#### Subpart A—General

# §2867.137 Who in the Department of Justice may grant an exception to let an excluded person participate in a covered transaction?

Within the Department of Justice, the Attorney General or designee has the authority to grant an exception to let an excluded person participate in a covered transaction, as provided in the OMB guidance at 2 CFR 180.135.

#### Subpart B—Covered Transactions

#### §2867.220 What contracts and subcontracts, in addition to those listed in 2 CFR 180.220, are covered transactions?

Although the OMB guidance at 2 CFR 180.220(c) allows a Federal agency to do so (also see optional lower tier coverage in the figure in the Appendix to 2 CFR part 180), the Department of Justice does not extend coverage of nonprocurement suspension and debarment requirements beyond first-tier procurement contracts under a covered nonprocurement transaction.

# Subpart C—Responsibilities of Participants Regarding Transactions

# § 2867.332 What method must a participant use to pass requirements down to participants at lower tiers with whom the participant intends to do business?

A participant must include a term or condition in lower-tier transactions requiring lower-tier participants to comply with Subpart C of the OMB guidance in 2 CFR part 180, as supplemented by this subpart.

# Subpart D—Responsibilities of Federal Agency Officials Regarding Transactions

# § 2867.437 What method must be used to communicate to a participant the requirements described in the OMB guidance at 2 CFR 180.435?

To communicate to a participant the requirements described in 2 CFR 180.435 of the OMB guidance, the communication must include a term or condition in the transaction that requires the participant's compliance with subpart C of 2 CFR part 180, as supplemented by Subpart C of this part, and requires the participant to include a similar term or condition in lower-tier covered transactions.

# Subparts E–J–[Reserved]

Title 28—Judicial Administration CHAPTER 1—DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

2. Remove Part 67.

Dated: March 6, 2007. **Alberto R. Gonzales,**  *Attorney General.* [FR Doc. E7–4362 Filed 3–12–07; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 4410–18–P** 

# DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

# Federal Aviation Administration

# 14 CFR Part 71

[Docket No. FAA-2006-25945; Airspace Docket No. 06-ACE-15]

# Modification of Class E Airspace; Alliance, NE

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Direct final rule; confirmation of effective date.

**SUMMARY:** This document confirms the effective date of the direct final rule which revises Class E airspace at Alliance, NE.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* 0901 UTC, May 10, 2007.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Grant Nichols, System Support, DOT Regional Headquarters Building, Federal Aviation Administration, 901 Locust, Kansas City, MO 64106; telephone: (816) 329–2522.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FAA published this direct final rule with a request for comments in the Federal Register on January 11, 2007 (72 FR 1279). The FAA uses the direct final rulemaking procedure for a noncontroversial rule where the FAA believes that there will be no adverse public comment. This direct final rule advised the public that no adverse comments were anticipated, and that unless a written adverse comment, or a written notice of intent to submit such an adverse comment, were received within the comment period, the regulation would become effective on May 10, 2007. No adverse comments were received, and thus this notice confirms that this direct final rule will become effective on that date.

Issued in Fort Worth, Texas on February 20, 2007.

# Donald R. Smith,

Manager, System Support Group, ATO Central Service Area.

[FR Doc. 07–1161 Filed 3–12–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

# DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

#### 18 CFR Part 385

[Docket No. RM02-11-000]

# Civil Monetary Penalty Inflation Adjustment Rule: Order No. 692; Order Redesignating Proceeding

Issued February 16, 2007. **AGENCY:** Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, DOE. **ACTION:** Final rule: order redesignating proceeding.

**SUMMARY:** On August 5, 2002, the Commission issued a final rule for a Civil Monetary Penalty Inflation Adjustment as mandated by the Debt Collection Improvement Act of 1996. The final rule was designated "Order No. 890". The Commission is redesignating "Order No. 890" as "Order No. 692".

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* February 16, 2007.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Secretary's Office, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, (202) 502–8400.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On August 5, 2002, the Commission issued "Order No. 890, Final Rule" in the above-captioned proceeding. *Civil Monetary Penalty Inflation Adjustment Rule*, 100 FERC ¶ 61,159 (2002).

By this order the Commission redesignates the above-captioned proceeding as Order No. 692, Final Rule.

By direction of the Commission.

# Nora E. Donovan,

Acting Secretary. [FR Doc. E7–4552 Filed 3–12–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

# INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

# 19 CFR Part 208

# Investigations Relating to Commercial Availability Under the African Growth and Opportunity Act

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Correcting amendment.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission published a notice in the **Federal Register** on February 27, 2007 (72 FR 8624) amending its rules of practice and