

Dated: May 10, 2006.

Tracey L. Thompson,

Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc. E6-7341 Filed 5-12-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-S

CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

Request for Comments Concerning Proposed Request for Approval of a Collection of Information—Safety Standard for Automatic Residential Garage Door Operators

AGENCY: Consumer Product Safety Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: As required by the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), the Consumer Product Safety Commission requests comments on a proposed request for extension of approval of a collection of information from manufacturers and importers of residential garage door operators. The collection of information consists of testing and recordkeeping requirements in certification regulations implementing the Safety Standard for Automatic Residential Garage Door Operators (16 CFR part 1211). The Commission will consider all comments received in response to this notice before requesting approval of this extension of a collection of information from the Office of Management and Budget.

DATES: The Office of the Secretary must receive written comments not later than July 14, 2006.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be captioned "Residential Garage Door Operators" and e-mailed to the Office of the Secretary at cpsc-os@cpsc.gov. Comments may also be sent by facsimile to (301) 504-0127, or by mail to the Office of the Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission, 4330 East West Highway, Bethesda, Maryland 20814.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For information about the proposed extension of approval of the collection of information, or to obtain a copy of 16 CFR part 1211, call or write Linda L. Glatz, Office of Planning and Evaluation, Consumer Product Safety Commission, 4330 East West Highway, Bethesda, Maryland 20814; telephone (301) 504-7671.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In 1990, Congress enacted legislation requiring residential garage door operators to comply with the provisions of a

standard published by Underwriters Laboratories to protect against entrapment. (The Consumer Product Safety Improvement Act of 1990, Pub. L. 101-608, 104 Stat. 3110.) The entrapment protection requirements of UL Standard 325 are codified into the Safety Standard for Automatic Residential Garage Door Operators, 16 CFR part 1211. Automatic residential garage door operators must comply with the latest edition of the Commission's regulations at 16 CFR part 1211.

The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approved the collection of information concerning the Safety Standard for Automatic Residential Garage Door Operators under control number 3041-0125. OMB's most recent approval will expire on July 31, 2006. The Commission now proposes to request an extension of approval without changes of this collection of information.

A. Certification Requirements

The Improvement Act provides that UL Standard 325 shall be considered to be a consumer product safety standard issued by the Consumer Product Safety Commission under section 9 of the Consumer Product Safety Act (CPSA) (15 U.S.C. 2058). Section 14(a) of the CPSA (15 U.S.C. 2063(a)) requires manufacturers, importers, and private labelers of a consumer product subject to a consumer product safety standard to issue a certificate stating that the product complies with all applicable consumer product safety standards. Section 14(a) of the CPSA also requires that the certificate of compliance must be based on a test of each product or upon a reasonable testing program.

Section 14(b) of the CPSA (15 U.S.C. 2063(b)) authorizes the Commission to issue regulations to prescribe a reasonable testing program to support certificates of compliance with a consumer product safety standard. Section 14(b) of the CPSA allows firms that are required to issue certificates of compliance to use an independent third-party organization to conduct the testing required to support the certificate of compliance.

Section 16(b) of the CPSA (15 U.S.C. 2065(b)) authorizes the Commission to issue rules to require establishment and maintenance of records necessary to implement the CPSA or determine compliance with rules issued under the authority of the CPSA. On December 22, 1992, the Commission issued rules prescribing requirements for a reasonable testing program to support certificates of compliance with the Safety Standard for Automatic Residential Garage Door Operators (57

FR 60449). These regulations also require manufacturers, importers, and private labelers of residential garage door operators to establish and maintain records to demonstrate compliance with the requirements for testing to support certification of compliance. 16 CFR part 1211, Subparts B and C.

The Commission uses the information compiled and maintained by manufacturers and importers of residential garage door operators to protect consumers from risks of death and injury resulting from entrapment accidents associated with garage door operators. More specifically, the Commission uses this information to determine whether the products produced and imported by those firms comply with the standard. The Commission also uses this information to facilitate corrective action if any residential garage door operators fail to comply with the standard in a manner that creates a substantial risk of injury to the public.

B. Estimated Burden

The Commission staff estimates that about 22 firms are subject to the testing and recordkeeping requirements of the certification regulations. The staff estimates that each respondent will spend 40 hours annually on the collection of information for a total of about 880 hours. Using an hourly rate of \$42.82, based on Total compensation, private goods-producing sector, managerial, executive, and administrative category, Bureau of Labor Statistics, September 2005, the total industry cost would be \$37,700.

C. Request for Comments

The Commission solicits written comments from all interested persons about the proposed collection of information. The Commission specifically solicits information relevant to the following topics:

- Whether the collection of information described above is necessary for the proper performance of the Commission's functions, including whether the information would have practical utility;
- Whether the estimated burden of the proposed collection of information is accurate;
- Whether the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected could be enhanced; and
- Whether the burden imposed by the collection of information could be minimized by use of automated, electronic or other technological collection techniques, or other forms of information technology.

Dated: May 8, 2006.

Todd A. Stevenson,

Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission.

[FR Doc. E6-7292 Filed 5-12-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6355-01-P

COORDINATING COUNCIL ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND DELINQUENCY PREVENTION

[OJP (OJJDP) Docket No. 1454]

Meeting of the Coordinating Council on Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention

AGENCY: Coordinating Council on Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: The Coordinating Council on Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (Council) is announcing the June 2, 2006, meeting of the Council.

DATES: Friday, June 2, 2006, 9:15 a.m.–12:30 p.m.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will take place at the Department of Health and Human Services, 200 Independence Ave, SW., Room 800, Washington, DC 20201.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Robin Delany-Shabazz, Designated Federal Official, by telephone at 202-307-9963 [Note: this is not a toll-free telephone number.], or by e-mail at Robin.Delany-Shabazz@usdoj.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Coordinating Council on Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, established pursuant to Section 3(2)A of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (5 U.S.C. App. 2) will meet to carry out its advisory functions under Section 206 of the Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act of 2002, 42 U.S.C. 5601, *et seq.*

Documents such as meeting announcements, agendas, minutes, and interim and final reports will be available on the Council's Web page at <http://www.JuvenileCouncil.gov>. (You may also verify the status of the meeting at that Web address.)

Although designated agency representatives may attend, the Council membership is composed of the Attorney General (Chair), the Secretary of Health and Human Services, the Secretary of Labor, the Secretary of Education, the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development, the Administrator of the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (Vice Chair), the Director of the Office of National Drug Control Policy, the Chief Executive Officer of the Corporation for National

and Community Service, and the Assistant Secretary for Homeland Security, Immigrations and Customs Enforcement. Nine additional members are appointed by the Speaker of the House of Representatives, the Senate Majority Leader, and the President of the United States.

Meeting Agenda

The agenda for this meeting will include: (a) A review of the past meeting and written public comments; (b) remarks from Michael Leavitt (invited), Secretary, Health and Human Services, and Susan Orr, Associate Commissioner, Children's Bureau and other Children's Bureau staff concerning child and family service reviews and the implications of the reviews for member agencies; (c) an update on mentoring activities; (d) discussions of various opportunities to coordinate federal work addressing juveniles and youth who are disadvantaged or at-risk; and (e) other business and announcements.

For security purposes, members of the public who wish to attend the meeting must pre-register by calling the Juvenile Justice Resource Center at 301-519-6473 (Daryel Dunston), no later than Friday, May 26, 2006. [Note: these are not toll-free telephone numbers.] Additional identification documents may be required. To register online, please go to <http://www.JuvenileCouncil.gov/meetings.html>. Space is limited.

Note: Photo identification will be required for admission to the meeting.

Written Comments

Interested parties may submit written comments by Friday, May 26, 2006, to Robin Delany-Shabazz, Designated Federal Official for the Coordinating Council on Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, at Robin.Delany-Shabazz@usdoj.gov. The Coordinating Council on Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention expects that the public statements presented will not repeat previously submitted statements. Written questions and comments from the public may be invited at this meeting.

Dated: May 10, 2006.

Michael Costigan,

Acting Administrator, Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention.

[FR Doc. E6-7355 Filed 5-12-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-18-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Army; Corps of Engineers

Intent to Prepare a Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Neuse River Basin Feasibility Study, NC

AGENCY: Department of the Army, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, DoD.

ACTION: Notice of intent.

SUMMARY: The Neuse River Basin is the third largest basin in North Carolina, encompassing a total area of about 6,235 square miles. The Neuse River originates in north central North Carolina and flows southeasterly until it reaches tidal waters of Pamlico Sound. Water quality in the Neuse River Basin has become degraded from multiple causes, including: Rapidly expanding urban growth with increasingly rapid runoff from storm events; deforestation; expanding high-density livestock operations; and aging wastewater infrastructure. Fish and wildlife populations have suffered declines in diversity and vigor; and waterborne fish diseases have now become apparent, especially *Pfiesteria*. The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Wilmington District, in cooperation with the State of North Carolina Division of Water Resources has initiated the Neuse River Basin Feasibility Study in North Carolina. The purpose of the feasibility study is to develop and evaluate basin wide alternatives to improve water quality, restore anadromous fish passage, wetlands, stream, riparian buffer, and oyster habitat. We will also investigate flood damage reduction. The focus of this study is to identify resource problems, needs, and opportunities and develop solutions. The feasibility study is being carried out under the Corps of Engineers General Investigation Program and is being conducted in response to a congressional resolution adopted July 23, 1997.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Questions about the proposed action and DEIS can be answered by: Mr. Hugh Heine; Environmental Resources Section; U.S. Army Engineer District, Wilmington; P.O. Box 1890; Wilmington, NC 28402-1890; telephone: (910) 251-4070.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This study will investigate the following alternatives: No action alternative, restoration of wetland and stream habitats as well as riparian buffers which serve as natural filtering systems, oyster habitat restoration, removal or modification of low head dams and culverts to restore anadromous fish