

wide rate provide evidence of *de jure* and *de facto* absence of government control over the company's export activities. Accordingly, we will issue a questionnaire to Qizheng, including a separate rate section. The review will proceed if the responses provide sufficient indication that Qizheng is not subject to either *de jure* or *de facto* government control with respect to its exports of brake rotors. However, if Qizheng does not demonstrate its eligibility for a separate rate, the company will be deemed not separate from other companies that exported during the POI, and the new shipper review for Qizheng will be rescinded.

On August 17, 2006, the Pension Protection Act of 2006 (H.R. 4) was signed into law by Congress. Section 1632 of H.R. 4 temporarily suspends the authority of the Department to instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection to collect a bond or other security in lieu of a cash deposit in new shipper reviews. Therefore, the posting of a bond or other security under section 751(a)(2)(B)(iii) of the Act in lieu of a cash deposit is not available in this case. Importers of brake rotors exported and produced by Qizheng must continue to post a cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties on each entry of subject merchandise (i.e., brake rotors) at the PRC-wide entity rate of 43.32 percent.

Interested parties that need access to proprietary information in this new shipper review should submit applications for disclosure under administrative protective order in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305 and 351.306.

This initiation and notice are issued in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214 and 351.221(c)(1)(i).

Dated: November 22, 2006.

**Susan H. Kuhbach**

*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E6-20256 Filed 11-29-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-570-848]

#### Helical Spring Lock Washers From the People's Republic of China: Notice of Court Decision Not In Harmony With Final Results of Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On August 25, 2006, the United States Court of International Trade ("Court") sustained the final remand determination made by the Department of Commerce ("the Department") pursuant to the Court's remand of the final results of the administrative review of Helical Spring Lock Washers ("HSLWs") from the People's Republic of China. See *Shakeproof Assembly Components Division of IL Tool Works, Inc. v. United States*, Consol. Ct. 05-00404, Slip Op. 06-129 (Ct. Int'l Trade Aug. 25, 2006) ("Shakeproof Assembly"). This case arises out of the Department's October 1, 2002, through September 30, 2003, administrative review final results. See *Certain Helical Spring Lock Washers from the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 70 FR 28274 (May 17, 2005) ("Final Results"). The final judgment in this case was not in harmony with the Department's *Final Results*.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** September 4, 2006.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Marin Weaver or Charles Riggle, AD/CVD Operations, Office 8, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-2336 or (202) 482-0650, respectively.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

In *Shakeproof Assembly Components v. United States*, Slip Op. 05-163 (CIT, Dec. 22, 2005), the Court remanded the underlying results to the Department for reconsideration of the methodology employed to value plating services in the calculation of the antidumping duty rate for Hangzhou Spring Washer Co., Ltd. ("Hangzhou").

On May 15, 2006, the Department issued the draft results of redetermination pursuant to remand to Hangzhou and Shakeproof Assembly Components Division of Illinois Tool Works Inc. ("Shakeproof") for comment. On May 18, 2006, we received comments on our draft redetermination from both parties. On June 2, 2006, the Department issued its final results of redetermination pursuant to remand to the Court. The remand redetermination explained that the Department found the Sudha Electroplaters price quote to be the most reliable information on the record with which to value zinc plating. Moreover, based on the information on the record, the Department found that this quote should be applied to the weight of the un-plated lock washers. Thus, the Department recalculated the antidumping duty margin for Hangzhou.

On August 25, 2006, the Court sustained the final redetermination made by the Department pursuant to the Court's remand of the final results of the administrative review of HSLWs from the People's Republic of China. See *Shakeproof Assembly*.

In its decision in *Timken Co. v. United States*, 893 F. 2d 337, 341 (Fed. Cir. 1990) ("Timken"), the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit held that, pursuant to section 516A(e) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), the Department must publish a notice of a court decision that is not "in harmony" with a Department determination, and must suspend liquidation of entries pending a "conclusive" court decision. The Court's decision in *Shakeproof Assembly* on August 25, 2006, constitutes a final decision of that court that is not in harmony with the Department's *Final Results*. This notice is published in fulfillment of the publication requirements of *Timken*. Accordingly, the Department will continue the suspension of liquidation of the subject merchandise pending a final and conclusive court decision. The Court's ruling has been appealed, and if it is upheld by the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, the Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection to revise cash deposit rates and liquidate relevant entries covering the subject merchandise effective September 4, 2006.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with section 516A(c)(1) of the Act.

Dated: November 17, 2006.

**Stephen J. Claeys,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E6-20285 Filed 11-29-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Office of the Secretary of Defense

#### Meeting of the DoD Advisory Group on Electron Devices

**AGENCY:** Department of Defense, Advisory Group on Electron Devices.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The DoD Advisory Group on Electron Devices (AGED) announces a closed session meeting.

**DATES:** The meeting will be held at 0900, Tuesday, December 5, 2006.

**ADDRESSES:** The meeting will be held at ITS Noesis Business Unit, 4100 N. Fairfax Drive, Suite 800, Arlington, VA 22203.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Vicki Schneider, ITS Noesis Business Unit, 4100 N. Fairfax Drive, Suite 800, Arlington, VA 22203, 703-741-0300.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The mission of the Advisory Group is to provide advice to the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology and Logistics to the Director of Defense Research and Engineering (DDR&E), and through the DDR&E to the Director, Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency and the Military Departments in planning and managing an effective and economical research and development program in the area of electron devices.

The AGED meeting will be limited to review of research and development efforts in electronics and photonics with a focus on benefits to national defense. These reviews may form the basis for research and development programs initiated by the Military Departments and Defense Agencies to be conducted by industry, universities or in government laboratories. The agenda for this meeting will include programs on molecular electronics, microelectronics, electro-optics, and electronic materials. Due to unforeseen circumstances, this announcement does not give the standard 15-day notification.

In accordance with Section 10(d) of Pub. L. 92-463, as amended, (5 U.S.C. App. 2), it has been determined that this Advisory Group meeting concerns matters listed in 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(1), and that accordingly, this meeting will be closed to the public.

Dated: November 21, 2006.

**L.M. Bynum,**

*Alternate, OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.*

[FR Doc. 06-9465 Filed 11-29-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 5001-06-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP05-357-005]

#### Cheniere Creole Trail Pipeline, L.P.; Notice of Motion to Vacate Certificate in Part

November 22, 2006.

Take notice that on November 16, 2006, Cheniere Creole Trail Pipeline, L.P. (Creole Trail Pipeline), 717 Texas Avenue, Suite 3100, Houston, Texas 77002, filed in Docket No. CP05-357-005, a motion to vacate the certificate authority granted on June 15, 2006, in Docket Nos. CP05-357-000, et al., to construct, own and operate one of the lines, referred to as Line 2, of the

originally certificated 116.8-mile, dual 42-inch pipelines. Creole Trail Pipeline explains that construction of a single 42-inch pipeline, referred to as Line 1, is sufficient for it to satisfy its transportation service obligations.

The motion is on file with the Commission and open for public inspection. This motion is available for review at the Commission in the Public Reference Room or may be viewed on the Commission's Web site at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the "eLibrary" link. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number field to access the document. For assistance, please contact FERC Online Support at [FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov](mailto:FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov) or toll free at (866) 208-3676, or for TTY, contact (202) 502-8659.

Any questions regarding the application should be directed to Patricia Outtrim, Cheniere Creole Trail Pipeline, L.P., 717 Texas Avenue, Suite 3100, Houston, Texas 77002, (713) 659-1361 or Lisa Tonery, King & Spalding LLP, 1185 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10036, (212) 556-2307.

There are two ways to become involved in the Commission's review of this project. First, any person wishing to obtain legal status by becoming a party to the proceedings for this project should, on or before the comment date listed below, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.10). A person obtaining party status will be placed on the service list maintained by the Secretary of the Commission and will receive copies of all documents filed by the applicant and by all other parties. A party must submit 14 copies of this filing and all subsequent filings made with the Commission and must mail a copy of all filing to the applicant and to every other party in the proceeding. Only parties to the proceeding can ask for court review of Commission orders in the proceeding.

However, other persons do not have to intervene in order to have comments considered. The second way to participate is by filing with the Secretary of the Commission, as soon as possible, an original and two copies of comments in support of or in opposition to this project. The Commission will consider these comments in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but the filing of a comment alone will not serve to make the filer a party to the proceeding. The Commission's

rules require that persons filing comments in opposition to this project provide copies of their protests only to the party or parties directly involved in the protest.

Persons who wish to comment only on the environmental review of this project, or in support of or in opposition to this project, should submit an original and two copies of their comments to the Secretary of the Commission. Environmental commenters will be placed on the Commission's environmental mailing list, will receive copies of the environmental documents, and will be notified of meetings associated with the Commission's environmental review process. Environmental commenters will not be required to serve copies of filed documents on all other parties. The Commission's rules require that persons filing comments in opposition to the project provide copies of their protests only to the applicant. However, the non-party commenters will not receive copies of all documents filed by other parties or issued by the Commission (except for the mailing of environmental documents issued by the Commission) and will not have the right to seek court review of the Commission's final order.

The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings of comments, protests, and interventions via the Internet in lieu of paper. See 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site (<http://www.ferc.gov>) under the "e-Filing" link.

*Comment Date:* December 4, 2006.

**Magalie R. Salas,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. E6-20258 Filed 11-29-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6717-01-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP05-357-004]

#### Cheniere Creole Trail Pipeline, L.P.; Notice of Amendment

November 22, 2006.

Take notice that on November 16, 2006, Cheniere Creole Trail Pipeline, L.P. (Creole Trail Pipeline), 717 Texas Avenue, Suite 3100, Houston, Texas 77002, filed in Docket No. CP05-357-004, an application to amend its pending amendment application filed on August 4, 2006, in Docket No. CP05-357-003. Creole Trail Pipeline explains that it was granted certificate authorization on June 15, 2006, in