specified circumstances. Specifically, IAB has recently completed the development of standards for Broadband Video Commercial Measurement Guidelines and Lead Generation Best Practices, and is currently developing standards for Rich Media Measurement Guidelines.

On September 17, 2004, IAB filed its original notification pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on October 21, 2004 (69 FR 61868).

The last notification was filed with the Department on December 29, 2005. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on January 30, 2006 (71 FR 4935).

Dorothy B. Fountain,

Deputy Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 06–5737 Filed 6–27–06; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Southwest Research Institute: Cooperative Research Group on High Efficiency Durable Gasoline Engine

Notice is hereby given that, on May 16, 2006, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 et seq. ("the Act"), Southwest Research Institute: Cooperative Research Group on High Efficiency Durable Gasoline Engine ("Hedge") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Honeywell International, Inc., Torrance, CA; and Ivenco Motorenforschung AG, Arbon, SWITZERLAND have been added as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and HEDGE intends to file additional written notification disclosing all changes in membership.

On June 10, 2005, HEDGE filed its original notification pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of

Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on July 7, 2005 (70 FR 39339).

The last notification was filed with the Department on August 10, 2005. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on September 22, 2005 (70 FR 55629).

Dorothy B. Fountain,

Deputy Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 06–5736 Filed 6–27–06; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4410–11–M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Executive Office for Immigration Review

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comments Requested

ACTION: 30-day notice of information collection under review: Notice of Appeal to the Board of Immigration Appeals from a Decision of a USCIS Officer.

The Department of Justice (DOJ), Executive Office for Immigration Review (EOIR) has submitted the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. This proposed information collection was previously published in the Federal Register Volume 71, Number 19, page 4935 on January, 30, 2006, allowing for a 60 day comment period.

The purpose of this notice is to allow for an additional 30 days for public comment until July 28, 2006. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

Written comments and/or suggestions regarding the items contained in this notice, especially the estimated public burden and associated response time, should be directed to the Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention: Department of Justice Desk Officer, Washington, DC 20530. Additionally, comments may also be submitted to OMB via facsimile to (202) 395–5806. Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should

address one or more of the following four points:

- —Evaluate whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- —Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

—Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

—Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of This Information Collection

- (1) Type of Information Collection: Extension of a Currently Approved Collection.
- (2) *Title of the Form/Collection:*Notice of Appeal to the Board of
 Immigration Appeals from a Decision of
 a USCIS Officer.
- (3) Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department of Justice sponsoring the collection: Form EOIR 29, Executive Office for Immigration Review, United States Department of Justice.
- (4) Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract: Primary: A party who appeals a decision of a USCIS officer to the Board of Immigration Appeals (Board). Other: None. Abstract: A party affected by a decision of a USCIS officer may appeal that decision to the Board, provided that the Board has jurisdiction pursuant to 8 CFR 1003.1(b). The party must complete the Form EOIR–29 and submit it to the USCIS office having administrative control over the record of proceeding in order to exercise its regulatory right to appeal.

 (5) An estimate of the total number of

(5) An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond/reply: It is estimated that 2,971 respondents will complete the form annually with an average of thirty minutes per response.

(6) An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection: There are an estimated 1485.5 total burden hours associated with this collection annually.

If additional information is required, contact: Lynn Bryant, Department

Deputy Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Policy and Planning Staff, Patrick Henry Building, Suite 1600, 601 D Street, NW., Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: June 21, 2006.

Lynn Bryant,

Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. E6–10139 Filed 6–27–06; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 4410–30–P**

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Office of the Secretary

Submission for OMB Review: Comment Request

June 20, 2006.

The Department of Labor (DOL) has submitted the following public information collection requests (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–13, 44 U.S.C. chapter 35). A copy of each ICR, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained by contacting Darrin King on 202–693–4129 (this is not a toll-free number) or e-mail: king.darrin@dol.gov.

Comments should be sent to Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: OMB Desk Officer for the Mine Safety and Health Administration (MSHA), Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503, 202–395–7316 (this is not a toll-free number), within 30 days from the date of this publication in the **Federal Register**.

The OMB is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility:
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology,

e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Agency: Mine Safety and Health Administration.

Type of Review: Extension of currently approved collection.

Title: Miner Operator Dust Cards. OMB Number: 1219–0011. Frequency: On occasion and bimonthly.

Type of Response: Recordkeeping; Reporting; and Third party disclosure. Affected Public: Business or other forprofit.

Number of Respondents: 950. Estimated Number of Annual Responses: 41,100.

Average Response Time: Varies by task.

Estimated Annual Burden Hours: 32.875.

Total Annualized capital/startup costs: \$0.

Total Annual Costs (operating/maintaining systems or purchasing services): \$2,989,172.

Description: 30 CFR 70.201(c), 71.201(c), and 90.201(c), authorizes the District Manager to require the mine operator to submit the dates(s) when sampling will begin. Only a certified person is allowed to conduct the respirable dust sampling required by these parts.

Sections 70.202(b), 71.202(b), and 90.202(b), requires that the person must pass the MSHA examination on sampling of respirable coal mine dust.

Sections 70.220(a), 71.220(a), and 90.220(a), requires the operator to report status changes to MSHA in writing within 3 working days after the status change has occurred.

Sections 70.209, 71.209, and 90.209, requires persons who are certified by MSHA to take respirable dust samples to complete the dust data card that accompanies each sample being submitted for analysis.

Sections 71.300 and 90.300 require a coal mine operator to submit to MSHA for approval a written respirable dust control plan within 15 calendar days after the termination date of a citation for violation of the applicable dust standard.

Section 71.301(d) requires the respirable dust control plan to be posted on the mine bulletin board, however, 90.301(d) prohibits posting of the dust control plan for P–90 miners and, instead, requires a copy be provided to the affected P–90 miner.

Prolonged exposure to excessive amounts of respirable coal mine dust can cause respiratory problems, ranging from mild impairment of respiratory function to more severe diseases such as coal workers' pneumoconiosis (CWP) and silicosis. These diseases are debilitating, and in severe cases, disabling and fatal.

The information provided by the mine operator on the dust data card that accompanies each dust sample submitted to MSHA for processing; the reporting of when such samples will be taken when District Manager requests; and the reporting of any changes in operation status affecting sampling, is vital to effectively administer and assess the effectiveness of the operator sampling program. MSHA has used the information received from the current collection not only to determine which mine operators have fully complied with the sampling provisions stipulated in the regulations but also which failed to adequately protect miners from excessive dust concentrations and needed to take appropriate measures to improve the quality of the mine air that miners breathe. Also, once the dust samples submitted by coal mine operators are processed by MSHA, it uses the collected information for reporting the results of respirable dust samples to the appropriate mine operators under §§ 70.210(a), 71.210(a) and 90.210(a), so that the results can be posted on the mine bulletin board for viewing by all miners as required by §§ 70.210(b) and 71.210(c). These results enable the Agency to more effectively evaluate the effectiveness of the operator's dust control systems, to better identify which particular operators should be targeted for compliance assistance efforts, and to plan and undertake special health emphasis initiatives.

Mine operators whose samples exceed the applicable standard are either notified to submit additional samples (involving DA, DWP, or P–90 miner entity types only) or are cited for violating the applicable standard. As discussed earlier, once cited by MSHA, the operator must promptly take corrective action and then submit five abatement samples to demonstrate that dust levels have been reduced within the applicable standard.

Once a respirable dust control plan, submitted in accordance with either § 71.300 or 90.300, is approved by MSHA, its provisions must be employed and complied with on a continuous basis. Posting of the plan in accordance with § 71.301(d) allows the affected miners to acquaint themselves with the types and locations of dust control measures that are required to be employed and maintained. MSHA inspectors use the information provided in the plan to determine whether the operator is complying with all plan provisions, and to assess the plan's