

# Contents

<i>Contents</i> .....	<i>i</i>
<i>Summary</i> .....	<i>1</i>
Issues.....	2
Alternatives.....	3
Changes between the Draft EIS and the Final EIS .....	6
Document Structure .....	8
<i>Chapter 1. Purpose of and Need for Action</i> .....	<i>10</i>
Location of the Analysis Area .....	10
Existing Condition .....	11
Desired Condition .....	11
Purpose of and Need for Action.....	12
Proposed Actions .....	15
Decision Framework.....	16
Public Involvement.....	16
Scope of the Project and Analysis .....	17
Issues.....	18
Questions from Scoping.....	24
Relationship to Other Plans, Decision Documents and Regulatory Authority .....	27
Decisions to Be Made .....	28
<i>Chapter 2. Description of the Alternatives</i> .....	<i>29</i>
Introduction.....	29
Development of Alternatives .....	29
Management Requirements Common to All Alternatives .....	36
Management Requirements Common to All Action Alternatives .....	37
Alternatives Considered in Detail .....	42
Alternative 1, No Action.....	43
Alternative 2, Proposed Action.....	51
Alternative 3, Modified Proposed Action .....	62
Alternative 4, Non-motorized Emphasis Alternative.....	71
Alternative 5, Final Preferred Alternative.....	80
Comparison of Alternatives .....	89
Selection of the Preferred Alternative.....	98
Alternatives Eliminated From Detailed Study .....	99
<i>Chapter 3. Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences</i> .....	<i>107</i>
Introduction.....	107
Environmental Setting of the Analysis Area.....	107
General Assumptions .....	108
Effects Common to All Alternatives.....	109
Effects Common to All Action Alternatives.....	111
Adherence to and Enforcement of the Motorized Travel Plan .....	112
Critical Mule Deer Winter Range .....	113
Threatened and Endangered Plant Habitat.....	120
Soil Productivity .....	125
Wetland and Riparian Area Condition and Function.....	129
Fisheries and Aquatic Organisms .....	142
Unroaded and Undeveloped Lands.....	150
Motorized and Non-motorized Recreation .....	169
Alternative Comparison Summary .....	182
Short-Term Uses and Long-Term Productivity .....	182
Unavoidable Adverse Effects .....	183

Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitments of Resources .....	184
Cumulative Effects Summary .....	184
Other Required Disclosures .....	185
<i>Chapter 4. Consultation and Coordination</i> .....	187
Preparers and Contributors .....	187
Public Participation.....	190
Distribution of the Final Environmental Impact Statement .....	190
<i>References Cited (FEIS &amp; Specialist Reports)</i> .....	193
General and Shared References .....	193
Engineering .....	194
Heritage.....	194
Recreation & Undeveloped Lands .....	194
Soils .....	196
Vegetation.....	197
Watershed / Fisheries and Aquatics .....	197
Wildlife .....	200
<i>Glossary</i> .....	202
<i>Appendix A – Executive Orders</i> .....	213
Executive Order 11644 .....	213
Executive Order 11989 .....	215
<i>Appendix B – Implementation Plan</i> .....	216
Transportation Planning and Management Strategies .....	216
Problems and Risks Posed by the Current Road and Trail Systems & Opportunities for Addressing Important Problems and Risks .....	216
Route Designation Implementation Considerations .....	223
Known Needs for Future Transportation Planning Projects .....	226
Public Education Strategies .....	228
Monitoring Plan .....	230
Adaptive Management Process .....	231
<i>Appendix C</i> .....	237
Reasonably Foreseeable Activities .....	237
Cumulative Effects Summary for Foreseeable Actions .....	253
<i>Appendix D</i> .....	255
Issues Not Discussed in Detail – 1) eliminated by project design, 2) presenting minimal risk, 3) outside project scope, 4) already decided by existing law or policy or that are not relevant .....	255
<i>Appendix E</i> .....	274
Detailed Changes Resulting from the Action Alternatives .....	274
<i>Appendix F</i> .....	277
Comparison of select OHV policies for Forest Service, BLM, and State Lands in Utah .....	277
<i>Appendix G</i> .....	281
Maps and descriptions of proposed non-significant Forest Plan Amendment.....	281

**List of Tables**

*Table 1-1. Area summary of OHV restrictions on the existing Fishlake National Forest travel plan (total area is 1,454,380 acres). ..... 13*

*Table 1-2. Route mileage summary of OHV restrictions on the existing Fishlake National Forest travel plan (total of 3,540.2 miles of motorized routes). ..... 13*

*Table 1-3. Management considerations and issues. .... 19*

*Table 2-1. Alternative 1 - Area summary of current motorized travel plan restrictions on the Fishlake National Forest (total of 1,454,380 acres). ..... 44*

*Table 2-2. Alternative 1 – Motorized route mileage summary for the current travel plan (grand total of all motorized routes in table = 3,540.2 miles). ..... 50*

*Table 2-3. Alternative 1 - Road and trail miles for the Fishlake National Forest where route type authorization would be changed. .... 50*

*Table 2-4. Alternative 1 - Forest route mileage summary of proposed use designation and authorization changes. .... 51*

*Table 2-5. Alternative 1 - Number of new travel barriers by use restriction and type..... 51*

*Table 2-6. Alternative 2 - Area summary of proposed motorized travel plan restrictions on the Fishlake National Forest (total of 1,454,380 acres for <sup>2</sup> and <sup>4</sup>). ..... 52*

*Table 2-7. Alternative 2 – Motorized route mileage summary (grand total of all motorized designations = 2,690.5 miles). ..... 59*

*Table 2-8. Alternative 2 – Road and trail miles for the Fishlake National Forest where use designations would be changed..... 59*

*Table 2-9. Alternative 2 - Road and trail miles for the Fishlake National Forest where route type authorization would be changed. .... 60*

*Table 2-10. Alternative 2 - Forest route mileage summary of proposed use designation and authorization changes. .... 61*

*Table 2-11. Alternative 2 - Number of new travel barriers by use restriction and type..... 62*

*Table 2-12. Alternative 3 - Area summary of proposed motorized travel plan restrictions on the Fishlake National Forest (total of 1,454,380 acres for <sup>2</sup> and <sup>4</sup>). ..... 63*

*Table 2-13. Alternative 3 - Motorized route mileage summary (grand total of all motorized designations = 2,714.3 miles). ..... 69*

*Table 2-14. Alternative 3 - Road and trail miles for the Fishlake National Forest where use designations would be changed..... 69*

*Table 2-15. Alternative 3 - Road and trail miles for the Fishlake National Forest where route type authorization would be changed..... 70*

*Table 2-16. Alternative 3 - Forest route mileage summary of proposed use designation and authorization changes. .... 71*

*Table 2-17. Alternative 3 - Number of new travel barriers by use restriction and type..... 71*

*Table 2-18. Alternative 4 - Area summary of proposed motorized travel plan restrictions on the Fishlake National Forest (total of 1,454,380 acres for <sup>2</sup> and <sup>4</sup>). ..... 72*

*Table 2-19. Alternative 4 - Motorized route mileage summary (grand total of all motorized designations = 2,122.3 miles). ..... 77*

*Table 2-20. Alternative 4 - Road and trail miles for the Fishlake National Forest where use designations would be changed..... 77*

*Table 2-21. Alternative 4 - Road and trail miles for the Fishlake National Forest where route type authorization would be changed..... 78*

*Table 2-22. Alternative 4 - Forest route mileage summary of proposed use designation and authorization changes. .... 79*

*Table 2-23. Alternative 4 - Number of new travel barriers by use restriction and type..... 80*

*Table 2-24. Alternative 5 - Area summary of proposed motorized travel plan restrictions on the Fishlake National Forest (total of 1,454,380 acres for <sup>2</sup> and <sup>4</sup>). ..... 81*

*Table 2-25. Alternative 5 - Motorized route mileage summary (grand total of all motorized designations = 2,820.2 miles). ..... 87*

Table 2-26. Alternative 5 - Road and trail miles for the Fishlake National Forest where use designations would be changed.....	87
Table 2-27. Alternative 5 - Road and trail miles for the Fishlake National Forest where route type authorization would be changed.....	88
Table 2-28. Alternative 5 - Forest route mileage summary of proposed use designation and authorization changes. ....	89
Table 2-29. Alternative 5 - Number of new travel barriers by use restriction and type.....	89
Table 2-30. Comparison of Alternatives – Area designation acreage summaries. ....	90
Table 2-31. Comparison of Alternatives – Route designation mileage summary. ....	90
Table 2-32. Comparison of Alternatives – Route type mileage summary. ....	91
Table 2-33. Comparison of Alternatives – Route obliteration mileage summary. ....	92
Table 2-34. Comparison of Alternatives – Proposed barrier summary. ....	92
Table 2-35. Summary of Alternatives.....	93
Table 2-36. Summary of Environmental Consequences.....	95
Table 3-1. Mule deer herd status and proportion of winter range on National Forest.....	114
Table 3-2. Existing route densities and open use / exemption areas in critical mule deer habitat. ....	115
Table 3-3. Route density and open use / exemption area in critical mule deer winter habitat by alternative.....	117
Table 3-4. Motorized route density and areas open to over-snow travel in critical mule deer winter range when seasonal closures are in effect.....	118
Table 3-5. Total acres of open use and exemption corridors by alternative within the Rare Plant Emphasis Study Area.....	122
Table 3-6. Soil productivity indicators by alternative for the forest. ....	127
Table 3-7. Encroaching motorized route cumulative effects indicator. ....	131
Table 3-8. Riparian motorized route cumulative effects indicator.....	133
Table 3-9. Stream crossing frequency cumulative effects indicator.....	133
Table 3-10. OHV impacts to stream and riparian resources based on Level 2 Integrated Riparian Inventories. ....	135
Key*.....	137
Table 3-11. Tally of streams in each OHV / riparian impact class based on Level 2 Integrated Riparian Inventories.....	138
Table 3-12. Actions that would reduce or eliminate existing OHV impacts to stream and riparian resources. ....	138
Table 3-13. Priority native cutthroat and recreational fisheries on the Fishlake Forest. ....	143
Table 3-14. Priority watersheds supporting other aquatic species of interest on the forest.....	144
Table 3-15. Bonneville cutthroat trout effects summary. ....	147
Table 3-16. Colorado River cutthroat trout effects summary. ....	147
Table 3-17. Cumulative effects indicator summary for the forest minimum bounding CEA.....	148
Table 3-18. Undeveloped Areas, Alternative 1 - Acres by Area and Motorized Miles by Area. ....	157
Table 3-19. Undeveloped Areas, Alternative 2 - Acres by Area and Motorized Miles by Area. ....	159
Table 3-20. Undeveloped Areas, Alternative 3 - Acres by Area and Motorized Miles by Area. ....	162
Table 3-21. Undeveloped Areas, Alternative 4 - Acres by Area and Motorized Miles by Area. ....	166
Table 3-22. Undeveloped Areas, Alternative 5 - Acres by Area and Motorized Miles by Area. ....	168
Table 3-23. Alternative 1 – Cumulative percent of area within the Fishlake National Forest that is within a specified distance from motorized routes. ....	171
Table 3-24. Alternative 1 – Mileage summary of proposed changes in motorized and non-motorized use.....	172
Table 3-25. Alternative 2 – Cumulative percent of area within the Fishlake National Forest that is within a specified distance from motorized routes. ....	173
Table 3-26. Alternative 2 – Changes to motorized and non-motorized opportunities caused by revised use designations and route type authorizations (miles).....	173
Table 3-27. Alternative 2 – Changes to motorized opportunities caused by changes to vehicle type restrictions and season of use (miles).....	174

<i>Table 3-28. Alternative 3 – Cumulative percent of area within the Fishlake National Forest that is within a specified distance from motorized routes.</i>	175
<i>Table 3-29. Alternative 3 – Changes to motorized and non-motorized opportunities caused by revised use designations and route type authorizations (miles).</i>	175
<i>Table 3-30. Alternative 3 – Changes to motorized opportunities caused by changes to vehicle type restrictions and season of use (miles).</i>	176
<i>Table 3-31. Alternative 4 – Cumulative percent of area within the Fishlake National Forest that is within a specified distance from motorized routes.</i>	177
<i>Table 3-32. Alternative 4 - Changes to motorized and non-motorized opportunities caused by revised use designations and route type authorizations (miles).</i>	178
<i>Table 3-33. Alternative 4 – Changes to motorized opportunities caused by changes to vehicle type restrictions and season of use (miles).</i>	178
<i>Table 3-34. Alternative 5 – Cumulative percent of area within the Fishlake National Forest that is within a specified distance from motorized routes.</i>	179
<i>Table 3-35. Alternative 5 – Changes to motorized and non-motorized opportunities caused by revised use designations and route type authorizations (miles).</i>	180
<i>Table 3-36. Alternative 5 – Changes to motorized opportunities caused by changes to vehicle type restrictions and season of use (miles).</i>	180
<i>Table 4-1. Forest Leadership Team Members.</i>	187
<i>Table 4-2. Interdisciplinary Team Members</i>	187
<i>Table 4-2. Additional Ranger District and Supervisors Office Employees Who Helped Develop and/or Review the Proposed Alternatives or the EIS</i>	188
<i>Table B-1. Monitoring Plan for the Fishlake OHV Route Designation Project</i>	230
<i>Table D-1. T &amp; E, Sensitive, Management Indicator Species on the Fishlake NF.</i>	255
<i>Table D-2. Forest scale summaries of changes in route density and open use areas and distance designation acres for T &amp; E, sensitive, and MIS species other than mule deer.</i>	257
<i>Table D-3. Forest summaries of open use / distance designation areas.</i>	261
<i>Table D-4. Number of heritage sites within open use areas and distance designations for dispersed camping.</i>	272
<i>Table D-5. Number of eligible heritage sites within open use areas and distance designations for dispersed camping.</i>	272
<i>Table G-1. Summary of Proposed 3A Management Area Changes</i>	281
<i>Table G-2. Summary of associated route designation changes.</i>	282

**List of Figures**

<i>Figure 1-1. OHV Route Designation Project Area.</i>	10
<i>Figure 1-2. Existing Motorized Travel Restriction Areas.</i>	14
<i>Figure 2-1. Current winter use closures based on existing motorized use area restrictions.</i>	45
<i>Figure 2-2. Key for the maps that display motorized route networks for the alternatives.</i>	46
<i>Figure 2-3. Map A – open motorized routes in the existing motorized travel plan.</i>	47
<i>Figure 2-4. Map B – open motorized routes in the existing motorized travel plan.</i>	48
<i>Figure 2-5. Map C – open motorized routes in the existing motorized travel plan.</i>	49
<i>Figure 2-6. Alternative 2 - winter use closures resulting from proposed area restrictions.</i>	54
<i>Figure 2-7. Alternative 2, Map A – designated motorized routes.</i>	55
<i>Figure 2-8. Alternative 2, Map B – designated motorized routes.</i>	56
<i>Figure 2-9. Alternative 2, Map C – designated motorized routes.</i>	57
<i>Figure 2-10. Alternative 2 - designated open use areas.</i>	58
<i>Figure 2-11. Alternative 3 - winter use closures resulting from proposed area restrictions.</i>	64
<i>Figure 2-12. Alternative 3, Map A – designated motorized routes.</i>	65
<i>Figure 2-13. Alternative 3, Map B – designated motorized routes.</i>	66
<i>Figure 2-14. Alternative 3, Map C – designated motorized routes.</i>	67
<i>Figure 2-15. Alternative 3 - designated open use areas.</i>	68
<i>Figure 2-16. Alternative 4 - winter use closures resulting from proposed area restrictions.</i>	73
<i>Figure 2-17. Alternative 4, Map A – designated motorized routes.</i>	74

<i>Figure 2-18. Alternative 4, Map B – designated motorized routes.</i>	75
<i>Figure 2-19. Alternative 4, Map C – designated motorized routes.</i>	76
<i>Figure 2-20. Alternative 5 - winter use closures resulting from proposed area restrictions.</i>	82
<i>Figure 2-21. Alternative 5, Map A – designated motorized routes.</i>	83
<i>Figure 2-22. Alternative 5, Map B – designated motorized routes.</i>	84
<i>Figure 2-23. Alternative 5, Map C – designated motorized routes.</i>	85
<i>Figure 2-24. Alternative 5 - designated open use areas.</i>	86
<i>Figure 3-1. Map of critical mule deer winter range on the Fishlake National Forest.</i>	116
<i>Figure 3-2. Rare plant emphasis study area (122,447 acres, includes inholdings).</i>	121
<i>Figure 3-3. Map of cumulative effects watersheds.</i>	132
<i>Figure 3-4. Map of undeveloped areas on the Fishlake National Forest.</i>	153
<i>Figure 3-5. Map of undeveloped areas affected by Alternative 1.</i>	156
<i>Figure 3-6. Map of undeveloped areas affected by Alternative 2.</i>	158
<i>Figure 3-7. Map of undeveloped areas affected by Alternative 3.</i>	161
<i>Figure 3-8. Map of undeveloped areas affected by Alternative 4.</i>	165
<i>Figure 3-9. Map of undeveloped areas affected by Alternative 5.</i>	167
<i>Figure G-1. Fillmore Ranger District Management Area 3A Proposed Amendments.</i>	284
<i>Figure G-2. Fremont River Ranger District Management Area 3A Proposed Amendments</i>	285
<i>Figure G-3. Fremont River Ranger District Management Area 3A Proposed Amendments</i>	286
<i>Figure G-4. Beaver Ranger District Management Area 3A Proposed Amendments</i>	287