| Category | NAICS code | Examples of potentially regulated entities |
|---|------------|--|
| Any church or convent using an OSWI unit as defined in the regulations. | 8131 | Churches and convents. |
| Any civic or religious organization using an OSWI unit as defined in the regulations. | 8134 | Civic associations and fraternal associations. |

This table is not intended to be exhaustive, but rather provides a guide for readers regarding entities likely to be regulated by the proposed rule. To determine whether your facility is regulated by the proposed rule, you should examine the applicability criteria in 40 CFR 60.2885 through 60.2888 of subpart EEEE, and in the emission guidelines for existing sources located at 40 CFR 60.2991 through 60.2994 of subpart FFFF. If you have any questions regarding the applicability of the proposed rule to a particular entity, contact the person listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION **CONTACT** section.

What Are the Administrative Requirements for This Action?

For a complete discussion of all the administrative requirements applicable to this action, see the direct final rule in the Rules and Regulations section of this **Federal Register**.

The Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) generally requires an agency to prepare a regulatory flexibility analysis of any rule subject to notice and comment rulemaking requirements under the Administrative Procedures Act or any other stature unless the agency certifies that the rule will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. Small entities include small businesses, small government organizations, and small government jurisdictions.

For purposes of assessing the impacts of today's proposed rule on small entities, small entity is defined as follows:

(1) A small business in the regulated industry that has a gross annual revenue less than \$6 million (this varies by industry category, ranging up to \$10.5 million for North American Industrial Classification System (NAICS) code 562213 (VSMWC)), based on Small Business Administration's size standards;

(2) A small governmental jurisdiction that is a government of a city, county, town, school district or special district with a population of less than 50,000; or

(3) A small organization that is any not-for-profit enterprise that is independently owned and operated and is not dominant in its field. After considering the economic impact of today's proposed rule on small entities, I certify that this action will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. This action does not propose any changes to the final OSWI rule, in which we determined that the final rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

For additional information, see the direct final rule published in the Rules and Regulations section of this **Federal Register**.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 60

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Air pollution control, Intergovernmental relations, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: November 17, 2006.

Stephen L. Johnson,

Administrator.

[FR Doc. E6–19862 Filed 11–22–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 62

[EPA-R06-OAR-2006-0570; FRL-8247-1]

Approval and Promulgation of State Plans for Designated Facilities and Pollutants: Bernalillo County, NM

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). **ACTION:** Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing approval of the section 111(d) Plan submitted by City of Albuquerque (Bernalillo County), New Mexico, on May 24, 2006, to implement and enforce the Emission Guidelines (EG) for existing Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) Landfills. The EG require delegated municipalities to develop plans to reduce landfill gas emissions from all MSWs. Finally, this action also proposes to approve the concomitant delegation of authority to implement 40 CFR part 60, subparts WWW and Cc.

DATES: Written comments must be received on or before December 26, 2006.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be mailed to Mr. Thomas Diggs, Chief, Air Planning Section (6PD–L), Environmental Protection Agency, 1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 1200, Dallas, Texas 75202–2733. Comments may also be submitted electronically or through hand delivery/ courier by following the detailed instructions in the ADDRESSES section of the direct final rule located in the rules section of this Federal Register.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Kenneth W. Boyce, Air Planning Section

(6PD–L), Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, 1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 700, Dallas, Texas 75202–2733 at (214) 665–7259, or bovce.kenneth@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In the final rules section of this Federal **Register**, EPA is approving the State's SIP submittal as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial submittal and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this action rule, no further activity is contemplated. If EPA receives relevant adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time. Please note that if EPA receives relevant adverse comment on an amendment, paragraph, or section of this rule and if that provision may be severed from the remainder of the rule, EPA may adopt as final those provisions of the rule that are not the subject of an adverse comment.

For additional information, see the direct final rule which is located in the rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: November 9, 2006.

Lawrence E. Starfield,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 6. [FR Doc. E6–19860 Filed 11–22–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P