

*Color Television Receivers from Malaysia*, 69 FR 20592 (April 16, 2004), and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum at Comment 26).

Based on the record of this review to date, we determine that the use of the weighted-average profit rate of the LTFV respondents is a reasonable method for the following reasons. First, the products sold by the other respondents in their respective third-country markets are substantially similar to those sold by Studmark (*i.e.*, sales of frozen, head-off, uncooked shrimp). Second, the CV profit rate for the LTFV respondents excludes sales to the United States. Third, the LTFV respondents sold to distributor/wholesalers similar to Studmark's U.S. customer (*i.e.*, they had the same type of customer base). We note that the weighted-average CV profit rate calculated for the LTFV respondents covers a time frame that is not contemporaneous with the POR. The LTFV investigation period was from October 1, 2002, through September 30, 2003, while the instant POR is August 4, 2004, through July 31, 2005. However, there is no other CV profit data available that meets the other criteria and is contemporaneous with the POR, and there is no information currently on the record to indicate that the difference in the time periods is distortive. In addition, the Department verified the LTFV respondents' third-country market information and ascertained the reliability of the data.

**Currency Conversion**

As Studmark reported its prices, expenses, and costs in U.S. dollars, no currency conversions were required in our margin calculations.

**Preliminary Results of New Shipper Review**

As a result of our review, we preliminarily determine that the following percentage margin exists for Studmark for the period August 4, 2004, through July 31, 2005:

Manufacturer/Exporter	Margin (percent)
Studmark, S.A. ....	12.53

The Department will disclose the calculations performed within five days of the date of publication of this notice to the parties of this proceeding in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b). An interested party may request a hearing within 30 days of publication of these preliminary results. *See* 19 CFR 351.310(c). Any hearing, if requested, ordinarily will be held 44 days after the date of publication of these preliminary

results, or the first working day thereafter. Interested parties may submit case briefs no later than 30 days after the date of publication of these preliminary results. *See* 19 CFR 351.309(c)(ii). Rebuttal briefs limited to issues raised in such briefs may be filed no later than 35 days after the date of publication of the preliminary results. *See* 19 CFR 351.309(d).

Parties who submit arguments are requested to submit with the argument (1) a statement of the issue and (2) a brief summary of the argument. Further, parties submitting briefs are requested to provide the Department with an additional copy of the public version of any such briefs on diskette. The Department will issue the final results of this review, which will include the results of its analysis of issues raised in any such comments, or at a hearing, if requested, within 90 days of publication of these preliminary results.

**Assessment Rate**

If these preliminary results are adopted in our final results of review, the Department shall determine, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Upon completion of this review, the Department will issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to CBP within 15 days of publication of the final results of this administrative review. Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.212(b), the Department calculated an assessment rate for the importer of subject merchandise based on the ratio of the total amount of antidumping duties calculated for the examined sale, to the total entered value of the examined sale. Where the assessment rate is above *de minimis*, the importer-specific rate will be assessed uniformly on all entries made during the POR.

**Cash Deposit Requirements**

Bonding will no longer be permitted to fulfill security requirements for shipments from Studmark of certain frozen warmwater shrimp from Ecuador entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this new shipper review. The following cash-deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this new shipper review for all shipments of the subject merchandise from Studmark, entered or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date as provided for by section 751 (a)(2)(C) of the Act:

- for shipments of subject merchandise manufactured and exported by Studmark, the cash deposit rate shall be

the rate determined in the final results of the review;

- for shipments of subject merchandise from Studmark but not produced by Studmark, the cash-deposit rate will be the "All Others" rate, 3.58 percent. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

**Notification to Importers**

This notice serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties occurred and the subsequent increase in antidumping duties by the amount of antidumping and/or countervailing duties reimbursed.

This new shipper review is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(2)(B) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: June 9, 2006.

**David M. Spooner**,  
Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E6-9475 Filed 6-15-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**International Trade Administration (A-588-815)**

**Gray Portland Cement and Cement Clinker from Japan: Continuation of Antidumping Duty Order**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.  
**SUMMARY:** As a result of the determinations by the Department of Commerce and the International Trade Commission that revocation of the antidumping duty order on gray portland cement and cement clinker from Japan would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping and of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time, the Department is publishing notice of the continuation of this antidumping duty order.  
**EFFECTIVE DATE:** June 16, 2006.  
**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Edythe Artman or Minoo Hatten, Office 5, AD/CVD Operations, Import

Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3931 and (202) 482-1690, respectively.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

On October 3, 2005, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated and the International Trade Commission (ITC) instituted the second sunset review of the antidumping duty order on gray portland cement and cement clinker from Japan, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). See *Initiation of Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews*, 70 FR 57560 (October 3, 2005); *Institution of Five-year Reviews concerning the Antidumping Duty Orders on Gray Portland Cement and Cement Clinker from Japan and Mexico*, 70 FR 57617 (October 3, 2005). As a result of its review, the Department found that revocation of the antidumping duty order would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping and notified the ITC of the magnitude of the margins likely to prevail were the order to be revoked. See *Gray Portland Cement and Clinker from Japan; Final Results of the Expedited Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order*, 71 FR 6268 (February 7, 2006). On May 26, 2006, the ITC determined pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on gray portland cement and cement clinker from Japan would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time. See *Gray Portland Cement and Cement Clinker from Japan*, 71 FR 32127 (June 2, 2006), and ITC Publication 3856 (May 2006), entitled *Gray Portland Cement and Cement Clinker from Japan: Investigation No. 731-TA-461 (Second Review)*.

**Scope of the Order**

The products covered by this order are cement and cement clinker from Japan. Cement is a hydraulic cement and the primary component of concrete. Cement clinker, an intermediate material produced when manufacturing cement, has no use other than grinding into finished cement. Microfine cement was specifically excluded from the antidumping duty order. Cement is currently classifiable under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS) item number 2523.29, and cement clinker is currently classifiable under HTS item number 2523.10. Cement has also been entered under HTS item number

2523.90 as "other hydraulic cements." The Department made two scope rulings regarding subject merchandise. See *Scope Rulings*, 57 FR 19602 (May 7, 1992), classes G and H of oil well cement are within the scope of the order, and *Scope Rulings*, 58 FR 27542 (May 10, 1993), "Nittetsu Super Fine" cement is not within the scope of the order. The order remains in effect for all manufacturers, producers, and exporters of cement from Japan.

The HTS item numbers are provided for convenience and customs purposes. The written product description remains dispositive as to the scope of the product coverage.

**Determination**

As a result of the determinations by the Department and ITC that revocation of this antidumping duty order would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping and material injury to an industry in the United States, pursuant to section 751(d)(2) of the Act, the Department hereby orders the continuation of the antidumping duty order on gray portland cement and cement clinker from Japan.

U.S. Customs and Border Protection will continue to collect antidumping duty cash deposits at the rates in effect at the time of entry for all imports of subject merchandise.

The effective date of continuation of this order will be the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of this Notice of Continuation. Pursuant to sections 751(c)(2) and 751(c)(6) of the Act, the Department intends to initiate the next five-year review of this order not later than May 2011.

These five-year (sunset) reviews and this notice are in accordance with section 751(c) of the Act.

Dated: June 9, 2006.

**David M. Spooner,**

*Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E6-9476 Filed 6-15-06; 8:45 am]

Billing Code: 3510-DS-S

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**International Trade Administration**

**A-570-863**

**Honey from the People's Republic of China: Final Results and Final Rescission, In Part, of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On December 16, 2005, the Department published the *Preliminary*

*Results* of the third administrative review of the antidumping duty order on honey from the People's Republic of China (PRC). *Honey from the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 70 FR 74764 (December 16, 2005) (*Preliminary Results*). This review covers eight exporters or producer/exporters: (1) Anhui Honghui Foodstuff (Group) Co., Ltd. (Anhui Honghui); (2) Jiangsu Kanghong Natural Healthfoods Co., Ltd. (Jiangsu Kanghong); (3) Jinfu Trading Co., Ltd. (Jinfu); (4) Shanghai Eswell Enterprise Co., Ltd. (Eswell); (5) Zhejiang Native Produce and Animal By-Products Import & Export Group Corp. (Zhejiang); (6) Chengdu Waiyuan Bee Products Co., Ltd. (Chengdu Waiyuan); (7) Eurasia Bee's Products Co., Ltd. (Eurasia); and (8) Sichuan-Dujiangyan Dubao Bee Industrial Co., Ltd. (Dubao). The period of review (POR) is December 1, 2003, through November 30, 2004. We have made changes to certain surrogate values based on our analysis of the record, including factual information obtained since the *Preliminary Results*. Therefore, the final results differ from the *Preliminary Results*. See "Final Results of Review" section below.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** June 16, 2006.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Kristina Boughton or Bobby Wong, AD/CVD Operations, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-8173 or (202) 482-0409, respectively.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

We published in the **Federal Register** the *Preliminary Results* of the third administrative review on December 16, 2005. *Preliminary Results*. The POR is December 1, 2003, through November 30, 2004.

Since the *Preliminary Results* the following events have occurred:

On January 3, 2006, we extended the time limit for submitting further information to value the factors of production until February 2, 2006. On February 2, 2006, we received surrogate value submissions from Anhui Honghui, Jiangsu Kanghong, and Zhejiang (collectively, GDLSK respondents), from Eswell, and from the American Honey Producers Association and the Sioux Honey Association (collectively, petitioners). On February 13, 2006, we received a rebuttal surrogate value submission from the GDLSK