cryptobiotic crust, which do not recover quickly.

• *Fisheries:* Presence of threatened, endangered and sensitive aquatic species. Potential stream crossings by OHVs. Trails located within riparian reserves.

• *Botany:* Impacts to sensitive plant, fungi, lichen and moss habitat, if users venture off designated routes. Increased potential to spread non-native invasive plants.

• *Law enforcement:* Capacity to enforce designated OHV routes and ability to keep users to the designated routes.

• *Fire and fuels:* Increased potential for fire starts, especially at staging areas.

• *Recreation:* Conflicts between user groups, particularly non-motorized and motorized trail use.

• *Social:* Increased accidents, noise and crime due to increased OHV use. Potential sanitation problems associated with the more people. Conflicts with local residents.

### **Alternatives Considered**

The No Action alternative will serve as a baseline for comparison of alternatives. This alternative will offer no treatment of affected sites. It will be fully developed and analyzed. The proposed action, as described above will be considered as an alternative. Additional alternatives may be developed around the proposed action to address key issues identified in the scoping and public involvement process.

# Estimated Dates for Draft and Final EIS

The draft EIS is expected to be filed with the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and to be available for public comment by April 2007. The comment period on the draft EIS will be 45 days from the date the EPA publishes the notice of availability in the **Federal Register.** 

The Forest Service believes, at this early state, it is important to give reviewers notice of several court rulings related to public participation in the environmental review process. First, reviewers of the draft EIS must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposal so that it is meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewer's position and contentions. Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. NRDC. 435 U.S. 519.553 (1978). Also, environmental objectives that could be raised at the draft EIS stage but that are not raised until after the completion of the final EIS may be waived or dismissed by the courts. City of Angoon v. Hodel, 803 F. 2d 1016, 1022 (9th Cir. 1986) and Wisconsin Heritage, Inc. v.

*Harris*, 490 F. Supp. 1334 (E.D. Wis. 1980). Because of these court rulings, it is very important that those interested in this proposed action participate by the close of the 45-day comment period; so that substantive comments and objections are made available to the Forest Service at a time when it can meaningfully consider them and respond to them in the final EIS.

To assist the Forest Service in identifying and considering issues and concerns on the proposed action, comments on the draft EIS should be as specific as possible. It is also helpful if the comments refer to specific pages or chapters of the draft statement. Comments may also address the adequacy of the draft EIS or the merits of the alternatives formulated and discussed in the statement. Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act (40 CFR 1503.3).

Comments received in response to this solicitation, including names and addresses of those who comment, will be considered part of the public record on this proposed action and will be available for public inspection. Comments submitted anonymously will be accepted and considered; however, those who submit anonymous comments may not have standing to appeal the subsequent decision under 36 CFR part 215. Additionally, pursuant to 7 CFR 1.27(d), any person may request the agency to withhold a submission from the public record by showing how the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) permits such confidentiality. Persons requesting such confidentiality should be aware that, under the FOIA, confidentiality may be granted in only very limited circumstances, such as to protect trade secrets. The Forest Service will inform the requester of the agency's decision regarding the request for confidentiality, and where the request is denied, the agency will return the submission and notify the requester that the comments may be resubmitted with or without name and address within a specified number of days.

Comments on the draft EIS will be analyzed, considered, and responded to by the Forest Service in preparing the final EIS. The final EIS is scheduled to be completed in June 2008. The Responsible Official will be Gary Larsen, Forest Supervisor of the Mt. Hood National Forest. He will consider comments, responses, environmental consequences discussed in the final EIS, and applicable laws, regulations, and policies in making a decision regarding this proposed action. The responsible official will document the decision and rationale for the decision in the Record of Decision. It will be subject to Forest Service Appeal Regulations (36 CFR part 215).

Dated: August 17, 2007.

#### Gary L. Larsen,

Forest Supervisor, Mt. Hood National Forest. [FR Doc. 07–4164 Filed 8–24–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–11–M

# DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

# National Agricultural Library

# Notice of Intent To Seek Approval To Collect Information

**AGENCY:** USDA, Agricultural Research Service, National Agricultural Library. **ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–13) and Office of Management and Budget (OMB) regulations at 5 CFR part 1320 (60 FR 44978, August 29, 1995), this notice announces the National Agricultural Library's intent to request approval for renewal information collection relating to existing nutrition education and training materials targeting low-income persons. This voluntary form gives Food Stamp nutrition education providers the opportunity to share resources that they have developed or used.

**DATES:** Comments on this notice must be received by 65 days after date of publication in the **Federal Register** to be assured of consideration.

ADDRESSES: Address all comments concerning this notice to Gina Hundley, Technical Information Specialist, Food and Nutrition Information Center, National Agricultural Library, 10301 Baltimore Avenue, Beltsville, MD 20705–2351, telephone (301) 504–5368 or fax (301) 504–6409.

Submit electronic comments to *ghundley@nal.usda.gov* 

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

*Title:* Food Stamp Nutrition Connection Resource Sharing Form.

*OMB Number:* 0518–0031. *Expiration Date:* Three years from

date of approval.

*Type of Request:* Renewal of existing data collection from Food Stamp nutrition education providers.

*Abstract:* This voluntary "Sharing Form" gives Food Stamp nutrition education providers the opportunity to share information about resources that they have developed or used. Data collected using this form helps the Food and Nutrition Information Center (FNIC) identify existing nutrition education and training resources for review and inclusion in an online database. Educators can search this database via the Food Stamp Nutrition Connection Web site http://foodstamp.nal.usda.gov. In 2001, the United States Department of Agriculture's (USDA) Food and Nutrition Service established the Food Stamp Nutrition Connection to improve access to Food Stamp Program nutrition resources. Educators nationwide can use this site to identify curricula, lesson plans, research, training tools and participant materials. Developed and maintained at the National Agricultural Library's FNIC, this resource system helps educators find the tools and information they need to provide quality nutrition education for lowincome audiences.

The Sharing Form is available for completion online at the Food Stamp Nutrition Connection Web site. Individuals may also print the form and return it via fax or mail. The form consists of four parts. These various sections include: Part 1 consisting of three questions about the responder; Part 2 with nine questions about the resource; Part 3 with five questions about the resource development; and Part 4 with six questions about ordering/obtaining the resource. Responders are asked to complete only relevant sections of the form. Instructions about which sections to complete, based on one's relationship to the resource, are provided in Part 1. For instance, those that use the resource but are neither its developer or distributor would only complete Parts 1 and 2.

This form enables FNIC to inform nutrition educators of existing nutrition education and training materials targeting low-income Americans. This identification of existing materials will help educators spend their monies wisely in the development of needed educational resources.

*Estimate of Burden:* Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 0.7 minutes per response.

*Respondents:* Food Stamp nutrition education providers.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 50 per year.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents:* 16.

#### Comments

Comments are invited on (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance for the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and the assumptions used; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who respond, including the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technology. Comments should be sent to the address in the preamble. All responses to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approval. All comments will become a matter of public record.

### Antoinette Betschart,

Associate Administrator, ARS. [FR Doc. E7–16847 Filed 8–24–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–03–P

# DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### Bureau of Industry and Security

## Deemed Export Advisory Committee; Notice of Partially Closed Meeting

The Deemed Export Advisory Committee (DEAC) will meet in an open session on Monday, September 10, 2007 from 9 a.m.–11 a.m. in the main auditorium of the Herbert C. Hoover building, 14th Street & Pennsylvania Avenue, Washington, DC 20230. Registration will begin at 8:30 a.m. The HCHB is easily accessible from the Federal Triangle metro stop. Public parking is available for a fee in the Ronald Reagan International Trade Center across 14th street.

The DEAC is a Federal Advisory Committee established in accordance with the requirements of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, as amended, 5 U.S.C. app. 2. It advises the Secretary of Commerce on deemed export licensing policy. A tentative agenda of topics for discussion is listed below. While these topics will likely be discussed, this list is not exhaustive and there may be discussion of other related items during the public session.

### September 10, 2007

#### Public Session

1. Introductory Remarks.

2. Current Deemed Export Control Policy Issues.

3. Technology Transfer Issues.

4. U.S. Industry Competitiveness.

5. U.S. Academic and Government Research Communities.

6. Industry, Academia and other Stakeholder Comments.

A limited number of seats will be available for the public session. Reservations will not be accepted. To the extent time permits, members of the general public may present oral statements to the DEAC. The general public may submit written statements at any time before or after the meeting. However, to facilitate distribution to DEAC members, BIS suggests that general public presentation materials or comments be forwarded before the meeting to Ms. Yvette Springer at *Yspringer@bis.doc.gov.* 

### September 10, 2007

### Closed Session

The DEAC will also meet in a closed session on Monday, September 10, 2007, from approximately 11 a.m.-4:30 p.m. During the closed session, there will be discussion of matters determined to be exempt from the provisions relating to public meetings found in 5 U.S.C. app. 2 sections 10(a)(1) and 10(a)(3). The Assistant Secretary for Administration formally determined on September 20, 2007 pursuant to Section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, as amended (5 U.S.C. app. 2 section (10(d)), that the portion of the meeting concerning trade secrets and commercial or financial information deemed privileged or confidential as described in 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(4), the portion of the meeting concerning matters the premature disclosure of which would be likely to significantly frustrate implementation of an agency action as described in 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(9)(B), and the portion of the meeting dealing with matters that are (A) specifically authorized under criteria established by an Executive Order to be kept secret in the interests of national defense or foreign policy and (B) in fact properly classified pursuant to such Executive Order (5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(1)(A) and (10(B)), shall be exempt from the provisions relating to public meetings found in 5 U.S.C. app. 2 sections 10(a)(1) and 10(a)(3). All other portions of the DEAC meeting will be open to the public.

For more information, please call Yvette Springer at (202) 482–2813.

Dated: August 22, 2007.

#### **Yvette Springer**,

Committee Liaison Officer. [FR Doc. 07–4185 Filed 8–24–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–JT–M