Exporter	Margin (percent)
Anhui Honghui Food- stuffs (Group) Co., Ltd	212.39%
ural Healthfoods Co., Ltd	210.53% 168.88%
Shanghai Eswell Enter- prise Co., Ltd Zhejiang Native	168.30%
Produce and Animal By-Products Import & Export Group Corp PRC-Wide Rate (in-	169.11%
cluding Sichuan– Dujiangyan Dubao Bee Industrial Co., Ltd. and Eurasia's	
Bee Products Co., Ltd.)	212.39%

For details on the calculation of the antidumping duty weighted—average margin for each company, see the respective company's analysis memorandum for the final results of the third administrative review of the antidumping duty order on honey from the PRC, dated June 9, 2006. Public versions of these memoranda are on file in the CRU.

Assessment of Antidumping Duties

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.212(b), the Department will determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. The Department will issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to CBP within 15 days of publication of these final results of review. For assessment purposes, where possible, we calculated importerspecific assessment rates for honey from the PRC on a per–unit basis. Specifically, we divided the total dumping margins (calculated as the difference between normal value and export price or constructed export price) for each importer by the total quantity of subject merchandise sold to that importer during the POR to calculate a per-unit assessment amount. We will direct CBP to levy importer–specific assessment rates based on the resulting per-unit (i.e., per-kilogram) rates by the weight in kilograms of each entry of the subject merchandise during the POR.

Cash Deposits

The following cash—deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of these final results for shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of these final results, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For subject merchandise exported by Anhui Honghui, Jiangsu

Kanghong, Jinfu, Eswell, and Zhejiang, we will establish a per-kilogram cash deposit rate which will be equivalent to the company-specific cash deposit established in this review; (2) the cash deposit rate for PRC exporters who received a separate rate in a prior segment of the proceeding will continue to be the rate assigned in that segment of the proceeding (except for Eurasia, whose cash-deposit rate has changed in this review to the PRC-wide entity rate, as noted below); (3) for all other PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate (including Dubao and Eurasia), the cash-deposit rate will be the PRC-wide rate of 183.80 percent; and (4) for all non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise, the cash-deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC supplier of that exporter.

These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

Notification to Interested Parties

This notice also serves as the final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and in the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return/destruction or conversion to judicial protective order of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with

19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Failure to comply is a violation of the APO.

This determination is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: June 9, 2006.

David M. Spooner,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

Appendix I

List of Issues

General Issues

Comment 1: Appropriate Surrogate Value for Honey Comment 2: Appropriate Surrogate Value for Financial Ratios Comment 3: Calculation of the MHPC Financial Ratios Comment 4: Brokerage and Handling Expenses

Comment 5: Calculation of the Surrogate
Wage Rate

Comment 6: Calculation of Employee Benefits in Financial Ratios

Company-Specific Issues

Shanghai Eswell-Related Issues

Comment 7: Valuation of By–Product for Shanghai Eswell Comment 8: Calculation of Indirect Selling Expenses for Shanghai Eswell

Jiangsu Kanghong-Related Issues

Comment 9: Appropriate Factors of Production to Value for Jiangsu Kanghong

[FR Doc. E6–9477 Filed 6–15–06; 8:45 am] Billing Code: 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-412-822]

Stainless Steel Bar from the United Kingdom: Notice of Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 16, 2006.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kate Johnson or Rebecca Trainor, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4929 or (202) 482–4007, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On March 2, 2006, the Department published in the **Federal Register** (71 FR 10642) a notice of "Opportunity To Request Administrative Review" of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel bar from the United Kingdom for the period March 1, 2005, through February 28, 2006. On March 30 and 31, 2006, Firth Rixson Limited (Firth Rixson) and Corus Engineering Steels (CES), respectively, requested an administrative review of their sales for the above-mentioned period. On April 28, 2006, the Department published a notice of initiation of an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel bar from the United Kingdom with respect to these companies. See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews, 71 FR 25145.

Partial Rescission of Review

On June 1, 2006, CES timely withdrew its request for an administrative review of its sales during the above–referenced period. Section 351.213(d)(1) of the Department's regulations requires that the Secretary rescind an administrative review if a party requesting a review withdraws the request within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation. In this case, CES has withdrawn its request for review within the 90-day period. We have received no other submissions regarding CES's withdrawal of its request for review. Therefore, we are rescinding in part this review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel bar from the United Kingdom with respect to CES. This review will continue with respect to Firth Rixson.

This notice is published in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: June 12, 2006.

Stephen J. Claeys,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E6-9474 Filed 6-15-06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

[I.D. 060706D]

Endangered Species; File No. 1578

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice; Receipt of application.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the Maine Department of Marine Resources (MDMR) (Gail S. Wippelhauser, Principal Investigator), 21 State House Station, Augusta, ME 04333 has applied in due form for a permit to take shortnose sturgeon (Acipenser brevirostrum) for purposes of scientific research.

DATES: Written, telefaxed, or e-mail comments must be received on or before July 17, 2006.

ADDRESSES: The application and related documents are available for review upon written request or by appointment in the following office(s):

Permits, Conservation and Education Division, Office of Protected Resources, NMFS, 1315 East-West Highway, Room 13705, Silver Spring, MD 20910; phone (301)713–2289; fax (301)427–2521; and Northeast Region, NMFS, One Blackburn Drive, Gloucester, MA 01930-2298; phone (978)281–9328; fax (978)281–9394.

Written comments or requests for a public hearing on this application should be mailed to the Chief, Permits, Conservation and Education Division, F/PR1, Office of Protected Resources, NMFS, 1315 East-West Highway, Room 13705, Silver Spring, MD 20910. Those individuals requesting a hearing should set forth the specific reasons why a hearing on this particular request would be appropriate.

Comments may also be submitted by facsimile at (301)427–2521, provided the facsimile is confirmed by hard copy submitted by mail and postmarked no later than the closing date of the comment period.

Comments may also be submitted by email. The mailbox address for providing email comments is *NMFS.Pr1Comments@noaa.gov*. Include in the subject line of the email comment the following document identifier: File No. 1578.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kate Swails or Shane Guan (301)713–2289.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The subject permit is requested under the authority of the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (ESA; 16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*) and the regulations governing the taking, importing, and exporting of endangered and threatened species (50 CFR 222–226).

The applicant proposes to determine the location of spawning and feeding habitat, and migratory pathways of sturgeon in the Penobscot and Kennebec Rivers in Maine. The study would also determine the impact of river flows on migration and habitat use. Researchers would annually capture up to 250 sturgeon from the Penobscot River during the study's first three years. Up to 500 sturgeon would be captured annually from the Kennebec River during the last two years of the study. Sturgeon would be captured with gillnets, measured, weighed, tissue sampled, Passive Integrated Transponder tagged, and released. A sample of sturgeon would be acoustic tagged. Researchers would also sample for eggs and larvae. The permit would be issued for five-years.

Dated: June 12, 2006.

P. Michael Payne,

Chief, Permits, Conservation and Education Division, Office of Protected Resources, National Marine Fisheries Service. [FR Doc. E6–9501 Filed 6–15–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office [Docket No. PTO-P-2006-0032]

Grant of Interim Extension of the Term of U.S. Patent No. 4,591,585; atamestane

AGENCY: United States Patent and Trademark Office, Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Interim Patent Term Extension.

SUMMARY: The United States Patent and Trademark Office has issued a certificate under 35 U.S.C. 156(d)(5) for a third one-year interim extension of the term of U.S. Patent No. 4,591,585.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mary C. Till by telephone at (571) 272–7755; by mail marked to her attention and addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, Mail Stop Patent Ext., P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313–1450; by fax marked to her attention at (571) 273–7755, or by e-mail to Mary.Till@uspto.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 156 of Title 35, United States Code, generally provides that the term of a patent may be extended for a period of up to five years if the patent claims a product, or a method of making or using a product, that has been subject to certain defined regulatory review, and that the patent may be extended for interim periods of up to a year if the regulatory review is anticipated to extend beyond the expiration date of the patent.

On May 9, 2006, Intarcia
Therapeutics, Inc., exclusive licensee of
U.S. Patent No. 4,591,585, assigned to
Schering Aktiengesellschaft, timely filed
an application under 35 U.S.C. 156(d)(5)
for a third interim extension of the term
of U.S. Patent No. 4,591,585. The patent
claims the human drug product
atamestane. The application indicates
that a New Drug Application for the
human drug product atamestane has
been filed and is currently undergoing
regulatory review before the Food and
Drug Administration for permission to
market or use the product commercially.

Review of the application indicates that except for permission to market or use the product commercially, the subject patent would be eligible for an extension of the patent term under 35 U.S.C. 156, and that the patent should be extended for one year as required by 35 U.S.C. 156(d)(5)(B). Because it is apparent that the regulatory review period will continue beyond the extended expiration date of the patent (June 18, 2006), interim extension of the