argument; and (3) a table of authorities. Further, the Department requests that parties submitting written comments provide the Department with a diskette containing the public version of those comments. Unless the deadline is extended pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, the Department will issue the final results of this new shipper review, including the results of the Department's analysis of the issues raised by the parties in their comments, within 120 days of publication of these preliminary results. The assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by this review and future deposits of estimated duties shall be based on the final results of this review.

Assessment Rates

Upon issuing the final results of the review, the Department shall determine, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. The Department will issue appropriate appraisement instructions for the companies subject to this review directly to CBP within 15 days of publication of the final results of this review. Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), the Department will calculate importer–specific ad valorem duty assessment rates based on the ratio of the total amount of the dumping margins calculated for the examined sales to the total entered value of those same sales. The Department will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review if any importer-specific assessment rate calculated in the final results of this review is above de minimis.

Cash Deposit Requirements

Upon completion of this review, the Department will require cash deposits at the rate established in the final results as further described below.

Bonding will no longer be permitted to fulfill security requirements for shipments of certain frozen warmwater shrimp from the PRC produced and exported by Zhanjiang Regal that are entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this new shipper review. See 19 CFR § 351.214(e). The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this new shipper review for all shipments of subject merchandise from Zhanjiang Regal entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date: (1) For subject merchandise manufactured and exported by Zhanjiang Regal, the cash

deposit rate will be the rate established in the final results of this review, except that no cash deposit will be required if the cash deposit rate calculated in the final results is zero or *de minimis*; and (2) for subject merchandise exported by Zhanjiang Regal but not manufactured by itself, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the

PRC-wide rate (i.e., 112.81 percent); and (3) for subject merchandise produced by Zhanjiang Regal but not exported by itself, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the exporter. These requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This new shipper review and notice are in accordance with sections 751(a)(1), 751(a)(2)(B), and 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(h)(i).

Dated: June 27, 2006.

David M. Spooner,

Assistant Secretaryfor Import Administration. [FR Doc. E6–10565 Filed 7–5–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-351-825, A-533-810, A-588-833, A-469-805]

Stainless Steel Bar from Brazil, India, Japan, and Spain; Final Results of the Expedited Sunset Reviews of the Antidumping Duty Orders

AGENCY: Import Administration,

International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On March 1, 2006, the Department of Commerce initiated the second sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on stainless steel bar from Brazil, India, Japan, and Spain pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended. On the basis of a notice of intent to participate and adequate substantive responses filed on behalf of domestic interested parties and no responses received from

respondent interested parties, the Department conducted expedited (120-day) sunset reviews. See section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act. As a result of these sunset reviews, the Department finds that revocation of the antidumping duty orders would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the levels listed in the "Final Results of Reviews" section below.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 6, 2006.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Zev Primor or Kristin Case, AD/CVD Operations, Office 5, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4114 or (202) 482–3174.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On March 1, 2006, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated the second sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on stainless steel bar (SSB) from Brazil, India, Japan, and Spain pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). See Initiation of Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews, 71 FR 10476 (March 1, 2006). The Department received a notice of intent to participate from Carpenter Technology Corp., Crucible Specialty Metals Division of Crucible Materials Corp., Electrallov Corp., North American Stainless, Universal Stainless & Alloy Products, Inc., and Valbruna Slater Stainless, Inc. (collectively the domestic interested parties), within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i) pertaining to sunset reviews. The domestic interested parties claimed interested-party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act as manufacturers of a domestic like product in the United States. We received complete substantive responses from the domestic interested parties within the 30-day deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i). We received no responses from the respondent interested parties. As a result, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), the Department has conducted expedited (120-day) sunset reviews of these orders.

Scope of the Orders

Imports covered by these orders are shipments of SSB. SSB means articles of stainless steel in straight lengths that have been either hot-rolled, forged, turned, cold-drawn, cold-rolled or otherwise cold-finished, or ground, having a uniform solid cross section along their whole length in the shape of

circles, segments of circles, ovals, rectangles (including squares), triangles, hexagons, octagons, or other convex polygons. SSB includes cold—finished SSBs that are turned or ground in straight lengths, whether produced from hot—rolled bar or from straightened and cut rod or wire, and reinforcing bars that have indentations, ribs, grooves, or other deformations produced during the rolling process.

Except as specified above, the term does not include stainless steel semifinished products, cut length flat—rolled products (*i.e.*, cut length rolled products which if less than 4.75 mm in thickness have a width measuring at least 10 times the thickness, or if 4.75 mm or more in thickness having a width which exceeds 150 mm and measures at least twice the thickness), wire (*i.e.*, cold—formed products in coils, of any uniform solid cross section along their whole length, which do not conform to the definition

of flat-rolled products), and angles, shapes, and sections.

The SSB subject to these orders is currently classifiable under subheadings 7222.11.00.05, 7222.11.00.50, 7222.19.00.05, 7222.19.00.50, 7222.20.00.05, 7222.20.00.45, 7222.20.00.75, and 7222.30.00.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of these orders is dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in these reviews are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum from Stephen J. Claeys, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, to David M. Spooner, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated June 29, 2006, which is hereby adopted by this notice. The issues discussed in the Issues and

Decision Memorandum include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and the magnitude of the margins likely to prevail if the orders were to be revoked. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in these reviews and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum which is on file in room B–099 of the main Commerce building.

In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Web at http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn. The paper copy and electronic version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Final Results of Reviews

We determine that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on SSB from Brazil, India, Japan, and Spain would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the following weighted—average percentage margins:

Manufacturers/Exporters/Producers	Weighted-Average Margin (percent)
Brazil.	
Acos Villares, S.A.	19.43 percent ad valorem
All Others	19.43 percent ad valorem
India.	·
Grand Foundry, Ltd.	3.87 percent ad valorem
Mukand, Ltd.	21.02 percent ad valorem
All Others	12.45 percent ad valorem
Japan.	·
Aichi Steel Works, Ltd.	61.47 percent ad valorem
Daido Steel Co., Ltd.	61.47 percent ad valorem
Sanyo Special Steel Co., Ltd	61.47 percent ad valorem
All Others	61.47 percent ad valorem
Spain.	·
Acenor, S.A. (and all successor companies, including Digeco, S.A. and Clorimax, SRL)	62.85 percent ad valorem
Roldan, S.A.	7.72 percent ad valorem
All Others	25.77 percent ad valorem

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective orders is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing these results and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752, and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: June 27, 2006.

David M. Spooner,

Assistant Secretaryfor Import Administration. [FR Doc. E6–10479 Filed 7–5–06; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-890]

Wooden Bedroom Furniture from the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of 2004–2005 Semi–Annual New Shipper Reviews and Notice of Final Rescission of One New Shipper Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: In response to requests from Shenyang Kunyu Wood Industry Co., Ltd. ("Kunyu"), Dongguan Landmark Furniture Products Ltd. ("Landmark"), Meikangchi (Nantong) Furniture Company Ltd. ("Meikangchi"), and WBE Industries (Hui–Yang) Co., Ltd. ("WBE"), the U.S. Department of Commerce ("the Department") is

conducting new shipper reviews of the antidumping duty order on wooden bedroom furniture from the People's Republic of China ("PRC"). The period of review ("POR") is June 24, 2004, through June 30, 2005.

We have preliminarily determined that sales have been made below normal value ("NV") by Kunyu and Meikangchi. However, we have also preliminarily determined that sales have not been made below normal value by Landmark. If these preliminary results are adopted in our final results of these reviews, we will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") to assess antidumping duties on entries of subject merchandise during the POR for which the importer-specific assessment rates are above de minimis. Additionally, we have rescinded the new shipper review for WBE.

We invite interested parties to comment on these preliminary results.