

well as the general entry requirements of parts 141, 142 and 143. Participation in the RLF program is voluntary and at the option of the filer.

#### § 143.42 Definitions.

The following definitions, in addition to the definitions set forth in § 143.32 of this part, apply for purposes of this subpart E:

(a) *Remote Location Filing (RLF)*—“RLF” is an elective method of making entry by which a customs broker with a national permit electronically transmits all data information associated with an entry that CBP can process in a completely electronic data interchange system to a RLF-operational CBP location from a remote location other than where the goods are being entered. (Importers filing on their own behalf may file electronically in any port, subject to ABI filing requirements.)

(b) *RLF-operational CBP location*—“RLF-operational CBP location” means a CBP location within the customs territory of the United States that is staffed with CBP personnel who have been trained in RLF procedures and who have operational experience with the Electronic Invoice Program (EIP). EIP is defined in § 143.32 of this chapter. A list of all RLF-operational locations is available for viewing on the CBP Internet Web site located at <http://www.cbp.gov> (type in the search term “Remote Location Filing”).

#### § 143.43 RLF eligibility criteria.

(a) *Automation criteria.* To be eligible for RLF, a licensed customs broker must be:

(1) Operational on the ABI (*see* 19 CFR part 143, subpart A);

(2) Operational on the EIP prior to applying for RLF; and

(3) Operational on the ACH (or any other CBP-approved method of electronic payment), for purposes of directing the electronic payment of duties, taxes and fees (*see* 19 CFR 24.25), 30 days before transmitting a RLF entry.

(b) *Broker must have national permit.* To be eligible for RLF, a licensed customs broker must hold a valid national permit (*see* 19 CFR 111.19(f)).

(c) *Continuous bond.* A RLF entry must be secured with a continuous bond.

#### § 143.44 RLF procedure.

(a) *Electronic transmission of invoice data.* For RLF transactions, a customs broker must transmit electronically, using EIP, any invoice data required by CBP.

(b) *Electronic transmission of payment.* For RLF transactions, a

customs broker must direct the electronic payment of duties, taxes and fees through the ACH (*see* 19 CFR 24.25) or any other method of electronic payment authorized by CBP.

(c) *Automation requirements.* Only those entries and entry summaries that CBP processes completely in an electronic data interchange system will be accepted for RLF.

(d) *Combined electronic entry and entry summary.* For RLF transactions, a customs broker must submit to CBP, through ABI or any other electronic interface authorized by CBP, a complete and error-free electronic data transmission constituting the entry summary that serves as both the entry and entry summary.

(e) *No line release or immediate delivery entries permitted under RLF.* Line release (*see* 19 CFR, Part 142, Subpart D) or immediate delivery procedures may not be combined with RLF transactions.

(f) *Data acceptance and release of merchandise.* Data that are complete and error free will be accepted by CBP. If electronic invoice or additional electronic documentation is required, CBP will so notify the RLF filer. If no documentation is required to be filed, CBP will so notify the RLF filer. If CBP accepts the RLF entry (including invoice data) under §§ 143.34–143.36 of this part, the RLF entry will be deemed to satisfy all filing requirements under this part and the merchandise may be released.

(g) *Liquidation.* The entry summary will be scheduled for liquidation once payment is made under statement processing (*see* 19 CFR 24.25).

#### § 143.45 Filing of additional entry information.

When filing from a remote location, a RLF filer must electronically file all additional information required by CBP to be presented with the entry and entry summary information (including facsimile transmissions) that CBP can accept electronically. If CBP cannot accept additional information electronically, the RLF filer must file the additional information in a paper format at the CBP port of entry where the goods arrived.

**Deborah J. Spero,**

*Acting Commissioner, Bureau of Customs and Border Protection.*

Approved: March 15, 2007.

**Timothy E. Skud,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Treasury.*

[FR Doc. 07–1330 Filed 3–22–07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 9111–14–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

### Alcohol and Tobacco Tax and Trade Bureau

#### 27 CFR Part 9

[Notice No. 72; Re: Notice No. 71]

RIN: 1513–AB27

#### Proposed Establishment of the Paso Robles Westside Viticultural Area (2006R–087P); Comment Period Extension

**AGENCY:** Alcohol and Tobacco Tax and Trade Bureau, Treasury.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking; extension of comment period.

**SUMMARY:** In response to a request from a viticulture industry group, the Alcohol and Tobacco Tax and Trade Bureau extends the comment period for Notice No. 71, Proposed Establishment of the Paso Robles Westside Viticultural Area, a notice of proposed rulemaking published in the **Federal Register** on January 24, 2007, for an additional 30 days.

**DATES:** Written comments on Notice No. 71 must now be received on or before April 24, 2007.

**ADDRESSES:** You may send comments to any of the following addresses:

- Director, Regulations and Rulings Division, Alcohol and Tobacco Tax and Trade Bureau, Attn: Notice No. 71, P.O. Box 14412, Washington, DC 20044–4412.
- 202–927–8525 (facsimile).
- [nprm@ttb.gov](mailto:nprm@ttb.gov) (e-mail).
- [http://www.ttb.gov/wine/wine\\_rulemaking.shtml](http://www.ttb.gov/wine/wine_rulemaking.shtml). An online comment form is posted with this notice on our Web site.
- <http://www.regulations.gov> (Federal e-rulemaking portal; follow instructions for submitting comments).

You may view copies of this notice, the petition, the appropriate maps, and any comments we receive about this proposal by appointment at the TTB Information Resource Center, 1310 G Street, NW., Washington, DC 20220. To make an appointment, call 202–927–2400. You may also access copies of the notice and comments online at [http://www.ttb.gov/wine/wine\\_rulemaking.shtml](http://www.ttb.gov/wine/wine_rulemaking.shtml).

See the Public Participation section of this notice for specific instructions and requirements for submitting comments, and for information on how to request a public hearing.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** N. A. Sutton, Regulations and Rulings Division, Alcohol and Tobacco Tax and

Trade Bureau, 925 Lakeville St., No. 158, Petaluma, CA 94952; telephone 415-271-1254.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** TTB received a petition, filed on behalf of 21 grape growers and vintners, proposing the establishment of the "Paso Robles Westside" American viticultural area in northern San Luis Obispo County, California. The proposed 179,622-acre Paso Robles Westside viticultural area is entirely within the existing Paso Robles viticultural area (27 CFR 9.84), which, in turn, is entirely within the existing Central Coast viticultural area (27 CFR 9.75). The proposed Paso Robles Westside viticultural area consists of that portion of the existing Paso Robles viticultural area west of the Salinas River.

In Notice No. 71, published in the **Federal Register** (72 FR 3088) on Wednesday, January 24, 2007, we described the petitioners' rationale for the proposed establishment of the Paso Robles Westside viticultural area and requested comments on the proposal on or before March 26, 2007.

On February 16, 2007, we received a request from the Paso Robles AVA Committee, representing 59 growers and vintners within the existing Paso Robles viticultural area, requesting a 30-day extension of the comment period for Notice No. 71. The request explained that the committee will be unable to meet before its next scheduled meeting on March 9, 2007, and that several of its experts will be out of the country until that time. The committee stated that it will have insufficient time after its next meeting to assemble and review its own evidence regarding the proposed Paso Robles Westside viticultural area, and to submit detailed comments on Notice No. 71.

In response to this request, we extend the comment period for Notice No. 71 an additional 30 days. Therefore, comments on Notice No. 71 are now due on or before April 24, 2007.

#### Drafting Information

Michael Hoover of the Regulations and Rulings Division drafted this notice.

#### List of Subjects in 27 CFR Part 9

Wine.

#### Authority and Issuance

This notice is issued under the authority of 27 U.S.C. 205.

Signed: March 9, 2007.

**John J. Manfreda,**

*Administrator.*

[FR Doc. E7-5353 Filed 3-22-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4810-31-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Office of the Secretary

#### 32 CFR Part 199

[DOD-2007-HA-0026]

RIN 0720-AB14

#### **TRICARE; Changes Included in the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007; Authorization of Anesthesia and Other Costs for Dental Care for Children and Certain Other Patients**

**AGENCY:** Office of the Secretary, DoD.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** This proposed rule will implement section 702 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (NDAA for FY 2007), Public Law 109-364. Specifically, that legislation amends the coverage of contracted medical care with respect to dental care beyond that care required as a necessary adjunct to medical or surgical treatment. The entitlement of institutional and anesthesia services is authorized in conjunction with non-covered dental treatment for patients with developmental, mental, or physical disabilities or for pediatric patients age 5 or under. This proposed rule will not eliminate any contracted medical care that is currently covered for spouses and children. The entitlement of anesthesia services will include general anesthesia services only. Institutional services will include institutional benefits associated with both hospital and in-out surgery settings. Patients with developmental, mental, or physical disabilities are those patients with conditions that prohibit dental treatment in a safe and effective manner. Therefore, it is medically or psychologically necessary for these patients to require general anesthesia for dental treatment.

**DATES:** Written comments received at the address indicated below by May 22, 2007 will be accepted.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments, identified by docket number and/or RIN number and title, by any of the following methods:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.
- *Mail:* Federal Docket Management System Office, 1160 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301-1160.

*Instructions:* All submissions received must include the agency name and docket number of Regulatory Information Number (RIN) for this **Federal Register** document. The general policy for comments and other

submissions from members of the public is to make these submissions available for public viewing on the Internet at <http://regulations.gov> as they are received without change, including any personal identifies or contact information.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Col. Gary C. Martin, Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs), TRICARE Management Activity, telephone (703) 681-0039.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **I. Background**

This proposed rule will amend the coverage of contracted medical care with respect to dental care beyond that care required as necessary adjunct to medical or surgical treatment. The entitlement of institutional and anesthesia services will be authorized in conjunction with non-covered dental treatment for patients with developmental, mental, or physical disabilities or for pediatric patients age 5 or under. This proposed rule will not eliminate any contracted medical care that is currently covered for spouses and children. The entitlement of anesthesia services will include general anesthesia services only. Institutional services will include institutional benefits associated with both hospital and in-out surgery settings. Patients with developmental, mental, or physical disabilities are those patients with conditions that prohibit dental treatment in a safe and effective manner. Therefore, it is medically or psychologically necessary for these patients to require general anesthesia for dental treatment.

Currently, general anesthesia and institutional services are not covered in conjunction with dental treatment for patients with developmental, mental, or physical disabilities or for pediatric patients of any age through TRICARE medical plan contracts. For military families who have children that require extensive dental treatment under general anesthesia, the two options available are to have the care provided locally at a Department of Defense (DoD) facility or a civilian facility. If the care is provided in a DoD facility, the total costs to the family are minimal. There are locations where this care is not available from a DoD facility due to facility constraints (no operating room) and/or lack of dental specialists. For dental care provided in a civilian facility, families currently enrolled in the TRICARE Dental Program (TDP) or TRICARE Retiree Dental Program (TRDP) are provided with coverage for dental care with applicable cost-shares. These include a 40% cost-share for