appropriate? Should reporting units be dependent on the reproductive methodology of the crop (e.g., seeds, bulbs, or tubers)? Given your response to Unit IV.1.a., what types of production reporting would provide the Agency with information valuable for compliance assurance purposes and for managing any potential risks associated with a violation?

c. *Recordkeeping and inspection* (Unit III.3.). What establishments or other locations are appropriate to be inspected for records and samples, and what records would be appropriate for producers of PIPs to maintain?

d. *Labeling* (Unit III.4.). Please comment on current labeling practices for PIPs. Are current labeling practices sufficient? For example, do grower agreements offer sufficient information and compliance assurance to ensure registered PIPs are used in a manner that protects human health and the environment? Are there circumstances where labeling different from that currently in practice for PIPs may be appropriate?

e. Experimental use permits (Unit III.5.). Are there aspects of production in association with PIP EUPs that are different from production associated with other types of pesticides used in EUPs? If there are differences, how should they be addressed for PIP EUPs?

f. *Production for export* (Unit III.6.). What conditions would ensure that a PIP is intended for export only, and what would be necessary for such a PIP to meet the requirements of FIFRA?

2. Are there other characteristics not described in this document unique to PIPs that may affect the application of the existing regulations associated with pesticide establishments and pesticide production to PIP producers?

3. Are there additional sections of FIFRA implementing regulations related to pesticide establishment and production regulations that should be modified to more effectively address the unique characteristics of PIPs?

## V. Do Any Statutory or Executive Order Reviews Apply to this Action?

Under Executive Order 12866, entitled *Regulatory Planning and Review* (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993), the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has determined that ANPRMs are considered "significant regulatory actions" under section 3(f) of the Executive Order. The Agency therefore submitted this document to OMB for the 10–day review period afforded under this Executive order. Any changes made in response to OMB comments during that review have been documented in the docket as required by the Executive order.

Since this ANPRM does not impose or propose any requirements, and instead seeks comments and suggestions for the Agency to consider in possibly developing a subsequent proposed rule, the various other review requirements that apply when an agency imposes requirements do not apply to this action.

As part of your comments on this ANPRM, you may include any comments or information that you have regarding this action. In particular, any comments or information that would help the Agency to assess the potential impact of a rule on small entities pursuant to the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.); to consider voluntary consensus standards pursuant to section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (NTTAA), Public Law 104-113, section 12(d) (15 U.S.C. 272 note); to consider environmental health or safety effects on children pursuant to Executive Order 13045, entitled Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997); or to consider human health or environmental effects on minority or low-income populations pursuant to Executive Order 12898, entitled Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994). The Agency will consider such comments during the development of any subsequent notice of proposed rulemaking as it takes appropriate steps to address any applicable requirements.

# List of Subjects in 40 CFR Parts 152, 156, 167, 168, 169, 172, 174

Environmental protection, Pesticides and pests, Plant-incorporated protectants, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: March 22, 2007. **Stephen L. Johnson,**  *Administrator.* [FR Doc. E7–6151 Filed 4–3–07; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 6560–50–S** 

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

## 47 CFR Part 73

[DA 07-1350; MB Docket No. 04-319; RM-10984]

## Radio Broadcasting Services; Clinchco, VA and Coal Run, KY

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule, denial.

SUMMARY: This document denies a petition for rule making filed by East Kentucky Broadcasting Corp. ("Petitioner") proposing to substitute Channel 221C3 for Channel 276A at Coal Run and modify the license of Station WPKE–FM to reflect the channel upgrade. To accommodate the channel upgrade, Petitioner proposes to substitute Channel 276Å for Channel 221A at Clinchco, Virginia and modify the license of Station WDIC-FM to reflect the channel substitution. However, Petitioner's proposed site is unacceptable due to major terrain obstruction that prevents the requisite 70 dBu signal over the entire community of license.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Robert Hayne, Media Bureau, (202) 418–2177.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This is a synopsis of the Commission's Report and Order, MB Docket No. 04-319, adopted March 16, 2007, and released March 20, 2007. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during regular business hours at the FCC's Reference Information Center, Portals II, 445 Twelfth Street, SW., Room CY-A257, Washington, DC 20554. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's duplicating contractor, Best Copy and Printing, Inc., 445 12th Street, SW., Room CY-B402, Washington, DC 20554, telephone 1-800-378-3160 or http:// www.BCPIWEB.com.

This document is not subject to the Congressional Review Act. (The Commission, is, therefore, not required to submit a copy of this Report and Order to the Government Accountability Office pursuant to the Congressional Review Act, *see* 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A) because the proposed rule is denied.)

Federal Communications Commission. John A. Karousos,

Assistant Chief, Audio Division, Media Bureau.

[FR Doc. E7–6258 Filed 4–3–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6712–01–P