

VRS and IP Relay providers to obtain and keep a record of affirmative acknowledgement by every subscriber of having received and understood the advisory that E911 service may not be available through VRS and IP Relay or may be in some way limited by comparison to traditional E911 service; and (8) how the Commission may ensure that providers have updated location information, and the respective obligations of the providers and the consumers in this regard.

On May 8, 2006, the Commission released the *Misuse of IP Relay Service and VRS Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking*, (IP Relay Fraud FNPRM), CG Docket No. 03-123, FCC 06-58 which contained the following information collection requirements involving user registration, e.g., callers register to use VRS and IP Relay and provide their requisite information as necessary: The *IP Relay Fraud FNPRM* sought comment on: (1) Whether IP Relay and VRS providers should be required to implement user registration system in which users provide certain information to their providers, in advance, as a means of curbing illegitimate IP Relay and VRS calls; (2) what information should be required of the user; (3) whether there are steps that could be taken, or technology implemented, to prevent the wrongful use of registration information; and (4) whether the Commission should require VRS and IP Relay providers to maintain records of apparently illegitimate calls that were terminated by the providers.

On May 9, 2006, the Commission released the *VRS Interoperability Declaratory Ruling and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking* (*Interoperability FNPRM*), In the Matter of Telecommunications Relay Services and Speech-to-Speech Services for individuals with Hearing and Speech Disabilities, CG Docket No. 03-123, FCC 06-57. In the *Interoperability FNPRM*, the Commission sought comment on the feasibility of establishing a single, open, and global database of proxy numbers for VRS users that would be available to all service providers, so that a hearing person can call a VRS user through any VRS provider, and without having first to ascertain the VRS user's current IP address. The Commission also sought comment on nature of the proxy numbers that might be used and how they might be administered. The Commission sought comment on the role of the Commission in creating and maintaining the database. In the *Interoperability FNPRM*, the Commission recognized: (a) That when a hearing person contact a VRS user by calling a VRS provider, the calling party

has to know in advance the IP address of the VRS user so that the calling party can give that address to the VRS CA (b) that because most consumers' IP addresses are dynamic, the VRS consumer may not know the IP address of his or her VRS equipment at a particular time; (c) that some VRS providers have created their own database of "proxy" or "alias" numbers that associate with the IP address of their customers, even if a particular person's IP address is dynamic and changes; (d) that databases are maintained by the service provider and, generally, are not shared with other service providers; and (e) that a person desiring to call a VRS consumer via the consumer's proxy number can only use the services of the VRS provider that generates the number.

The *Interoperability FNPRM* contained the following information collection requirements involving an open, global database of VRS proxy numbers. The *Interoperability FNPRM* sought comment on: (1) Whether VRS providers should be required to provide information to populate an open, global database of VRS proxy numbers and to keep the information current; (2) whether the Interstate TRS Fund administrator, a separate entity, or a consortium of service providers should be responsible for the maintenance and operation of an open, global database of VRS proxy numbers; (3) whether Deaf and hard of hearing individuals using video broadband communication need uniform and static end-point numbers should be linked to the North American Numbering Plan (NANP) that would remain consistent across all VRS providers so that they can contact one another and be contacted to the same extent that Public Switched Telephone Network (PSTN) and VoIP users are able to identify and call one another; (4) whether participation by service providers should be mandatory so that all VRS users can receive incoming calls.

Federal Communications Commission.

**Marlene H. Dortch,**  
Secretary.

[FR Doc. E7-18058 Filed 9-12-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6712-01-P**

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

### Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Being Submitted for Review to the Office of Management and Budget

September 7, 2007.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection(s), as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of 1995, Public Law 104-13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

**DATES:** Written Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) comments should be submitted on or before October 15, 2007. If you anticipate that you will be submitting PRA comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the FCC contact listed below as soon as possible.

**ADDRESSES:** Direct all PRA comments to Nicholas A. Fraser, Office of Management and Budget, (202) 395-5887, or via fax at 202-395-5167 or via Internet at *Nicholas.A.Fraser@omb.eop.gov* and to *Judith-B.Herman@fcc.gov*, Federal Communications Commission, Room 1-B441, 445 12th Street, SW., DC 20554 or an e-mail to *PRA@fcc.gov*. If you would like to obtain or view a copy of this information collection, you may do so by visiting the FCC PRA Web page at: <http://www.fcc.gov/omd/pr>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For additional information or copies of the information collection(s), contact Judith

B. Herman at 202-418-0214 or via the Internet at [Judith-B.Herman@fcc.gov](mailto:Judith-B.Herman@fcc.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

*OMB Control Number:* 3060-1039.

*Title:* Nationwide Programmatic Agreement Regarding the Section 106 National Historic Preservation Act—Review Process, WT Docket No. 03-128.

*Form Nos.:* FCC Forms 620 and 621.

*Type of Review:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

*Respondents:* Business or other for-profit, not-for-profit institutions, and state, local or tribal government.

*Number of Respondents:* 12,000 respondents; 12,000 responses.

*Estimated Time per Response:* 1-10 hours.

*Frequency of Response:* On occasion reporting requirement, recordkeeping requirement and third party disclosure requirement.

*Obligation to Respond:* Required to obtain or retain benefits.

*Total Annual Burden:* 123,888 hours.

*Total Annual Cost:* \$9,225,000.

*Privacy Act Impact Assessment:* N/A.

*Nature and Extent of Confidentiality:*

In general, there is no need for confidentiality for respondents. On a case by case basis, the Commission may be required to withhold from disclosure certain information about the location, character, or ownership of historic property, including traditional religious sites.

*Needs and Uses:* The Commission will submit this information collection to the OMB as an extension (no change in reporting, recordkeeping or third party disclosure requirements) during this comment period to obtain the full three-year clearance from them. There is no change in the estimated number of respondents/responses, burden hours or annual costs.

This data is used by FCC staff, State Historic Preservation Officers (SHPO), Tribal Historic Preservation Officers (THPO), and the Advisory Council of Historic Preservation (ACHP) to take such action as may be necessary as to ascertain whether a proposed action may affect historic properties that are listed or eligible for listing in the National Register as directed by Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA), and the Commission's rules. FCC Form 620, New Tower (NT) Submission Packet is to be completed by or on behalf of applicants to construct new antenna support structures by or for the use of licensees of the FCC. The Packet is to be submitted to the State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO), or to the Tribal Historic Preservation Office (THPO), as appropriate, before any

construction or other installation activities on the site begin. Failure to provide the Submission Packet and complete the review process under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) prior to beginning construction may violate the NHPA and the Commission's rules.

FCC Form 621, Collocation (CO) Submission Packet is to be completed by or on behalf of applicants who wish to collocate an antenna or antennas on an existing communications tower or non-tower structure by or for the use of licensees of the FCC. The Packet (including Form CO and attachments) is to be submitted to the SHPO or to the THPO, as appropriate, before any construction or other installation activities on the site begin. Failure to provide the Submission Packet and complete the review process under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act prior to the beginning construction or other installation activities may violate the NHPA and the Commission's rules.

Federal Communications Commission.

**Marlene H. Dortch,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. E7-18060 Filed 9-12-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6712-01-P**

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION**

**Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission for Extension Under Delegated Authority, Comments Requested**

September 7, 2007.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection(s), as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance

the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

**DATES:** Persons wishing to comment on this information collection should submit comments November 13, 2007. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

**ADDRESSES:** Direct all PRA comments to Nicholas A. Fraser, Office of Management and Budget (OMB), (202) 395-5887, or via fax at 202-395-5167, or via the Internet at [Nicholas\\_A.\\_Fraser@omb.eop.gov](mailto:Nicholas_A._Fraser@omb.eop.gov) and to [Judith-B.Herman@fcc.gov](mailto:Judith-B.Herman@fcc.gov), Federal Communications Commission (FCC), Room 1-B441, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554. To submit your comments by e-mail send them to: [PRA@fcc.gov](mailto:PRA@fcc.gov). If you would like to obtain or view a copy of this information collection after the 60 day comment period, you may do so by visiting the FCC PRA Web page at: <http://www.fcc.gov/omd/pr>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For additional information about the information collection(s) send an e-mail to [PRA@fcc.gov](mailto:PRA@fcc.gov) or contact Judith B. Herman at 202-418-0214.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

*OMB Control No.:* 3060-1000.

*Title:* Section 87.147, Authorization of Equipment.

*Form No.:* N/A.

*Type of Review:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

*Respondents:* Business or other for-profit.

*Number of Respondents:* 25 respondents; 25 responses.

*Estimated Time per Response:* 1 hour.

*Frequency of Response:* On occasion and one-time reporting requirements, and third party disclosure requirement.

*Obligation to Respond:* Required to obtain or retain benefits.

*Total Annual Burden:* 25 hours.

*Annual Cost Burden:* N/A.

*Privacy Act Impact Assessment:* N/A.

*Nature and Extent of Confidentiality:*

There is no need for confidentiality. *Needs and Uses:* This collection will be submitted as an extension (no change in recordkeeping requirements) after this 60 day comment period to Office of Management and Budget (OMB) in order to obtain the full three year clearance from them. There is no change in the number of respondents/responses and the estimated burden hours.