

actions if they are FAA-approved. Corrective actions are considered FAA-approved if they are approved by the State of Design Authority (or their delegated agent). You are required to assure the product is airworthy before it is returned to service.

(3) **Reporting Requirements:** For any reporting requirement in this AD, under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.), the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has approved the information collection requirements and has assigned OMB Control Number 2120-0056.

Related Information

(h) Refer to MCAI Dirección Nacional de Aeronavegabilidad AD No. RA 2006-06-01, Rev. 1 LAVIA S.A., Amendment No. 39/03-041, dated November 17, 2006; and Latinoamericana de Aviación S.A. Service Bulletin No. 25/53/03, dated May 10, 2006, for related information.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on February 8, 2007.

Kim Smith,

Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. E7-2508 Filed 2-13-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Internal Revenue Service

26 CFR Part 301

[REG-159444-04]

RIN 1545-BE35

Release of Lien or Discharge of Property; Correction

AGENCY: Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Treasury.

ACTION: Correction to notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: This document contains corrections to a notice of proposed rulemaking (REG-159444-04) that was published in the **Federal Register** on Thursday, January 11, 2007 (72 FR 1301) relating to release of lien and discharge of property under sections 6325, 6503, and 7426 of the Internal Revenue Code.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Debra A. Kohn, (202) 622-7985 (not toll-free number).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The correction notice that is the subject of this document is under sections 6325, 6503, and 7426 of the Internal Revenue Code.

Need for Correction

As published, the notice of proposed rulemaking (REG-159444-04) contains

errors that may prove to be misleading and are in need of clarification.

Correction of Publication

Accordingly, the publication of proposed rulemaking (REG-159444-04), which was the subject of FR Doc. E7-219, is corrected as follows:

1. On page 1302, column 1, in the preamble, under the paragraph heading "Background", sixth line from the bottom of the second paragraph of the column, the language "addition these provisions to the Code," is corrected to read "addition of these provisions to the Code,".

§ 301.6325-1 [Corrected]

2. On page 1306, column 3, § 301.6325-1(a)(2)(i), fourth paragraph of the column, sixth line from the bottom of the paragraph, the language "been put into the matter. In no case" is corrected to read "been put in the matter. In no case".

LaNita Van Dyke,

Chief, Publications and Regulations Branch, Legal Processing Division, Associate Chief Counsel (Procedure and Administration).

[FR Doc. E7-2496 Filed 2-13-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4830-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

37 CFR Part 2

[Docket No. PTO-T-2006-0011]

RIN 0651-AC05

Changes in the Requirements for Filing Requests for Reconsideration of Final Office Actions in Trademark Cases

AGENCY: United States Patent and Trademark Office, Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The United States Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO") proposes to amend 37 CFR 2.64 to require a request for reconsideration of an examining attorney's final refusal or requirement to be filed through the Trademark Electronic Application System ("TEAS") within three months of the mailing date of the final action.

DATES: Comments must be received by April 16, 2007 to ensure consideration.

ADDRESSES: The Office prefers that comments be submitted via electronic mail message to *TM RECON COMMENTS@USPTO.GOV*. Written comments may also be submitted by mail to Commissioner for Trademarks,

P.O. Box 1451, Alexandria, VA 22313-1451, attention Cynthia C. Lynch; or by hand delivery to the Trademark Assistance Center, Concourse Level, James Madison Building-East Wing, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia, attention Cynthia C. Lynch; or by electronic mail message via the Federal eRulemaking Portal. See the Federal eRulemaking Portal Web site (<http://www.regulations.gov>) for additional instructions on providing comments via the Federal eRulemaking Portal.

The comments will be available for public inspection on the Office's Web site at <http://www.uspto.gov> and will also be available at the Office of the Commissioner for Trademarks, Madison East, Tenth Floor, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Cynthia C. Lynch, Office of the Deputy Commissioner for Trademark Examination Policy, by telephone at (571) 272-8742.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The USPTO proposes the amendment of 37 CFR 2.64 to streamline and promote efficiency in the process once a final action has issued in an application for trademark registration. By setting a three-month period in which to file a request for reconsideration of the final action, and by requiring that the request be filed through TEAS, the proposed amendment would facilitate the likely disposition of an applicant's request for reconsideration prior to the six-month deadline for filing an appeal to the Trademark Trial and Appeal Board ("TTAB") or petition to the Director on the same final action. This may eliminate the need for some appeals or petitions, and reduces the need for remands and transfers of applications on appeal.

A request for reconsideration of a final action does not extend the time for filing an appeal or petitioning the Director on that action. Under the current version of the rule, wherein the applicant may file a request for reconsideration at any time between the final action and the six-month deadline for appealing or petitioning, many applicants simultaneously seek reconsideration and file an appeal. Because the examining attorney loses jurisdiction over the application upon the filing of an appeal to the TTAB, this simultaneous pursuit of reconsideration and appeal often necessitates a remand by the TTAB to the examining attorney for a decision on the request for reconsideration. If the request is denied, then the case is transferred back to the TTAB. If the request is granted, and the examining attorney reconsiders the final