

should be necessary and appropriate. Proposals should maximize cost-sharing through other private sector support as well as institutional direct funding contributions.

6. *Institutional Track Record/Ability:* Proposals should demonstrate an institutional record of successful exchange programs, including responsible fiscal management and full compliance with all reporting requirements for past Bureau grants as determined by Bureau Grants Staff. The Bureau will consider the past performance of prior recipients and the demonstrated potential of new applicants. Proposed personnel and institutional resources should be fully qualified to achieve the Program's goals.

VI. Award Administration Information

VI.1a. *Award Notices:* Final awards cannot be made until funds have been appropriated by Congress, allocated and committed through internal Bureau procedures. Successful applicants will receive an Assistance Award Document (AAD) from the Bureau's Grants Office. The AAD and the original grant proposal with subsequent modifications (if applicable) shall be the only binding authorizing document between the recipient and the U.S. Government. The AAD will be signed by an authorized Grants Officer, and mailed to the recipient's responsible officer identified in the application.

Unsuccessful applicants will receive notification of the results of the application review from the ECA program office coordinating this competition.

VI.2 *Administrative and National Policy Requirements:* Terms and Conditions for the Administration of ECA agreements include the following:

Office of Management and Budget Circular A-122, "Cost Principles for Nonprofit Organizations."

Office of Management and Budget Circular A-21, "Cost Principles for Educational Institutions."

OMB Circular A-87, "Cost Principles for State, Local and Indian Governments".

OMB Circular No. A-110 (Revised), Uniform Administrative Requirements for Grants and Agreements with Institutions of Higher Education, Hospitals, and other Nonprofit Organizations.

OMB Circular No. A-102, Uniform Administrative Requirements for Grants-in-Aid to State and Local Governments.

OMB Circular No. A-133, Audits of States, Local Government, and Non-profit Organizations

Please reference the following websites for additional information: <http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/grants>. <http://exchanges.state.gov/education/grantsdiv/terms.htm#articleI>.

VI.3. *Reporting Requirements:* You must provide ECA with a hard copy original plus one (1) copy of the final program and financial report no more than 90 days after the expiration of the award.

Grantees will be required to provide reports analyzing their evaluation findings to the Bureau in their regular program reports. Please refer to Application and Submission Instructions (IV.3d.3) above for Program Monitoring and Evaluation information.

All data collected, including survey responses and contact information, must be maintained for a minimum of three years and provided to the Bureau upon request.

All reports must be sent to the ECA Grants Officer and ECA Program Officer listed in the final assistance award document.

VII. Agency Contacts

For questions about this announcement, contact: Branch of the Study of the United States, ECA/A/E/USS, Room 314, U.S. Department of State, SA-44, 301 4th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20547; tel. (202) 453-8540; fax (202) 453-8533. For specific questions on the Fusion Arts Exchange program, contact Adam Van Loon at VanLoonAE@state.gov.

All correspondence with the Bureau concerning this RFGP should reference the title "Fusions Arts Exchange" and number ECA/A/E/USS-07-FAX.

Please read the complete **Federal Register** announcement before sending inquiries or submitting proposals. Once the RFGP deadline has passed, Bureau staff may not discuss this competition with applicants until the proposal review process has been completed.

VIII. Other Information

Notice

The terms and conditions published in this RFGP are binding and may not be modified by any Bureau representative. Explanatory information provided by the Bureau that contradicts published language will not be binding. Issuance of the RFGP does not constitute an award commitment on the part of the Government. The Bureau reserves the right to reduce, revise, or increase proposal budgets in accordance with the needs of the program and the availability of funds. Awards made will be subject to periodic reporting and evaluation requirements per section VI.3 above.

Dated: November 28, 2006.

Dina Habib Powell,

Assistant Secretary for Educational and Cultural Affairs Department of State.

[FR Doc. E6-20785 Filed 12-6-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4710-05-P

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

[Public Notice 5623]

Notice of Meeting of the Cultural Property Advisory Committee

There will be a meeting of the Cultural Property Advisory Committee on Thursday, January 25, 2007, from approximately 9 a.m. to 5 p.m., and on Friday, January 26, from approximately 9 a.m. to 5 p.m., at the Department of State, Annex 44, Room 840, 301 4th St., SW., Washington, DC. During its meeting the Committee will review a proposal to extend the Memorandum of Understanding between the Government of the United States of America and the Government of the Republic of Peru Concerning the Imposition of Import Restrictions on Archaeological Material from the Pre-Hispanic Cultures and Certain Ethnological Material from the Colonial Period of Peru; and a proposal to extend the Memorandum of Understanding Between the Government of the United States of America and the Government of the Republic of Cyprus Concerning the Imposition of Import Restrictions on Pre-Classical and Classical Archaeological Objects and Byzantine Period Ecclesiastical and Ritual Ethnological Material. The concerned Governments have each notified the Government of the United States of America of their interest in extending the respective MOUs.

The Committee's responsibilities are carried out in accordance with provisions of the Convention on Cultural Property Implementation Act (19 U.S.C. 2601 *et seq.*). The text of the Act and subject Memoranda of Understanding, as well as related information may be found at <http://exchanges.state.gov/culprop>. Portions of the meeting on January 25 and 26 will be closed pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(9)(B) and 19 U.S.C. 2605(h). However, on January 25, the Committee will hold an open session from approximately 9:30 a.m. to 11 a.m., to receive oral public comment on the proposals to extend. Persons wishing to attend this open session should notify the Cultural Heritage Center of the Department of State at (202) 453-8800 no later than Thursday, January 11, 2007, 3 p.m. (EST) to arrange for admission. Seating is limited.

Anyone wishing to make an oral presentation at the public session must request to be scheduled, must state which MOU—Peru or Cyprus—the presentation will address, and must submit a written text of the oral comments by January 11, 2007, to allow time for distribution to Committee members prior to the meeting. Oral comments will be limited to allow time for questions from members of the Committee and must specifically relate to the determinations under Section 303(a)(1) of the Convention on Cultural Property Implementation Act, 19 U.S.C. 2602, pursuant to which the Committee must make findings. This citation for the determinations can be found at the web site noted above.

The Committee also invites written comments and asks that they be submitted no later than January 11, 2007, to allow time for distribution to Committee members prior to the meeting. All written materials, including the written texts of oral statements, may be faxed to (202) 435-8803. If more than three (3) pages, 20 duplicates of written materials must be sent by express mail to: Cultural Heritage Center, Department of State, Annex 44, 301 4th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20547; tel: (202) 453-8800.

Dated: November 28, 2006.

Dina Habib Powell,

Assistant Secretary for Educational and Cultural Affairs, Department of State.

[FR Doc. E6-20775 Filed 12-6-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4710-05-P

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement: Completion of Watts Bar Nuclear Plant Unit 2

AGENCY: Tennessee Valley Authority.

ACTION: Notice of Intent.

SUMMARY: This notice is provided in accordance with the Council on Environmental Quality's (CEQ) regulations (40 CFR parts 1500-1508) and TVA's procedures for implementing the National Environmental Policy Act. The Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) will prepare a Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) to update information and address the potential environmental impacts associated with its proposal to complete the Watts Bar Nuclear Plant (WBN) Unit 2 located in Rhea County, Tennessee. Completion of WBN Unit 2 would help address the need for additional baseload generation in the power service area of

the Tennessee Valley Authority and make use of that unfinished asset.

DATES: Comments on the draft Supplemental EIS will be invited from the public. It is anticipated that the draft Supplemental EIS will be available in the spring of 2007.

ADDRESSES: Information about the Supplemental EIS process can be obtained by contacting Bruce L. Yeager, NEPA Program Manager, NEPA Policy, Environmental Stewardship and Policy, Tennessee Valley Authority, 400 West Summit Hill Drive, Mail Stop WT 11B-K, Knoxville, Tennessee 37902 (e-mail: blyeager@tva.gov).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: James Chardos, Project Manager, Nuclear Generation Development at Tennessee Valley Authority, Mail Stop ADM 1V-WBN, Chattanooga, Tennessee 37402 (e-mail: jschardos@tva.gov).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: TVA operates the largest public power system in the country. It provides electricity to more than 8.5 million people in parts of seven southeastern states. It also serves 650,000 businesses and industries in this region, including 61 large industrial and federal facilities. TVA currently has 33,000 megawatts of dependable generating capacity on its system. This capacity consists of three nuclear plants, 11 coal-fired plants, six combustion-turbine plants, 29 hydroelectric dams, one pump-storage facility, the southeast's largest wind turbine installation, and one methane-gas capture facility. Slightly more than 60 percent of TVA's installed generating capacity is coal, almost 30 percent is nuclear, and the remaining 10 percent is hydro and other renewable energy resources and combustion turbines.

Demand for electricity in the TVA Power Service Area is growing at the rate of approximately 2 percent per year. In 2005, demand for electricity from the TVA system exceeded the previous all-time high demand (peak demand) on the system twice. To meet this growing demand TVA anticipates having to add additional baseload capacity to its system by no later than the 2012-2014 timeframe. Completing TVA's partially-constructed WBN Unit 2 would not only help meet this growing need for generation but also make use of that unfinished asset. TVA is further supplementing the original 1972 Environmental Statement for the plant and updating pertinent information discussed and evaluated in the related documents identified below to inform decision makers about the potential for environmental impacts that would be associated with a decision to complete and operate WBN Unit 2. On July 28,

2006, the TVA Board of Directors also authorized staff to conduct a comprehensive Detailed, Scoping, Estimating and Planning (DSEP) study to evaluate the cost and schedule for completing WBN Unit 2.

WBN is located on 1,700 acres at the northern end of Chickamauga Reservoir about 8 miles from Spring City, Tennessee. The Atomic Energy Commission (AEC) issued construction permits (now the responsibility of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC)) for the two-unit, 2,540 MW plant in January of 1973. In 1985, TVA halted construction activities for WBN in order to address safety concerns. Due to these construction delays, WBN Unit 1 did not begin commercial operation until May 1996. The plant currently has one Westinghouse pressurized-water reactor with a capacity of 1,167 megawatts—enough electricity to supply about 650,000 homes a day. WBN Unit 2 was approximately 60 percent complete when construction was halted in 1985.

Summary of Relevant Environmental Reviews

In 1972, TVA released a Final EIS that reviewed the potential environmental and socioeconomic impacts of constructing and operating the two-unit plant (WBN Units 1 and 2). TVA updated the WBN EIS in November 1976 and submitted additional environmental information and analyses to NRC in an Environmental Information Supplement in 1977. In December of 1978, NRC issued its Final EIS, NUREG-0498 related to the licensing of the two-unit plant.

In 1993, TVA conducted a thorough review of the TVA and NRC documents to determine if additional environmental review was needed to inform decisions about whether or not to complete WBN Units 1 and 2. The 1993 TVA review, focusing on ten sections of the earlier documents, concluded that neither the plant design nor environmental conditions had changed in a manner that materially altered the environmental impact analysis set forth in the earlier EIS. In 1994, TVA provided additional analyses and information in support of NRC's issuance of a Supplemental EIS. That Supplemental EIS, issued by NRC in 1995, similarly concluded that there were no significant changes in the potential environmental impacts of WBN 1 and 2 since the 1978 Final Environmental Statement issued by the NRC. Following independent review of the adequacy of the analyses and document, in July of 1995 TVA adopted the 1995 NRC final Supplemental EIS for the completion of WBN Unit 1. In