demand, and the prospective purchaser upon such demand, shall display satisfactory evidence that he or she is of legal age. Any person under legal age who presents to any vendor falsified evidence as to his age shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction shall be subject to the penalties specified in Section 8–4–1 above.

Chapter 5. Revision

Section 8–5–1. Severability

If any section of any chapter of this Title or the application thereof to any party or class, or to any circumstances, shall be held to be invalid for any cause whatsoever, the remainder of the chapter or Title shall not be affected thereby and shall remain in full force and effect as though no part thereof had been declared to be invalid.

Section 8–5–2. All Prior Ordinances and Resolutions Repealed

All prior Ordinances and Resolutions thereof that are repugnant or inconsistent to any provision of this Title are hereby repealed.

Section 8–5–3. Amendment or Repeal of Title

This Title may be amended or repealed only by majority vote of the Tribal Council in regular session.

History: Omaha Tribal Council Resolution No. 85–89 (11–7–85) forbids the sale of alcohol within the exterior boundaries of the Omaha Tribal Reservation, but it is now repealed.

[FR Doc. E6–2764 Filed 2–27–06; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management [UT-910-06-1120-PH-24-1A]

Notice of Utah Resource Advisory Council Meeting

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Department of Interior.

ACTION: Notice of Utah Resource Advisory Council (RAC) Meeting.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Federal Land Policy and Management Act (FLPMA) and the Federal Advisory Committee Act of 1972 (FACA), the U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management's (BLM) Utah Resource Advisory Council (RAC) will meet as indicated below.

DATES: The Utah Resource Advisory Council (RAC) will meet March 23, 2006 from 9 a.m. until 5 p.m., and from 8:30 a.m. until 2 p.m., on March 24, 2006 in Wayne County, Utah. ADDRESSES: On March 23, the Utah BLM Resource Advisory Council meet at the parking area on Factory Bench Road near the Highway 24 turn-off for a field tour of the Factory Butte area. On March 24, the RAC will be meeting at the Civic Center, 90 West Center, in Loa, Utah.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Sherry Foot, Special Programs Coordinator, Utah State Office, Bureau of Land Management, P.O. Box 45155, Salt Lake City, Utah, 84145–0155; phone (801) 539–4195.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On March 23, 2006, the RAC will be taking a field tour with its Factory Butte RAC subcommittee to look at OHV-related issues in the Factory Butte area, including possible open-use areas under the Resource Management Plan now being updated for the Richfield Field Office. The RAC is planning stops on the field trip at Swing Arm City, Neilson Wash, the Pinnacles, Skyline Rim Overlook, Salt Wash, Lower Blue Hills, Notom Road, and possibly other points of interest (weather permitting). On March 24, the RAC will be meeting in Loa to hear status information and reporting from its Factory Butte RAC subcommittee. The Factory Butte RAC subcommittee has been meeting periodically since July 2005 examining OHV-related issues in the Factory Butte area, including possible recommendations to the RAC on future designation of open-use areas near Factory Butte. A public comment period, where members of the public may address the RAC, is scheduled from 12:30 p.m. until 2 p.m. Written comments may be sent to the Bureau of Land Management address listed above. All meetings are open to the public; however, transportation, lodging, and meals are the responsibility of the participating public.

Dated: February 14, 2006.

Gene R. Terland,

Acting, State Director.
[FR Doc. E6–2753 Filed 2–27–06; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4310-DK-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

Oil and Gas Management Plan, Final Environmental Impact Statement, Big Thicket National Preserve, TX

AGENCY: National Park Service, Department of the Interior.

ACTION: Notice of Availability of a Record of Decision on the Final Environmental Impact Statement for the Oil and Gas Management Plan, Big Thicket National Preserve.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, 83 Stat. 852, 853, codified as amended at 42 U.S.C 4332(2)(C), the National Park Service announces the availability of the Record of Decision on the Final Environmental Impact Statement for the Oil and Gas Management Plan, Big Thicket National Preserve, Texas. On February 3, 2006, the Director, Intermountain Region approved the Record of Decision for the project. As soon as practicable, the National Park Service will begin to implement the Preferred Alternative contained in the FEIS issued on December 16, 2006. The following course of action will occur under the preferred alternative: An oil and gas management plan will be implemented that clearly articulates the Current Legal and Policy Requirements applicable to the exploration, production, and transportation of nonfederal oil and gas resources in the Preserve; performance standards, mitigation measures, and operating stipulations will be developed and applied Preserve-wide to protect resources and values; and Special Management Areas will be formally designated and will include timing and surface use stipulations for different types of nonfederal oil and gas operations. This course of action and 2 alternatives were analyzed in the Draft and Final Environmental Impact Statements. The full range of foreseeable environmental consequences was assessed, and appropriate mitigating measures were identified.

The Record of Decision includes a description of the background of the project, statement of the decision made, mitigation measures/monitoring, synopses of other alternatives considered, the basis for the decision, a finding on impairment of park resources and values, a description of the environmentally preferred alternative, and an overview of public and agency involvement in the decision-making process.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Linda Dansby, P.O. Box 728, Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504–0728; 505–988– 6095.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Copies of the Record of Decision may be obtained from the contact listed above or online at *htp://parkplanning.nps.gov.*

Dated: February 3, 2006.

Michael D. Snyder,

Regional Director, Intermountain Region,

National Park Service.

[FR Doc. 06–1899 Filed 2–27–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4312-CB-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [(C-428-829); (C-421-809); (C-412-821)]

Low Enriched Uranium From Germany, the Netherlands, and the United Kingdom: Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Intent To Revoke the Countervailing Duty Orders

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting administrative reviews of the countervailing duty (CVD) orders on low enriched uranium (LEU) from Germany, the Netherlands, and the United Kingdom (UK) for the period January 1, 2004, through December 31, 2004. For information on the net subsidy for the reviewed companies, please see the "Preliminary Results of Reviews" section of this notice. In addition, we preliminarily determine that the Governments of Germany, the Netherlands, and the UK have met the requirements for revocation of these CVD orders. For further information, please refer to the "Revocation of the Orders' section of this notice. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results. See the "Public Comment" section of this notice.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 28, 2006. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Darla Brown, AD/CVD Operations, Office 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Room 4012, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington DC 20230; telephone: 202–482–2786.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On February 13, 2002, the Department published in the Federal Register the CVD orders on LEU from Germany, the Netherlands, and the UK. See Notice of Amended Final Determinations and Notice of Countervailing Duty Orders: Low Enriched Uranium from Germany, the Netherlands and the United Kingdom, 67 FR 6688 (February 13, 2002) (Amended Final). On February 1, 2005, the Department published a notice

of opportunity to request an administrative review of these CVD orders. See Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity To Request Administrative Review, 70 FR 5136 (February 1, 2005). On February 23, 2005, we received timely requests for review from Urenco Deutschland GmbH of Germany (UD), Urenco Nederland B.V. of the Netherlands (UNL), Urenco (Capenhurst) Limited (UCL) of the UK, Urenco Ltd., Urenco Inc., and Urenco Enrichment Company Ltd. (UEC) (collectively, the Urenco Group or Urenco), the producers and exporters of the subject merchandise. We note that this request covered all subject merchandise produced by Úrenco in Germany, the Netherlands, and the UK. On February 25, 2005, we received a timely request for review from petitioners.¹ On February 25, 2005, we received timely requests for revocation of the CVD orders from the Governments of Germany, the Netherlands, and the UK.

On March 23, 2005, the Department initiated administrative reviews of the CVD orders on LEU from Germany, the Netherlands, and the UK. See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part, 70 FR 14643 (March 23, 2005).

On April 13, 2005, the Department issued a questionnaire to the Government of the United Kingdom (UKG) and UCL, Urenco's producer of subject merchandise in the UK. On May 2, 2005, the Department issued a separate questionnaire to the Government of the Netherlands (GON) and UNL, Urenco's producer of subject merchandise in the Netherlands. On June 13, 2005, the Department issued a questionnaire to the Government of Germany (GOG) and UD, Urenco's producer of subject merchandise in Germany.

We received questionnaire responses from the UKG and UCL on May 20, 2005, from the GON and UNL on June 8, 2005, from the GOG on July 18, 2005, and from UD on July 20, 2005.

On October 17, 2005, we extended the due date for these preliminary results from October 31, 2005, to February 28, 2006. See Low Enriched Uranium from France, Germany, the Netherlands, and the United Kingdom: Extension of Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews, 70 FR 60284 (October 17, 2005) (Extension Notice).

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b), these reviews cover only those producers or exporters for which a review was specifically requested. The companies subject to these reviews are UD, UNL, UCL, Urenco Ltd., and Urenco Inc. These reviews cover four programs.

Scope of the Order

The product covered by these orders is all LEU. LEU is enriched uranium hexafluoride (UF₆) with a U^{235} product assay of less than 20 percent that has not been converted into another chemical form, such as UO_2 , or fabricated into nuclear fuel assemblies, regardless of the means by which the LEU is produced (including LEU produced through the down-blending of highly enriched uranium).

Certain merchandise is outside the scope of these orders. Specifically, these orders do not cover enriched uranium hexafluoride with a U^{235} assay of 20 percent or greater, also known as highly enriched uranium. In addition, fabricated LEU is not covered by the scope of these orders. For purposes of these orders, fabricated uranium is defined as enriched uranium dioxide (UO_2) , whether or not contained in nuclear fuel rods or assemblies. Natural uranium concentrates (U₃O₈) with a U²³⁵ concentration of no greater than 0.711 percent and natural uranium concentrates converted into uranium hexafluoride with a U235 concentration of no greater than 0.711 percent are not covered by the scope of these orders.

Also excluded from these orders is LEU owned by a foreign utility end-user and imported into the United States by or for such end-user solely for purposes of conversion by a U.S. fabricator into uranium dioxide (UO2) and/or fabrication into fuel assemblies so long as the uranium dioxide and/or fuel assemblies deemed to incorporate such imported LEU (i) remain in the possession and control of the U.S. fabricator, the foreign end-user, or their designed transporter(s) while in U.S. customs territory, and (ii) are reexported within eighteen (18) months of entry of the LEU for consumption by the end-user in a nuclear reactor outside the United States. Such entries must be accompanied by the certifications of the importer and end-user.

The merchandise subject to these orders is currently classifiable in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) at subheading 2844.20.0020. Subject merchandise may also enter under 2844.20.0030, 2844.20.0050, and 2844.40.00. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes,

 $^{^{\}rm 1}$ Petitioners are the United States Enrichment Corporation (USEC) and USEC Inc.