seepage potential. In an approximate 1-mile reach, a mechanically stabilized earth structure would be built along the existing levee crown to avoid footprint extension beyond the existing right-of-way easements. A number of natural resources management areas are located near or adjacent to the levee system, including units of the Lower Rio Grande Valley Wildlife Refuge System and the Bentsen-Rio Grande Valley State Park.

The Environmental Assessment assesses potential environmental impacts of the Proposed Action and the No Action Alternative. Potential impacts on natural, cultural, and other resources were evaluated and mitigation measures were incorporated into the Proposed Action. A Finding of No Significant Impact was issued for the Proposed Action based on a review of the facts and analyses contained in the Environmental Assessment.

The USIBWC is authorized to construct, operate, and maintain any project or works projected by the United States of America on the Lower Rio Grande Flood Control Project (LRGFCP) as authorized by the Act of the 74th Congress, Sess. I Ch. 561 (H.R. 6453), approved August 19, 1935 (49 Stat. 660), and codified at 22 U.S.C. Section 277, 277a, 277b, 277c, and Acts amendatory thereof and supplementary thereto. The LRGFCP was constructed to protect urban, suburban, and highly developed irrigated farmland along the Rio Grande delta in the United States and Mexico.

The USIBWC, in cooperation with the TPWD, prepared this Final Environmental Assessment for the proposed action of raising the Mission and Common Levee Systems located in Hidalgo County, Texas to improve flood control. These two adjacent levee systems are part of the LRGFCP that extends approximately 180 miles from the Town of Peñitas in south Texas to the Gulf of Mexico. The Mission Levee extends approximately 12 miles along the Rio Grande, downstream from the Town of Peñitas. The Common Levee System, approximately 5.3 miles long, consists of the Common Levee and Anzalduas Dike, which connects the Common Levee to Anzalduas Dam.

# Availability

Electronic copies of the Final EA and FONSI are available from the USIBWC Home Page at http://www.ibwc.state.gov.

Dated: March 16, 2007.

#### Susan Daniel,

General Counsel.

[FR Doc. E7–5644 Filed 3–27–07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7010-01-P

### **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

## Office of the Secretary

# Submission for OMB Review: Comment Request

March 22, 2007.

Comments should be sent of Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: OMB Desk Office for the Employment Standards Administration (ESA), Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503, Telephone: 202–395–7316/Fax: 202–395–6974 (these are not a toll-free numbers), within 30 days from the date of this publication in the **Federal Register**.

The OMB is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validly of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriated automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technologies collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

*Âgency:* Employment Standards Administration.

Type of Review: Extension without change of currently approved collection.

Title: Employment Information Form.

OMB Number: 1215–0001.

Form Number: WH-3.

Frequency: On occasion.

Type of Response: Reporting.

Affected Public: Individuals or households.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 3,500.

Estimated Number of Annual Responses: 3,500.

*Éstimated Average Response Time:* 20 minutes.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 11.667.

Total Estimated Annualized capital/startup costs: \$0.

Total Estimated Annual Costs (operating/maintaining systems or purchasing services): \$0.

Description: Form WH-3 is an optional form complainants (e.g., current and former employees, unions, and, competitor employers) may use to provide information about alleged violations of the labor standards administered by the Wage and Hour Division (WHD) of the U.S. Department of Labor. Complaints themselves or WHS staff, using information provided by the complainants, complete the forms. WHD staff use the completed to obtain information about employer compliance with the provisions of the various labor standards laws enforced by the WHD and to determine if the agency has jurisdiction to investigate the alleged violation(s). WHD makes for form available in both English and Spanish. When the WHD schedules to complaint-based investigation, the agency makes the completed Form WH-3 part of the investigation case file.

Agency: Employment Standards Administration.

*Type of Review:* Extension without change of currently approved collection.

Title: Claim for Reimbursement-Assisted Reemployment.

Assisted Reemployment.

OMB Number: 1215–0178.

Form Number: CA–2231.

Frequency: Quarterly.

Type of Response: Reporting.

Affected Public: Private Sector:

Business and other for-profit.

Estimated Number of Respondents:

Estimated Number of Annual Responses: 80.

Estimated Average Response Time: 30 minutes.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 40.

Total Annualized capital/startup costs: \$0.

Total Annual Costs (operating/maintaining systems or purchasing services): \$34.

Description: Information collected on Form CA–2231 provides DOL with the necessary remittance information for the employer, documents the hours of work, certifies the payment of wages to the claimant for which reimbursement is sought, and summarizes the nature and costs of the wage reimbursement

program for a prompt decision by the Department's Office of Worker Compensation Programs (OWCP).

Failure to collect this information would prevent timely and accurate reimbursement to employers, hinder the documentation of disbursement from the Fund, and obstruct implementation of the assisted reemployment program.

#### Darrin A. King,

Acting Departmental Clearance Officer. [FR Doc. E7–5627 Filed 3–27–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–27–P

### **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

# Occupational Safety and Health Administration

[Docket No. OSHA-2007-0037]

Construction Fall Protection Systems Criteria and Practices and Training Requirements; Extension of the Office of Management and Budget's (OMB) Approval of Information Collection (Paperwork) Requirements

**AGENCY:** Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), Labor. **ACTION:** Request for public comment.

**SUMMARY:** OSHA solicits public comments concerning its proposal to extend OMB approval of the information collection requirements contained in the construction standards on Fall Protection Systems Criteria and Practices (29 CFR 1926.502) and Training Requirements (29 CFR 1926.503). The Fall Protection Systems Criteria and Practices Standard allows employers to develop alternative procedures to the use of conventional fall protection systems when the systems are infeasible or create a greater hazard. The alternative procedures (plan) must be written. Also, employers who use safety net systems may certify that the installation meets the Standard's criteria in lieu of performing a drop-test on the net. The Training Requirements Standard requires employers to prepare training certification records for their employees. The plan and certification records ensure that employers comply with the requirements to protect employees from

**DATES:** Comments must be submitted (postmarked, sent, or received) by May 29, 2007.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments by any of the following methods:

Electronically: You may submit comments and attachments electronically at http://www.regulations.gov, which is the

Federal eRulemaking Portal. Follow the instructions online for submitting comments.

Facsimile: If your comments, including attachments, are not longer than 10 pages, you may fax them to the OSHA Docket Office at (202) 693–1648.

Mail, hand delivery, express mail, messenger, or courier service: When using this method, you must submit three copies of your comments and attachments to the OSHA Docket Office, OSHA Docket No. OSHA-2007-0037, U.S. Department of Labor, Room N-2625, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210. Deliveries (hand, express mail, messenger, and courier service) are accepted during the Department of Labor's and Docket Office's normal business hours, 8:15 a.m.-4:45 p.m., e.t.

Instructions: All submissions must include the Agency name and OSHA docket number for this ICR (OSHA Docket No. OSHA–2007–0037). All comments, including any personal information you provide, are placed in the public docket without change, and may be made available online at <a href="http://www.regulations.gov">http://www.regulations.gov</a>. For further information on submitting comments, see the "Public Participation" heading in the section of this notice titled "Supplementary Information."

Docket: To read or download comments or other material in the docket, go to http://www.regulations.gov or the OSHA Docket Office at the address above. All documents in the docket (including this Federal Register notice) are listed in the http:// www.regulations.gov index; however, some information (e.g., copyrighted material) is not publicly available to read or download through the website. All submissions, including copyrighted material, are available for inspection and copying at the OSHA Docket Office. You also may contact Todd Owen at the address below to obtain a copy of the

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Jamaa N. Hill or Todd Owen, Directorate of Standards and Guidance, OSHA, U.S. Department of Labor, Room N–3609, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210; telephone (202) 693–2222.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### I. Background

The Department of Labor, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent (i.e., employer) burden, conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the public with an opportunity to comment on proposed and continuing information collection

requirements in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)). This program ensures that information is in the desired format, reporting burden (time and costs) is minimized, collection instruments are understandable, and OSHA's estimate of the information collection burden is correct. The Occupational Safety and Health Act of the 1970 (the Act) authorizes information collection by employers as necessary or appropriate for enforcement of the Act or for developing information regarding the causes and prevention of occupational injuries, illnesses, and accidents (29 U.S.C. 657).

The Standards on Construction Fall Protection Systems Criteria and Practices (29 CFR 1926.502) and Training Requirements (29 CFR 1926.503) ensure that employers provide the required fall protection for their employees. Accordingly, these standards have the following paperwork requirements: Paragraphs (c)(4)(ii) and (k) of 29 CFR 1926.502, which specify certification of safety nets and development of fall protection plans, respectively, and paragraph (b) of 29 CFR 1926.502, which requires employers to certify training records. The training certification requirement specified in paragraph (b) of 29 CFR 1926.503 documents the training provided to employees potentially exposed to fall hazards. A competent person must train these employees to recognize fall hazards and in the use of procedures and equipment that minimize these hazards. An employer must verify compliance with this training requirement by preparing and maintaining a written certification record that contains the: Name or other identifier of the employee receiving the training; the date(s) of the training; and the signature of the competent person who conducted the training or of the employer.

# II. Special Issues for Comment

OSHA has a particular interest in comments on the following issues:

- Whether the proposed information collection requirements are necessary for the proper performance of the Agency's functions, including whether the information is useful;
- The accuracy of OSHA's estimate of the burden (time and costs) of the information collection requirements, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- The quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and
- Ways to minimize the burden on employers who must comply; for example, by using automated or other