Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget (OMB), Attention: Desk Officer for EPA, 725 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20503.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Patricia Bonner, Office of Environmental Policy Innovation (MC 1807T), Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460; telephone number: 202–566–2204; fax number: 202–566–2200; e-mail address: bonner.patricia@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: EPA has submitted the following ICR to OMB for review and approval according to the procedures prescribed in 5 CFR 1320.12. On February 6, 2006 (71 FR 6070), EPA sought comments on this ICR pursuant to 5 CFR 1320.8(d). EPA received no comments. Any additional comments on this ICR should be submitted to EPA and OMB within 30 days of this notice.

EPA has established a public docket for this ICR under Docket ID No. EPA–HQ–OA–2006–0074, which is available for online viewing at http://www.regulations.gov, or in person viewing at the OEI Docket in the EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), EPA West, Room B102, 1301 Constitution Ave., NW., Washington, DC. The EPA/DC Public Reading Room is open from 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The telephone number for the Reading Room is 202–566–1744, and the telephone number for the OEI Docket is 202–566–1752.

Use EPA's electronic docket and comment system at http:// www.regulations.gov, to submit or view public comments, access the index listing of the contents of the docket, and to access those documents in the docket that are available electronically. Once in the system, select "docket search," then key in the docket ID number identified above. Please note that EPA's policy is that public comments, whether submitted electronically or in paper, will be made available for public viewing at http://www.regulations.gov as EPA receives them and without change, unless the comment contains copyrighted material, CBI, or other information whose public disclosure is restricted by statute. For further information about the electronic docket, go to http://www.regulations.gov.

Title: Voluntary Customer Satisfaction Surveys.

ICR numbers: EPA ICR No. 1711.05, OMB Control No. 2090–0019.

ICR status: This ICR is scheduled to expire on June 30, 2006. Under OMB regulations, the Agency may continue to

conduct or sponsor the collection of information while this submission is pending at OMB. An Agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information, unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations in title 40 of the CFR, after appearing in the Federal Register when approved, are listed in 40 CFR part 9, are displayed either by publication in the Federal Register or by other appropriate means, such as on the related collection instrument or form, if applicable. The display of OMB control numbers in certain EPA regulations is consolidated in 40 CFR part 9.

Abstract: EPA uses voluntary surveys to learn how satisfied EPA customers are with our services, and how we can improve services, products and processes. EPA surveys individuals who use services or could have. During the next three years, EPA plans up to 72 surveys, and will use results to target/ measure service delivery improvements. By seeking renewal of the generic clearance for customer surveys, EPA will have the flexibility to gather the views of our customers to better determine the extent to which our services, products and processes satisfy their needs or need to be improved. The generic clearance will speed the review and approval of customer surveys that solicit opinions from EPA customers on a voluntary basis, and do not involve "fact-finding" for the purposes of regulatory development or enforcement.

Burden Statement: The annual public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 5.4 minutes per response. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements which have subsequently changed; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

Respondents/Affected Entities: The Executive Order describes a customer as "* * * an individual or entity who is directly served by a department or

agency." The EPA, by the very nature of its mandate, serves very large and diverse groups that receive or are in some way affected by EPA services. Past EPA customer groups targeted for customer satisfaction surveys include individual citizens, industry/business, states/other governments, and Web users. Because several customer groups use the same services, a survey may reach more than one of the designated customer categories. (The code standard industrial code (SIC) for "General Public" is 99.)

Estimated Number of Respondents: 18,735.

Frequency of Response: On occasion. Estimated Total Annual Hour Burden: 1.671.

Estimated Total Annual Cost: \$8,466, includes \$0 annualized capital or O&M costs.

Changes in the Estimates: There is a decrease of 1,295 hours in the total estimated burden currently identified in the OMB Inventory of Approved ICR Burdens. This decrease is in large part due to greater use of online survey tools.

Dated: June 9, 2006.

Oscar Morales,

Director, Collection Strategies Division. [FR Doc. E6–9466 Filed 6–15–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6676-4]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under section 309 of the Clean Air Act and section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at 202–564–7167. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 7, 2006 (71 FR 17845).

Draft EISs

EIS No. 20050549, ERP No. D–BLM– K65296–AZ, Aqua Fria National Monument and Bradshaw-Harquahala, Proposed Resource Management Plan, Implementation, Yavapai County, AZ.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about impacts to riparian resources and air quality, and recommended additional protections for these resources be included in the Final EIS. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20060066, ERP No. D–CGD– G11047–00, Beacon Port Deepwater Port License Application, Construction and Operation, Deepwater Port and Offshore Pipeline, U.S. COE Section 404 and 10 Permits, Gulf of Mexico, San Patricio County, TX.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental objections to the open rack re-gasification system due to adverse environmental impacts to Gulf waters and habitat. EPA believes that these impacts can be corrected by the project modifications or other feasible technology, and requested additional information to evaluate and resolve the outstanding issues. Rating EO2.

EIS No. 20060117, ERP No. D-FHW-G40188-LA, I-49 South Wax Lake Outlet to Berwick Route US-90, Transportation Improvements, Funding and Right-of-Way Acquisition, St. Mary Parish, LA.

Summary: EPA does not object to the proposed project. Rating LO.

EIS No. 20060119, ERP No. D-FHW-D40335-VA, Harrisonburg Southeast Connector Location Study, Transportation Improvements from U.S. Route 11 to U.S. Route 33, Funding and U.S. Army COE Section 404 Permit, City of Harrisonburg, Rockingham County, VA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about the proposed project, primarily regarding potential impacts to historic resources and impacts arising from induced growth in the study area. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20060126, Erp No. Ds-Noa-K91012-00, Bottomfish and Seamount Groundfish Fisheries of the Western Pacific Region, Approval for Measures to End Bottomfish Overfishing in the Hawaii Archipelago, HI, GU and AS.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concern about uncertainties of project alternatives due to a lack of data, and recommended adaptive management and a more conservative mortality reduction target be established. Rating EC2.

Final EISs

EIS No. 20060099, ERP No. F–AFS– J65428–CO, Vail Valley Forest Health Project, Landscape-Scale Vegetation Management and Fuels Reduction, White River National Forest, Holy Cross Ranger District, Eagle County, CO.

Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

EIS No. 20060128, ERP No. F-FHW-J40167-UT, Brown Park Road Project, Reconstruction (Paving) and Partial Re-Alignment from Red Creek to Colorado State Line, Diamond Mountain Resource Management Plan Amendment (BLM), U.S. Army COE Section 404 Permit, Daggett County, LIT

Summary: EPA does not object to the proposed project.

EIS No. 20060153, ERP No. F–FHW– D40317–VA, Capital Beltway Study, Transportation Improvement to the 14–Mile Section of Capital Beltway (I–495) between the I–95/I–395/I–495 Interchange and the American Legion Bridge, Fairfax County, VA.

Summary: EPA continues to have environmental concern about the proposed project, particularly regarding potential noise issues as well as the lack of public involvement in the implementation of a High Occupancy Toll (HOT) lane concept.

EIS No. 20060159, ERP No. F–NPS– L61229–AK, Denali National Park and Preserve, Draft South Denali Implementation Plan, Matanuska-Susitna Borough, AK.

Summary: EPA does not object to the proposed project.

EIS No. 20060190, ERP No. F-AFS-F65054-MI, Ottawa National Forest, Proposed Land and Resource Management Plan, Forest Plan Revision, Implementation, Baraga, Gogebic, Houghton, Iron, Marquette and Ontonagan Counties, MI.

Summary: EPA's previous issues have been resolved; therefore, EPA does not object to the proposed action.

Dated: June 13, 2006.

Robert W. Hargrove,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. E6–9459 Filed 6–15–06; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 6560–50–P**

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-6676-3]

Environmental Impacts Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564–7167 or http://www.epa.gov/compliance/nepa/.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements

Filed June 5, 2006 Through June 9, 2006 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9. EIS No. 20060236, Draft Supplement, AFS, ID, Hidden Cedar Project, Updated Information, Manage Vegetation Conditions and the Transportation System, Idaho Panhandle National Forests, St. Joe Ranger District, Benewah, Latah and Shoshone Counties, ID, Comment Period Ends: July 31, 2006, Contact: Peter Ratcliffe 208–245–2531.

EIS No. 20060237, Draft EIS, AFS, AK, Traitors Cove Timber Sale Project, Timber Harvest and Road Construction, Implementation, Revillagigedo Island, Ketchikan-Misty Fiords Ranger District, Tongrass National Forest, AK, Comment Period Ends: July 31, 2006, Contact: Rob Reeck 907–228–4114.

EIS No. 20060238, Draft EIS, NRS, 00,
West Tarkio Creek Watershed Plan,
Construction of a Multiple-Purpose
Structure for Rural Water Supply,
Recreational Opportunities and
Agricultural Pollution Control, Page,
Montgomery and Fremont Counties,
IA and Atchison County, MO,
Comment Period Ends: July 31, 2006,
Contact: David Beck 515–284–4135.

EIS No. 20060239, Draft EIS, NPS, WA, Olympic National Park General Management Plan, Implementation, Clallam, Grays Harbor, Jefferson and Mason Counties, WA, Comment Period Ends: September 15, 2006, Contact: Nancy Hendricks 360–565– 3008.

EIS No. 20060240, Final Supplement, AFS, ID, West Gold Creek Project, Updated Information, Forest Management Activities Plan, Implementation, Idaho Panhandle National Forests, Sandpoints Ranger District, Bonner County, ID, Wait Period Ends: July 17, 2006, Contact: Albert Helgengerg 208–265–6643.

EIS No. 20060241, Draft EIS, AFS, WA, Olympic National Forest, Beyond Prevention: Site-Specific Invasive Plant Treatment Project, Implementation, Clallam, Grays Harbor, Jefferson and Mason Counties, WA, Comment Period Ends: July 31, 2006, Contact: Joan Ziegltrum 360–956–2320.

EIS No. 20060242, Draft EIS, FHW, WI,
Tier 1—DEIS—United States Highway
8 Project, Construction from Wis 35
(N) to USH 53, Funding and Right-ofWay Permit, Polk and Barron
Counties, WI, Comment Period Ends:
August 11, 2006, Contact: Peter M.
Garcia 608–829–7513.

EIS No. 20060243, Final Supplement, FHW, 00, MN–36/WI–64 St. Croix River Crossing Project, Construction a new Crossing between the Cities of Stillwater and Oak Park Heights, Washington County, MN and the town of St. Joseph in St. Croix County, WI, Wait Period Ends: July