. *See Maria Yee Remand II.* The granting of a separate rate to Maria Yee changes Maria Yee's antidumping duty rate from the PRC–wide rate of 198.08 percent to the Section A respondent rate of 6.65 percent.

Timken Notice

In its decision in Timken Co. v. United States, 893 F.2d 337, 341 (Fed. Cir. 1990) ("*Timken*"), the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit held that, pursuant to section 516A(e) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), the Department must publish a notice of a court decision that is not "in harmony" with a Department determination, and must suspend liquidation of entries pending a "conclusive" court decision. The Court's decision in Maria Yee Remand II constitutes a final court decision that is not in harmony with the Department's final determination of sales at less than fair value. This notice is published in fulfillment of the publication requirements of Timken. Accordingly, the Department will continue the suspension of liquidation of the subject merchandise pending the expiration of the period of appeal, or, if appealed, upon a final and conclusive court decision.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with section 516A(c)(1) of the Act.

Dated: April 20, 2006.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration. [FR Doc. E6–6369 Filed 4–26–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

Availability of Seats for the Channel Islands National Marine Sanctuary Advisory Council

AGENCY: National Marine Sanctuary Program (NMSP), National Ocean Service (NOS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Department of Commerce (DOC). **ACTION:** Notice and request for applications.

SUMMARY: The Channel Islands National Marine Sanctuary (CINMS) is seeking applicants for the following vacant seats on its Sanctuary Advisory Council (Council): Commercial Fishing member, Conservation alternate, Public-At-Large member, Public-At-Large alternate, Research member, and Tourism member. Applicants are chosen based upon: their particular expertise and experience in relation to the seat for which they are applying, community and professional affiliations, views regarding the protection and management of marine resources, and the length of residence in the communities located near the Sanctuary. Applicants who are chosen as members should expect to serve 2year terms, pursuant to the Council's Čharter.

DATES: Applications are due by June 26, 2006.

ADDRESSES: Application kits may be obtained from Dani Lipski, Channel Islands National Marine Sanctuary, 113 Harbor Way Suite 150 Santa Barbara, CA 93109–2315. Completed applications should be sent to the same address.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael Murray, Channel Islands National Marine Sanctuary, 113 Harbor Way Suite 150 Santa Barbara, CA 93109–2315, 805–966–7107 extension 464, michael.murray@noaa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The CINMS Advisory Council was originally established in December 1998 and has a broad representation consisting of 21 members, including ten government agency representatives and eleven members from the general public. The Council functions in an advisory capacity to the Sanctuary Superintendent. The Council works in concert with the Sanctuary Superintendent by keeping him or her informed about issues of concern throughout the Sanctuary, offering recommendations on specific issues, and aiding the Superintendent in achieving the goals of the Sanctuary program. Specifically, the Council's objectives are to provide advice on: (1) Protecting natural and cultural resources and identifying and evaluating emergent or critical issues involving Sanctuary use or resources; (2) Identifying and realizing the Sanctuary's research objectives; (3) Identifying and realizing educational opportunities to increase the public knowledge and stewardship of the Sanctuary environment; and (4)