Paperwork Reduction Act

This document contains collection-ofinformation requirements subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA). The use of Standard Forms 424, 424A, 424B, and SF–LLL and CD–346 has been approved by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) under the respective control numbers 0348–0043, 0348–0044, 0348–0040, 0348–0046, and 0605–0001.

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person is required to, nor shall a person be subject to a penalty for failure to comply with, a collection of information subject to the requirements of the PRA unless that collection of information displays a currently valid OMB control number.

Executive Order 12866

This notice has been determined to be not significant for purposes of Executive Order 12866.

Executive Order 13132 (Federalism)

It has been determined that this notice does not contain policies with Federalism implications as that term is defined in Executive Order 13132.

Administrative Procedure Act/ Regulatory Flexibility Act

Prior notice and an opportunity for public comment are not required by the Administrative Procedure Act or any other law for rules concerning public property, loans, grants, benefits, and contracts (5 U.S.C. 553(a)(2)). Because notice and opportunity for comment are not required pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 553 or any other law, the analytical requirements for the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*) are inapplicable. Therefore, a regulatory flexibility analysis has not been prepared.

Dated: May 2, 2007.

Daniel L. Clever,

Deputy Director, NOAA Acquisitions and Grants, U.S. Department of Commerce. [FR Doc. E7–8714 Filed 5–7–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–12–P

CORPORATION FOR NATIONAL AND COMMUNITY SERVICE

Information Collection; Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

AGENCY: Corporation for National and Community Service.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Corporation for National and Community Service (hereinafter the "Corporation"), has submitted a public information collection request (ICR)

entitled the Peer Reviewer Application Instructions to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Pub. L. 104–13, (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). Copies of this ICR, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained by calling the Corporation for National and Community Service, Ms. Vielka Garibaldi, at (202) 606–6886, (vgaribaldi@cns.gov). Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TTY/TDD) may call at (202) 565-2799 between 8:30 a.m. and 5 p.m. Eastern Standard Time, Monday through Friday.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be submitted, identified by the title of the information collection activity, to the Office of information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: Ms. Katherine Astrich, OMB Desk Office for the Corporation for National and Community Service, by any of the following two methods within 30 days from the date of publication in this **Federal Register**.

(1) *By fax to:* (202) 395–6974, Attention: Ms. Katherine Astrich, OMB Desk Officer for the Corporation for National and Community Service; and

(2) Electronically by e-mail to: Katherine_T._Astrich@omb.eop.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The OMB is particularly interested in comments which:

• Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Corporation, including whether the information will have practical utility;

• Evaluate the accuracy of the Corporation's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

• Propose ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and

• Propose ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses.

Comments

A 60-day public comment Notice was published in the **Federal Register** on February 1, 2007. This comment period ended on April 2, 2007; no comments were received from this notice.

Description: The Corporation is seeking approval of the Peer Reviewer

Application Instructions form which will be used by Grant Review Specialists in the Office of Grant Policy and Operations to select peer reviewers and facilitators for each grant competition. All individuals interested in applying as peer reviewers or facilitators of the peer review panels will be required to complete an electronic application. The current application is due to expire on October 31, 2007. Modifications include instructions related to log-in into eGrants and enhancements to the personal profile, contact information section, and areas of expertise.

Type of Review: Renewal.

Agency: Corporation for National and Community Service.

Title: Peer Reviewer Application. *OMB Number:* 3045–0090. *Agency Number:* None.

Affected Public: Individuals who are interested in serving as peer reviewers or peer review panel facilitators.

Total Respondents: 2,500.

Frequency: One (1) time and updates as needed.

Average Time per Response: 40 minutes.

Estimated Total Burden Hours: 1,666 hours.

Total Burden Cost (capital/startup): None.

Total Burden Cost (operating/ maintenance): None.

Dated: April 17, 2007.

Marlene Zakai,

Director, Office of Grants Policy and Operation.

[FR Doc. E7–8713 Filed 5–7–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6050–\$\$–P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Army

Surplus Properties; Notice

AGENCY: Department of the Army; DoD. **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice provides information regarding the properties that have been determined surplus to the United States needs in accordance with the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, Public Law 101–510, as amended, and the 2005 Base Closure and Realignment Commission Report, as approved, and following screening with Federal agencies and Department of Defense components. This Notice amends the Notice published in the **Federal Register** (71 FR 26930) on May 9, 2006. **DATES:** Effective May 8, 2007.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Headquarters, Department of the Army, Assistant Chief of Staff for Installation Management, Base Realignment and Closure Division, *Attn:* BAIM–BD, 600 Army Pentagon, Washington DC 20310– 0600. (703) 601–2418. For information regarding a specified property listed below, contact the Army BRAC Division at *ArmyBRAC2005@hqda.army.mil* or at the mailing address above.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Under the provisions of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949, as amended, the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, as amended, and other public benefit conveyance authorities, this surplus property may be available for conveyance to State and local governments and other eligible entities for public benefit purposes. Notices of interest from representatives of the homeless, and other interested parties located in the vicinity of any listed surplus property should be submitted to the Local Redevelopment Authority (LRA) listed on the Office of Economic Adjustment Web site: http:// www.oea.gov/oeaweb.nsf/ LRA?readform. Some of the surplus properties do not have a currently recognized LRA and therefore can not accept notices of interest. If no LRLA is listed, homeless and other interest parties may send a request to the Army at the e-mail address listed above to be notified once a LRA is recognized. The properties are listed by State. Additional information for these of any Army BRAC 2005 plus property may be found at http://www.hqda.army.mil/acsimweb/ brac/braco.htm.

Surplus Property List

1. Addition

Arkansas

Camden—Camden USARC, 2185 Highway 79 NW; A LRA has not yet been recognized.

Connecticut

New Haven—SGT George D. Libby USARC, 200 Wintergreen Avenue; A LRA has not yet been recognized.

New York

Bronx—SGT Joseph E. Muller USARC, 555 East 238th Street; A LRA has not yet been recognized.

Pennsylvania

Scranton—CSM Samuel P. Serrenti Memorial USARC, 1801 Pine Street; A LRA has not yet been recognized.

Washington

Everett—MAJ David P. Oswald USARC, 1110 Rainer Avenue; A LRA has not yet been recognized.

Wisconsin

Madison—Truman Olson USARC, 1402 South Park Street; A LRA has not been recognized.

2. Correction

Tennessee

Chattanooga—Chattanooga (Volunteer Army Ammunition Plant) USARC (BLDG 228) 6703d Bonny Oaks Dive; Notice corrects surplus acreage from 15 acres to one acre. A LRA Has not yet been recognized.

Authority: This action is authorized by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, Title XXIX of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1991, Pub. L. 101–510; the Base Closure Community Redevelopment and Homeless Assistance Act of 1994, Pub. L. 103–421; the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1994, Division B of Pub. L. 103–106; and 10 U.S.C. 113.

Dated: April 27, 2007.

William T. Birney,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Army (Installations and H Housing). [FR Doc. 07–2262 Filed 5–7–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3710–08–M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Army

Notice of Availability of the Record of Decision for the Fort Bliss, Texas and New Mexico, Mission Master Plan Final Supplemental Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement

AGENCY: Department of the Army, DOD. **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of the Army announces the availability of the Record of Decision (ROD) for the Final Supplemental Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement (SEIS) identifying the potential environmental effects of changing land and airspace use at Fort bliss to support evolving changes in missions and units and support Army Transformation, Integrated Global Presence and Basing Strategy (IGPBS), Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC), the Army Campaign Plan, and other Army initiatives.

The Final SEIS supplements the Fort Bliss, Texas and New Mexico, Mission Master Plan Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement (PEIS), for which a Record of Decision was signed in 2001. **DATES:** The waiting period for the Final SEIS ended April 23, 2007.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Jean Offutt, Public Affairs Officer, IMSW–BLS–PA; Fort Bliss, TX 79916– 6812; *telephone:* (915) 568–4505; fax: (915) 568–2995; e-mail: *jean.offutt@bliss.army.mil.*

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Proposed Action (Alternative 4) is selected in this ROD. The Proposed Action changes land use in the Main Cantonment Area to support units assigned to Fort Bliss under BRAC, and in the Fort Bliss Training Complex to support construction of live-fire ranges and designation of off-road maneuver space needed to train those solders to doctrinal standards. This alternative opens to heavy off-road vehicle maneuver 352,000 acres in the Tularosa Basin portion of McGregor Range, for a total off-road vehicle maneuver space of 687,000 acres, and changes land use in the Main Cantonment to accommodate increases in military personnel. Selection of this alternative also accommodates units and missions in addition to the BRAC package, and maintains flexibility to meet future requirements. Those portions of McGregor Range outside the Tularosa Basin, specifically Otero Mesa and the Sacramento Mountain foothills, will not experience changes in land use.

The final SEIS analyzed impacts from the No Action Alternative and four action alternatives in 14 resource areas; land use, Main Cantonment Area infrastructure, airspace use and management, earth resources, air quality, water resources, biological resources, cultural resources, noise, safety, hazardous materials and items of special concern, socioeconomics, and environmental justice. The affected environment included the Fort Bliss Main Cantonment Area, the Fort Bliss Training Complex, and adjacent off-post areas that may be affected by the proposed changes on Fort Bliss. The region of influence (ROI) varies among resource topics but generally consists of a three-county area comprised of El Paso County in Texas and Doña Ana and Otero Counties in New Mexico.

From a land use perspective, the No Action Alternative would be the environmentally preferable alternative. However, it would not meet the Army's need to provide training to doctrinal standards to the units stationed at Fort bliss. After the No Action Alternative, Alternative 1 is environmentally preferable in comparison to the other action alternatives because it would limit the effects of off-road vehicle maneuver training on McGregor Range