http://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/ PRAMain, or by calling the Department of Labor Departmental Clearance Officer, Ira Mills, on 202–693–4122.

Comments and questions about the ICR listed below should be submitted to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: OMB Desk Officer for the Employment and Training Administration (ETA), Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503 (202–395–7316), and received prior to the requested OMB approval date.

The Office of Management and Budget is particularly interested in comments which:

• Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

• Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

 Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

• Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses.

Agency: Employment and Training Administration.

Title: Evaluation of State Implementation of Section 303(k) of the Social Security Act.

OMB Number: 1205–0NEW. Frequency: One-time Survey. Affected Public: State Government. Type of Response: Reporting. Number of Respondents: 53. Estimated Time Per Respondent: 2 hours.

Total Burden Hours: 106.

Total Burden Cost (capital/startup): 0. Total Burden Cost (operating/ cintaining): 0

maintaining): 0.

Description: The collection of this information is necessary to examine state implementation of section 303(k) of the Social Security Act (SSA) as to (1) status and effectiveness of state actions to meet the requirement of the law and operation guidance, and (2) whether the Secretary of Labor (Secretary) should recommend Congressional action to make revisions to the law. On August 9, 2004, Public Law (Pub.L.) 108–295, the "State Unemployment Tax Act (SUTA) Dumping Prevention Act of 2004" (Act)

was enacted which amended section 303(k) of the SSA by establishing a minimum nationwide standard for curbing a unemployment compensation tax rate manipulation schemes known as SUTA dumping. The Act requires the Secretary of Labor to conduct a study of state implementation and report to Congress by July 15, 2007 at section 2, F(b)(1). ETA now requests emergency approval to enable ETA's contractor sufficient time to distribute, collect, and analyze the state survey results and incorporate them into the study findings before the July 15, 2007, statutorily mandated submission date for the Secretary's report to Congress. The timing of the survey design and review request corresponds with the states' implementation of the detection systems, which began after enactment of the Federal law. If the survey design and distribution predated the implementation of state detection systems, states would be unable to provide useful data on the status and effectiveness of state actions to meet the requirement(s) of the Federal law and operation guidance.

Ira L. Mills,

Departmental Clearance Officer. [FR Doc. E7–4567 Filed 3–13–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–23–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Notice of Determinations Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor herein presents summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance for workers (TA–W) number and alternative trade adjustment assistance (ATAA) by (TA–W) number issued during the period of February 26 through March 2, 2007.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made for workers of a primary firm and a certification issued regarding eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance, each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222(a) of the Act must be met.

I. Section (a)(2)(A) all of the following must be satisfied:

A. A significant number or proportion of the workers in such workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision of the firm, have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

B. The sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely; and

C. Increased imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by such firm or subdivision have contributed importantly to such workers' separation or threat of separation and to the decline in sales or production of such firm or subdivision; or

II. Section (a)(2)(B) both of the following must be satisfied:

A. A significant number or proportion of the workers in such workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision of the firm, have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

B. There has been a shift in production by such workers' firm or subdivision to a foreign country of articles like or directly competitive with articles which are produced by such firm or subdivision; and

C. One of the following must be satisfied:

1. The country to which the workers' firm has shifted production of the articles is a party to a free trade agreement with the United States;

2. The country to which the workers' firm has shifted production of the articles to a beneficiary country under the Andean Trade Preference Act, African Growth and Opportunity Act, or the Caribbean Basin Economic Recovery Act; or

3. There has been or is likely to be an increase in imports of articles that are like or directly competitive with articles which are or were produced by such firm or subdivision.

Also, in order for an affirmative determination to be made for secondarily affected workers of a firm and a certification issued regarding eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance, each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222(b) of the Act must be met.

(1) Significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm or an appropriate subdivision of the firm have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

(2) The workers' firm (or subdivision) is a supplier or downstream producer to a firm (or subdivision) that employed a group of workers who received a certification of eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance benefits and such supply or production is related to the article that was the basis for such certification; and (3) Either-

(A) The workers' firm is a supplier and the component parts it supplied for the firm (or subdivision) described in paragraph (2) accounted for at least 20 percent of the production or sales of the workers' firm; or

(B) A loss of business by the workers' firm with the firm (or subdivision) described in paragraph (2) contributed importantly to the workers' separation or threat of separation.

In order for the Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance to issue a certification of eligibility to apply for Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance (ATAA) for older workers, the group eligibility requirements of Section 246(a)(3)(A)(ii) of the Trade Act must be met.

1. Whether a significant number of workers in the workers' firm are 50 years of age or older.

2. Whether the workers in the workers' firm possess skills that are not easily transferable.

3. The competitive conditions within the workers' industry (*i.e.*, conditions within the industry are adverse).

Affirmative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance

The following certifications have been issued. The date following the company name and location of each determination references the impact date for all workers of such determination.

The following certifications have been issued. The requirements of Section 222(a)(2)(A) (increased imports) of the Trade Act have been met. *None.*

The following certifications have been issued. The requirements of Section 222(a)(2)(B) (shift in production) of the Trade Act have been met.

- TA–W–60,859; Eaton Corporation, Aerospace Division, Phelps, NY: January 30, 2006.
- TA-W-60,806; Berwick Offray LLC, Berwick Division, Berwick, PA: July 8, 2006.

The following certifications have been issued. The requirements of Section 222(b) (supplier to a firm whose workers are certified eligible to apply for TAA) of the Trade Act have been met.

TA–W–60,885; Johnson Controls, Inc., Automotive Division, Hudson, WI: February 1, 2006.

The following certifications have been issued. The requirements of Section 222(b) (downstream producer for a firm whose workers are certified eligible to apply for TAA based on increased imports from or a shift in production to Mexico or Canada) of the Trade Act have been met.

None.

Affirmative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance

The following certifications have been issued. The date following the company name and location of each determination references the impact date for all workers of such determination.

The following certifications have been issued. The requirements of Section 222(a)(2)(A) (increased imports) and Section 246(a)(3)(A)(ii) of the Trade Act have been met.

- TA-W-60,789; WestPoint Home, Inc., Transportation Center, Valley, AL: January 19, 2006.
- TA-W-60,799; Ecoquest Holding Corporation, Greeneville, TN: January 19, 2006.
- TA–W–60,871; Forefront Group, Inc., Assembly Group, Springfield, TN: January 16, 2006.
- TA-W-60,941; Hoover Precision Products, Inc., A Subsidiary of TBK Holdings, Incorporated, East Granby, CT: February 2, 2006.
- TA–W–60,953; Broyhill Furniture Industries, Inc., Lenoir Chair #55, Central Fabric Division, Lenoir, NC: January 7, 2006.
- TA–W–60,703; Thyssenkrupp Budd, Detroit, MI: December 13, 2005.
- TA-W-60,805; Saxonburg Ceramics, Inc., Saxonburg, PA: January 19, 2006.
- TA–W–60,812; Sloma Holdings, Inc., d/ b/a J.S. Mold and Die, Byron Center, MI: January 19, 2006.
- TA–W–60,935; Georgia Narrow Fabrics, Jessup, GA: January 26, 2006.
- TA-W-60,665; American and Efird, Inc., d/b/a Robison Anton Textile Co., Formerly Robison Anton Textile Co., Clarks Summit, PA: December 20, 2005.

The following certifications have been issued. The requirements of Section 222(a)(2)(B) (shift in production) and Section 246(a)(3)(A)(ii) of the Trade Act have been met.

- TA–W–60,764; Lear Corporation, Interior Systems Division, Madisonville, KY: January 12, 2006.
- TA–W–60,814; Pall Life Sciences, Division of Gelman Sciences, Inc., Ann Arbor, MI: January 19, 2006.
- TA–W–60,824; Hamilton Sundstrand, Rockford Manufacturing Group, Rockford, IL: January 19, 2006.
- TA–W–60,852; Rolls-Royce Energy Systems, Inc., Machine Shop, Mount Vernon, OH: January 29, 2006.

- TA–W–60,860; Stabilus, Inc., Gastonia, NC: February 19, 2007.
- TA–W–60,877; SYZYGY, Inc., Subsidiary of Kimberly-Clark Corporation, Waco, TX: January 31, 2006.
- TA–W–60,889; Forney Corporation, A Subsidiary of United Technologies, Carrollton, TX: January 31, 2006.
- TA–W–60,930; Helikon Furniture LLC, Taftville, CT: February 8, 2006.
- TA-W-60,986; Sardelli International LLC, Providence, RI: February 14, 2006.
- TA–W–60,922; RB&W Manufacturing LLC, Kent, OH: February 5, 2006.
- TA–W–60,964; Federal Mogul, Inc., Brake and Chassis Division, St. Louis, MO: February 13, 2006.

The following certifications have been issued. The requirements of Section 222(b) (supplier to a firm whose workers are certified eligible to apply for TAA) and Section 246(a)(3)(A)(ii) of the Trade Act have been met.

TA–W–60,844; Lear Corporation, Interior Systems Division (ISD), Strasburg, VA: January 28, 2006.

The following certifications have been issued. The requirements of Section 222(b) (downstream producer for a firm whose workers are certified eligible to apply for TAA based on increased imports from or a shift in production to Mexico or Canada) and Section 246(a)(3)(A)(ii) of the Trade Act have been met.

None.

Negative Determinations for Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance

In the following cases, it has been determined that the requirements of 246(a)(3)(A)(ii) have not been met for the reasons specified.

The Department has determined that criterion (1) of Section 246 has not been met. Workers at the firm are 50 years of age or older.

None.

The Department has determined that criterion (2) of Section 246 has not been met. Workers at the firm possess skills that are easily transferable.

TA–W–60,859; Eaton Corporation, Aerospace Division, Phelps, NY.

- TA–W–60,806; Berwick Offray LLC, Berwick Division, Berwick, PA.
- TA–W–60,885; Johnson Controls, Inc., Automotive Division, Hudson, WI.

The Department has determined that criterion (3) of Section 246 has not been met. Competition conditions within the workers' industry are not adverse. *None.*

Negative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance

In the following cases, the investigation revealed that the eligibility criteria for worker adjustment assistance have not been met for the reasons specified.

[•] Because the workers of the firm are not eligible to apply for TAA, the workers cannot be certified eligible for ATAA.

The investigation revealed that criteria (a)(2)(A)(I.A.) and (a)(2)(B)(II.A.) (employment decline) have not been met.

TA–W–60,806A; Berwick Offray LLC, South Centre, Bloomsburg, PA.

The investigation revealed that criteria (a)(2)(A)(I.B.) (Sales or production, or both, did not decline) and (a)(2)(B)(II.B.) (shift in production to a foreign country) have not been met.

- TA–W–60,794; Peterbilt Motors Company, A Subsidiary of PACCAR Incorporated, Madison, TN.
- TA–W–60,890; Maloney Tool and Mold, Inc., Meadville, PA.
- TA–W–60,900; Martinrea Industries, Inc., Clare, MI.

The investigation revealed that criteria (a)(2)(A)(I.C.) (increased imports) and (a)(2)(B)(II.B.) (shift in production to a foreign country) have not been met.

- TA–W–60,186; Deltak, LLC, Plymouth, MN.
- TA–W–60,594; Ampac Spanish Fork, LLC, Spanish Fork, UT.
- TA-W-60,729; Greif, Inc., Formerly Know As G.C.C. Drum, Franklin Park, IL.
- TA–W–60,884; C.A. Lawton Company, Machinery Division, De Pere, WI.
- TA–W–60,726; CNI Duluth, LLC, Duluth, MN.

The investigation revealed that the predominate cause of worker separations is unrelated to criteria (a)(2)(A)(I.C.) (increased imports) and (a)(2)(B)(II.C) (shift in production to a foreign country under a free trade agreement or a beneficiary country under a preferential trade agreement, or there has been or is likely to be an increase in imports).

None.

The workers' firm does not produce an article as required for certification under Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974.

- TA–W–60,634; Time Warner Cable, Coudersport, PA.
- TA–W–60,798; Leica Geosystems GRR, LLC, A Division of Leica Geosystems, Inc., Grand Rapids, MI.
- TA–W–60,902; Tenet Healthcare, Patient Financial Services,

Syndicated Office Systems, Corvallis, OR.

- TA-W-60,939; New Orleans Cuisine, Working On-Site at Guide Louisiana, LLC, Grambling, LA.
- TA–W–60,981; Sunbeam Products Inc., d/b/a Jarden Consumer Solutions, Milford, MA.

The investigation revealed that criteria of Section 222(b)(2) has not been met. The workers' firm (or subdivision) is not a supplier to or a downstream producer for a firm whose workers were certified eligible to apply for TAA. *None.*

I hereby certify that the aforementioned determinations were issued during the period of February 26 through March 2, 2007. Copies of these determinations are available for inspection in Room C–5311, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210 during normal business hours or will be mailed to persons who write to the above address.

Dated: March 6, 2007.

Ralph Dibattista,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E7–4572 Filed 3–13–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–FN–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-60,313]

Fairystone Fabrics Burlington, NC; Dismissal of Application for Reconsideration

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(C) an application for administrative reconsideration was filed with the Director of the Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance for workers at Fairystone Fabrics, Burlington, North Carolina. The application did not contain new information supporting a conclusion that the determination was erroneous, and also did not provide a justification for reconsideration of the determination that was based on either mistaken facts or a misinterpretation of facts or of the law. Therefore, dismissal of the application was issued.

TA–W–60,313; Fairystone Fabrics, Burlington, North Carolina (March 1, 2007).

Signed at Washington, DC this 6th day of March 2007.

Ralph Dibattista,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E7-4575 Filed 3-13-07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-60,568]

Fiberweb (Reemay, Inc.), Bethune, SC; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273), and Section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended (19 U.S.C. 2813), the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance on January 17, 2007, applicable to workers of Fiberweb, Inc., Bethune, South Carolina. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on February 7, 2007 (72 FR 5748).

At the request of the State agency, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. The workers produce nonwoven textile fabric. The State reports that the subject firm's employee wages are reported under the Unemployment Insurance (UI) account for Reemay, Inc.

The Department has learned through follow-up with a company official that the firm's name is Fiberweb and Reemay, Inc. is the affiliated entity that processes the payroll.

It is the Department's intent of the certification to include all workers of the subject firm adversely affected by increased imports of nonwoven textiles. Accordingly, the Department is amending the certification to include workers of the subject firm whose wages are reported under the company name, Reemay, Inc.

The amended notice applicable to TA–W–60,568 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of Fiberweb (Reemay, Inc.), Bethune, South Carolina, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after December 8, 2005 through January 17, 2009, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, and are also eligible to apply for alternative trade adjustment assistance under Section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 1st day of March 2007.

Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E7–4578 Filed 3–13–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–FN–P