## POSTAL SERVICE

## **Sunshine Act Meeting**

**DATE AND TIME:** Tuesday, May 1, 2007, at 2:30 p.m.; and Wednesday, May 2, 2007, at 8:30 a.m. and 10:30 a.m.

**PLACE:** Washington, DC, at U.S. Postal Service Headquarters, 475 L'Enfant Plaza, SW., in teh Benjamin Franklin Room.

**STATUS:** May 1—2:30 p.m.—Closed; May 2—8:30 a.m.—Open; May 2—10:30 a.m.—Closed.

#### MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

Tuesday, May 1 at 2:30 p.m. (Closed)

- 1. Strategic Issues.
- 2. Rate Case Update.
- 3. Financial Update.
- 4. Labor Negotiations Update.
- 5. Personnel Matters and

Compensation Issues.

6. Governors' Executive Session— Discussion of prior agenda items and Board Governance.

Wednesday, May 2 at 8:30 a.m. (Open)

1. Minutes of the Previous Meetings, January 9–10; February 27; March 6, 14, 16, 19 and 28, 2007.

Remarks of the Chairman and Vice Chairman of the Board.

3. Remarks of the Postmaster General and CEO Jack Potter.

4. Committee Reports.

5. Board of Governors Bylaw Amendments.

6. Capital Investment.

a. Additional Delivery Bar Code Sorters and Stacker Modules.

7. Quarterly Report on Service Performance.

8. Quarterly Report on Finance Performance.

9. Integrated Financial Plan Addendum.

10. Tentative Agenda for the June 19–20, 2007, meeting in Washington, DC.

Wednesday, May 2 at 10:30 a.m. (Closed)—if Needed

1. Continuation of Tuesday's closed session agenda.

**CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:** Wendy A. Hocking, Secretary of the Board, U.S. Postal Service, 475 L'Enfant Plaza, SW., Washington, DC 20260– 1000. Telephone (202) 268–4800.

## Wendy A. Hocking,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 07–2040 Filed 4–19–07; 3:40 pm] BILLING CODE 7710–12–M

## RAILROAD RETIREMENT BOARD

#### Computer Matching and Privacy Protection Act of 1988; Records Used in Computer Matching Programs

**AGENCY:** Railroad Retirement Board (RRB).

**ACTION:** Notice of records used in computer matching programs notification to individuals who are receiving or have received benefits under the Railroad Unemployment Insurance Act.

**SUMMARY:** As required by the Computer Matching and Privacy Protection Act of 1988, the RRB is issuing a public notice of its use and intent to use, in ongoing computer matching programs, certain information obtained from state agencies with respect to individuals who received benefits under the Railroad Unemployment Insurance Act. The information may consist of either (1) report of unemployment or sickness payments made by the state for the same period that benefits were paid by the RRB or (2) wages and names and addresses of employers who reported wages to the state for the same period that benefits were paid by the RRB.

The purpose of this notice is to advise individuals applying for or receiving benefits under the Railroad Unemployment Insurance Act of the use made by the RRB of this information obtained from state agencies by means of a computer match.

**DATES:** Submit comments on or before June 22, 2007.

**ADDRESSES:** Address any comments concerning this notice to Beatrice Ezerski, Secretary to the Board, Railroad Retirement Board, 844 North Rush Street, Chicago, Illinois 60611–2092.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Mary Prosser, Railroad Retirement Board, 844 North Rush Street, Chicago, Illinois 60611–2092, telephone number (312) 751–4965.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Under certain circumstances, the Computer Matching and Privacy Protection Act of 1988, Pub. L. 100–503, requires a Federal agency participating in a computer matching program to publish a notice in the **Federal Register** regarding the establishment of that matching program. Such a notice must include information in the following first five categories:

*Name of Participating Agencies:* The Railroad Retirement Board and agencies of all 50 states.

*Purpose of the Match:* To identify individuals who have improperly collected benefits provided by the RRB

while earning remuneration in nonrailroad employment or while collecting unemployment or sickness benefits paid by a state agency.

Authority for Conducting the Match: 45 U.S.C. Sections 231(b) and 362(f) and 42 U.S.C. Section 503(c)(1).

*Categories of Records and Individuals Covered:* All recipients of benefits under the Railroad Unemployment Insurance Act during a given period who reside in the states with which the RRB has negotiated a matching program agreement. Records furnished by the states are covered under Privacy Act system of records RRB–21, Railroad Unemployment and Sickness Insurance Benefit System.

Inclusive Dates of the Matching Program: Agreements with the individual states will run for either 12 or 18 months. The number of matches conducted with each state during the period of the match will vary from state to state, ranging from 2 to 4 depending on whether the agreement provides for matches to be conducted quarterly or every six months.

*Procedure:* The RRB will furnish the state agency a file of records. The data elements will consist of beneficiary identifying information, such as the name and Social Security Number (SSN), as well as the overall period during which the individual received benefits under the Railroad Unemployment Insurance. The state agency will match on the identifying information.

If the matching operation reveals that the individual who had received benefits under the Railroad Unemployment Insurance Act also received either unemployment or sickness insurance benefits from the state for any days in the period, the state agency will notify the RRB. Depending on arrangements made between the two jurisdictions, and, in the case of state sickness benefits on the applicable state law, either the RRB or the state agency will attempt to recover the amount of the duplicate payments.

If the matching operation reveals that wages had been reported for the individual during the requested period, the state will notify the RRB of this fact and furnish a breakdown of the wages and the name and address of each employer who reported earnings for the individual. The RRB will then write each employer who reported earnings for the individual for the given period. Only if the employment is verified will the RRB take action to recover the overpayment. If the RRB benefits had been paid under the Railroad Unemployment Insurance Act, recovery is limited to payments made for days on which the individual was gainfully employed.

*Other information:* The notice we are giving here is in addition to any individual notice.

A copy of this notice will be furnished to both Houses of Congress and the Office of Management and Budget.

Dated: April 17, 2007. By Authority of the Board. Beatrice Ezerski,

Secretary to the Board.

[FR Doc. E7-7653 Filed 4-20-07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7905-01-P

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

#### Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

Upon Written Request, Copies Available From: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Filings and Information Services, Washington, DC 20549.

Extension: Rule 10b–10, SEC File No. 270– 389, OMB Control No. 3235–0444.

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*) the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget a request for extension of the previously approved collection[s] of information discussed below.

## • Rule 10b–10, Confirmation of Transactions

Rule 10b-10 (17 CFR 240.10b-10) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (17 U.S.C. 78a et seq.) requires brokerdealers to convey basic trade information to customers regarding their securities transactions. This information includes: the date and time of the transaction, the identity and number of shares bought or sold, and the trading capacity of the broker-dealer. Depending on the trading capacity of the brokerdealer, Rule 10b-10 requires the disclosure of commissions as well as mark-up and mark-down information. For transactions in debt securities, Rule 10b-10 requires the disclosure of redemption and vield information. Rule 10b–10 potentially applies to all of the approximately 6,014 firms registered with the Commission that effect transactions on behalf of customers.

The confirmations required by Rule 10b–10 are generally processed through automated systems. It takes approximately 1 minute to generate and send a confirmation. It is estimated that broker-dealers spend 77.4 million hours per year complying with Rule 10b–10.

The Commission staff estimates the costs of producing and sending a paper confirmation, including postage to be approximately 91 cents. The Commission staff also estimates that the cost of producing a sending a wholly electronic confirmation is approximately 52 cents. The amount of confirmations sent and the cost of sending each confirmation varies from firm to firm. Smaller firms generally send fewer confirmations than larger firms because they effect fewer transactions.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number.

General comments regarding the estimated burden hours should be directed to the following persons: (i) Desk Officer for the Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10102, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503 or send an e-mail to: David\_Rostker@omb.eop.gov and (ii) R. Corey Booth, Director/Chief Information Officer, Securities and Exchange Commission, c/o Shirley Martinson, 6432 General Green Way, Alexandria

VA 22312 or send an e-mail to: *PRA\_Mailbox@sec.gov*. Comments must be submitted to OMB within 30 days of this notice.

Dated: April 16, 2007.

Florence E. Harmon,

#### Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. E7–7688 Filed 4–20–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 8010–01–P

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–55638; File No. SR–NASD– 2003–141]

#### Self-Regulatory Organizations: National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc.; Order Granting Approval to Proposed Rule Change Relating to Additional Mark-Up Policy for Transactions in Debt Securities, Except Municipal Securities

## April 16, 2007.

## I. Introduction

On September 17, 2003, the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. ("NASD") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC" or "Commission"), pursuant to Section

19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")<sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> a proposed rule change to adopt an additional mark-up policy for transactions in debt securities other than municipal securities. NASD filed amendments to the proposed rule change on June 29, 2004 and February 17, 2005.<sup>3</sup> The Commission published the proposed rule change, as amended by Amendment Nos. 1 and 2, for comment in the Federal Register on March 15, 2005.<sup>4</sup> The Commission received six comments on the proposal.<sup>5</sup> NASD submitted a response to these comments on October 4, 2005,6 and filed Amendment Nos. 3, 4, and 5, which also addressed the comments and proposed responsive amendments.<sup>7</sup> Amendment No. 5 replaced the rule filing in its entirety. The proposed rule change, as amended, was published for comment in the Federal Register on November 28, 2006.<sup>8</sup> The Commission received four additional comments on the proposal.9 NASD submitted a response to these additional comments on January 12, 2007.<sup>10</sup> All of the comments received by the Commission in response to Amendment Nos. 3, 4, and 5 are available on the Commission's

<sup>3</sup> Amendment No. 1 to SR–NASD–2003–141 made technical changes to the original rule filing. Amendment No. 2 to SR–NASD–2003–141 superseded the original rule filing in its entirety.

<sup>4</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 51338 (March 9, 2005), 70 FR 12764 (March 15, 2005).

<sup>5</sup> See letters from Paul Scheurer dated April 5, 2005; Micah S. Green, President, and Michele C. David, Vice President and Assistant General Counsel, The Bond Market Association dated April 5, 2005; William C. Caccamise, General Counsel, Banc of America Securities LLC dated April 14, 2005; Edward F. Greene, General Counsel, Corporate and Investment Banking, Citigroup Global Markets Inc. ("CGMI") dated April 14, 2005; John R. Gimand, Chair, Senior Executives Group, and David L. Murphy, Chair, Joint Buyside/Sellside Regulatory Developments, Senior Executives Group, The Asset Manager's Forum dated June 28, 2005; Debbie Cunningham, Chair, Investor Committee, and Bianca Russo, Chair, Regulatory Committee, American Securitization Forum dated July 26, 2005. <sup>6</sup> See letter from Sharon K. Zackula, Associate

General Counsel, NASD dated October 4, 2005. <sup>7</sup> Amendment Nos. 3 and 4 made technical

changes to the rule filing.

<sup>8</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 54799 (Nov. 21, 2006), 71 FR 68856 (Nov. 28, 2006) ("2006 Notice").

<sup>9</sup> See letters from Mary Kuan, Vice President and Assistant General Counsel, Securities Industry and Financial Markets Association ("SIFMA") dated January 3, 2007 ("SIFMA 1 Letter"); Robbin Conner, Vice President and Assistant General Counsel, SIFMA dated January 4, 2007 ("SIFMA 2 Letter"); Edward F. Greene, General Counsel, Corporate and Investment Banking, CGMI dated January 5, 2007 ("CGMI 2 Letter"); Robyn A. Huffman, Managing Director, Associate General Counsel, Goldman, Sachs & Co. ("Goldman Sachs") dated January 5, 2007 ("Goldman Sachs Letter").

<sup>10</sup> See letter from Sharon Zackula, Associate General Counsel, NASD dated January 12, 2007.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>17 CFR 240.19b-4.