

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

National Institutes of Health

National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research; Notice of Closed Meetings

Pursuant to section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, as amended (5 U.S.C. Appendix 2), notice is hereby given of the following meetings.

The meetings will be closed to the public in accordance with the provisions set forth in sections 552b(c)(4) and 552b(c)(6), Title 5 U.S.C., as amended. The grant applications and the discussions could disclose confidential trade secrets or commercial property such as patentable material, and personal information concerning individuals associated with the grant applications, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

Name of Committee: National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research Special Emphasis Panel 06–56, Review K23.

Date: February 7, 2006.

Time: 12 p.m. to 1 p.m.

Agenda: To review and evaluate grant applications.

Place: National Institutes of Health, Natcher Building, 45 Center Drive, Bethesda, MD 20892, (Telephone Conference Call).

Contact Person: Soheyla Saadi, PhD, Scientific Review Administrator, Scientific Review Branch, 45 Center Dr., Rm 4AN32A, National Institute of Dental & Craniofacial Research, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD 20892, (301) 594–4805, saadisoh@nidcr.nih.gov.

This notice is being published less than 15 days prior to the meeting due to the timing limitations imposed by the review and funding cycle.

Name of Committee: National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research Special Emphasis Panel 06–57, Review R03.

Date: February 21, 2006.

Time: 11:30 a.m. to 12:30 p.m.

Agenda: To review and evaluate grant applications.

Place: National Institutes of Health, Natcher Building, 45 Center Drive, Bethesda, MD 20892, (Telephone Conference Call).

Contact Person: Soheyla Saadi, PhD, Scientific Review Administrator, Scientific Review Branch, 45 Center Dr., Rm 4AN32A, National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD 20892, (301) 594–4805, saadisoh@nidcr.nih.gov.

Name of Committee: National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research Special Emphasis Panel 06–49, Review RFA DE–06–003.

Date: March 2–3, 2006.

Time: 8 a.m. to 6 p.m.

Agenda: To review and evaluate grant applications.

Place: Bethesda Marriott, 5151 Pooks Hill Road, Bethesda, MD 20814.

Contact Person: H. George Hausch, PhD, Acting Director, 45 Center Dr., Natcher Building, Rm 4AN44F, National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD 20892, (301) 594–2904, george_hausch@nih.gov.

Name of Committee: National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research Special Emphasis Panel 06–36, Review Clinical U01s (Group 2).

Date: March 20, 2006.

Time: 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Agenda: To review and evaluate grant applications.

Place: Hyatt Regency Bethesda, One Bethesda Metro Center, 7400 Wisconsin Avenue, Bethesda, MD 20814.

Contact Person: Lynn M. King, PhD, Scientific Review Administrator, Scientific Review Branch, 45 Center Dr., Rm 4AN–32F, National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD 20892–6402, (301) 594–5006, lynn.king@nih.gov.

(Catalogue of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Nos. 93.121, Oral Diseases and Disorders Research, National Institutes of Health, HHS)

Dated: January 23, 2006.

Anna Snouffer,

Acting Director, Office of Federal Advisory Committee Policy.

[FR Doc. 06–851 Filed 1–27–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4140–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration

Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

Periodically, the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) will publish a summary of information collection requests under OMB review, in compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). To request a copy of these documents, call the SAMHSA Reports Clearance Officer on (240) 276–1243.

Project: Targeted Capacity Expansion Grants for Jail Diversion Program Evaluation—In Use Without Approval

The Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration's (SAMHSA), Center for Mental Health Services (CMHS) has implemented the Targeted Capacity Expansion Grants for Jail Diversion Programs. CMHS has developed a set of client outcome measures that will be collected over the length of the program.

Each jail diversion program participant has been approached to

request their consent for participation. The main components of the baseline, 6- and 12-month interviews are Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA) measures. In addition to GPRA measures, the interviews include the following measures:

- DC Trauma Collaboration Study Violence and Trauma Screening to gauge traumatic events in the past year and lifetime (Baseline only)
- Colorado Symptom Index 1991 to gauge symptoms of mental illness (All interviews)
- Perceived Coercion Scale (from MacArthur Mandated Community Treatment Survey) to enter jail diversion programs (Baseline only)
- Mental Health Statistics Improvement Program quality of life measures (6 and 12 months only)
- Service use (6 and 12 months only)

In addition to data collected through interviews, grantees will collect the following information and will report it to the Technical Assistance and Policy Analysis (TAPA) Center:

- Events Tracking: This program captures the volume of activities (“events”) that jail diversion programs engage in to determine whom the program will serve.
- Person Tracking: This program is designed to record basic information on all individuals who are diverted and served with grant funds. It also helps grantees keep track of interview dates for those program participants who agree to take part in the evaluation.

• Service Use: Grantees collect self-reported data on services provided or information from official sources, such as statewide/agency management information systems or other agency records about the types of services received following diversion. This data must be provided to the TAPA Center.

• Arrest and Jail Days Data: Grantees report arrest and jail days data collected from official sources, such as a statewide criminal justice database, or that have been tracked for themselves for one year prior and one year following diversion.

As mentioned above, grantees collect this data from official sources or self-report data from their programs and submit it to the TAPA Center. This data is reported to the technical assistance provider through an electronic database system or through paper copies. Resulting compiled data is used to provide information of interest to policy makers, researchers, and communities engaged in developing jail diversion programs.

Project: (Title)—Revision

2006 ANNUAL REPORTING BURDEN

Data collection activity	Number of respondents	Responses per respondent	Total responses	Average hours per response	Total hour burden
Client Interviews:					
Baseline (at enrollment) ¹	600	1	600	.75	² 288
6 months	480	1	480	.75	² 263
12 months	380	1	380	.75	² 205
Subtotal	1,460	1,460	756
Record Management by Grantee Staff:					
Events Tracking	16	³ 2,400	38,400	.03	1,152
Person Tracking	16	50	800	.10	⁴ 52
Service Use ⁵	16	25	400	.17	68
Arrest History ⁵	16	25	400	.17	68
Subtotal	64	40,000	1,340
FY2003, FY2004 and FY2005 Grantees:					
Interview and Tracking data submission	16	12	192	.17	33
Overall Total:	1,540	41,652	2,129

¹ Only those program enrollees agreeing to participate in the evaluation receive a Baseline interview.

² This estimate is an added burden proportion which is an adjustment reflecting the extent to which programs typically already collect the data items. The formula for calculating the proportion of added burden is: total number of items in the standard instrument, minus the number of core items currently included, divided by the total number of items in the standard instrument. For the TCE Initiative's interviews the estimates were calculated as follows: For the Baseline interview the burden estimate = 450 times 0.64 (the proportion of added burden) = 288. For the 6-Month interview the burden estimate = 360 times 0.73 (the proportion of added burden) = 263. For the 12-Month interview the burden estimate = 285 times 0.72 (the proportion of added burden) = 205.

³ The number of responses per respondent for the Events Tracking depends on the design of the jail diversion program and can range from a single screening for eligibility to four separate screenings; here 2 responses represents the average number of responses per respondent based on the experience of the previous grantees.

⁴ For the Person Tracking program the burden estimate was calculated as follows: 80 times 0.65 (the proportion of added burden) = 52 (see Footnote 2 above for more information about the burden proportion).

⁵ Record management forms (Service Use and Arrest) are only completed for those evaluation participants who receive both a Baseline interview and at least one follow-up (6- and/or 12-month) interview.

2007 ANNUAL REPORTING BURDEN

Data collection activity	Number of respondents	Responses per respondent	Total responses	Average hours per response	Total hour burden
Client Interviews:					
Baseline (at enrollment) ¹	330	1	330	.75	² 159
6 months	270	1	270	.75	² 148
12 months	210	1	210	.75	² 114
Subtotal	810	810	421
Record Management by Grantee Staff:					
Events Tracking	9	³ 2,400	21,600	.03	648
Person Tracking	9	50	450	.10	⁴ 29
Service Use ⁵	9	25	225	.17	38
Arrest History ⁵	9	25	225	.17	38
Subtotal	36	22,500	753
FY2004 and FY2005 Grantees:					
Interview and Tracking data submission	9	12	108	.17	18
Overall Total:	855	23,418	1,192

¹ Only those program enrollees agreeing to participate in the evaluation receive a Baseline interview.

² This estimate is an added burden proportion which is an adjustment reflecting the extent to which programs typically already collect the data items. The formula for calculating the proportion of added burden is: total number of items in the standard instrument, minus the number of core items currently included, divided by the total number of items in the standard instrument. For the TCE Initiative's interviews the estimates were calculated as follows: For the Baseline interview the burden estimate = 248 times 0.64 (the proportion of added burden) = 159. For the 6-Month interview the burden estimate = 203 times 0.73 (the proportion of added burden) = 148. For the 12-Month interview the burden estimate = 158 times 0.72 (the proportion of added burden) = 114.

³ The number of responses per respondent for the Events Tracking depends on the design of the jail diversion program and can range from a single screening for eligibility to four separate screenings; here 2 responses represents the average number of responses per respondent based on the experience of the previous grantees.

⁴ For the Person Tracking program the burden estimate was calculated as follows: 45 times 0.65 (the proportion of added burden) = 29 (see Footnote 2 above for more information about the burden proportion).

⁵ Record management forms (Service Use and Arrest) are only completed for those evaluation participants who receive both a Baseline interview and at least one follow-up (6- and/or 12-month) interview.

2008 ANNUAL REPORTING BURDEN

Data collection activity	Number of respondents	Responses per respondent	Total responses	Average hours per response	Total hour burden
Client Interviews:					
Baseline (at enrollment) ¹	220	1	220	.75	² 106
6 months	180	1	180	.75	² 99
12 months	140	1	140	.75	² 76
Subtotal	540	540	281
Record Management by Grantee Staff:					
Events Tracking	6	³ 2,400	14,400	.03	432
Person Tracking	6	50	300	.10	⁴ 20
Service Use ⁵	6	25	150	.17	26
Arrest History ⁵	6	25	150	.17	26
Subtotal	24	15,000	504
FY2005 Grantees:					
Interview and Tracking data submission	6	12	72	.17	12
Overall Total:	570	15,612	797

¹ Only those program enrollees agreeing to participate in the evaluation receive a Baseline interview.

² This estimate is an added burden proportion which is an adjustment reflecting the extent to which programs typically already collect the data items. The formula for calculating the proportion of added burden is: total number of items in the standard instrument, minus the number of core items currently included, divided by the total number of items in the standard instrument. For the TCE Initiative's interviews the estimates were calculated as follows: For the Baseline interview the burden estimate = 165 times 0.64 (the proportion of added burden) = 106. For the 6-Month interview the burden estimate = 135 times 0.73 (the proportion of added burden) = 99. For the 12-Month interview the burden estimate = 105 times 0.72 (the proportion of added burden) = 76.

³ The number of responses per respondent for the Events Tracking depends on the design of the jail diversion program and can range from a single screening for eligibility to four separate screenings; here 2 responses represents the average number of responses per respondent based on the experience of the previous grantees.

⁴ For the Person Tracking program the burden estimate was calculated as follows: 30 times 0.65 (the proportion of added burden) = 20 (see Footnote 2 above for more information about the burden proportion).

⁵ Record management forms (Service Use and Arrest) are only completed for those evaluation participants who receive both a Baseline interview and at least one follow-up (6- and/or 12-month) interview.

The averages for the three years of evaluations are 988 responses, 26,894 total responses, and 1,373 hours of burden.

Written comments and recommendations concerning the proposed information collection should be sent by March 1, 2006 to: SAMHSA Desk Officer, Human Resources and Housing Branch, Office of Management and Budget, New Executive Office Building, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503; due to potential delays in OMB's receipt and processing of mail sent through the U.S. Postal Service, respondents are encouraged to submit comments by fax to: 202-395-6974.

Dated: January 24, 2006.

Anna Marsh,

Director, Office of Program Services.

[FR Doc. E6-1093 Filed 1-27-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4162-20-P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Federal Emergency Management Agency

Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

AGENCY: Federal Emergency Management Agency, Department of Homeland Security.

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) has submitted the following information collection to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and clearance in accordance with the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The submission describes the nature of the information collection, the categories of respondents, the estimated burden (*i.e.*, the time, effort and resources used by respondents to respond) and cost, and includes the actual data collection instruments FEMA will use.

Title: National Fire Department Census.

OMB Number: 1660-0070.

Abstract: Many data products and reports exist that contain fragmented or estimated information about fire department demographics, and capabilities, but there is no single reference source today that aggregates this data to provide a complete and accurate profile of fire departments in the United States. The U.S. Fire Administration (USFA) ¹ receives many requests for information related to fire departments, including total number of departments, number of stations per department, population protected, apparatus and equipment status. The USFA is working to identify all fire departments in the United States to develop and populate a national database that will include information related to demographics, capabilities and activities. The database will be used by USFA to guide programmatic decisions, provide the Fire Service and the public with information about fire departments, to produce mailing lists for USFA publications and other

¹ The U.S. Fire Administration is currently being transferred to the newly created Preparedness Directorate of the Department of Homeland Security. During this transition FEMA, also part of the Department of Homeland Security, will continue to support this program as the new Directorate stands up. Ultimately this data collection will be transferred to the Preparedness Directorate.