

production facilities used for other products and the use, cost, or availability of major inputs into production); and factors related to the ability to shift supply among different national markets (including barriers to importation in foreign markets or changes in market demand abroad). Demand conditions to consider include end uses and applications; the existence and availability of substitute products; and the level of competition among the *Domestic Like Product* produced in the United States, *Subject Merchandise* produced in each *Subject Country*, and such merchandise from other countries.

(11) (OPTIONAL) A statement of whether you agree with the above definitions of the *Domestic Like Product* and *Domestic Industry*; if you disagree with either or both of these definitions, please explain why and provide alternative definitions.

**Authority:** These reviews are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.61 of the Commission's rules.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: November 26, 2007.

**Marilyn R. Abbott,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. E7-23226 Filed 11-30-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 731-TA-929-931 (Review)]

### Silicomanganese From India, Kazakhstan, and Venezuela

#### Determinations

On the basis of the record<sup>1</sup> developed in the subject five-year reviews, the United States International Trade Commission (Commission) determines, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)), that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on silicomanganese from India, Kazakhstan, and Venezuela would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

#### Background

The Commission instituted these reviews on April 2, 2007 (72 FR 15726) and determined on July 6, 2007 that it would conduct expedited reviews (72 FR 52581, September 14, 2007).

The Commission transmitted its determinations in these reviews to the Secretary of Commerce on November 28, 2007. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 3963 (November 2007), entitled *Silicomanganese From India, Kazakhstan, and Venezuela: Investigation Nos. 731-TA-929-931 (Review)*.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: November 28, 2007.

**Marilyn R. Abbott,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. E7-23353 Filed 11-30-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

#### Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—IMS Global Learning Consortium, Inc.

Notice is hereby given that, on October 18, 2007, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301, *et seq.* ("the Act"), IMS Global Learning Consortium, Inc. has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Icodeon Ltd., Cambridge, UNITED KINGDOM; Korea Education & Research Information Service (KERIS), Seoul, REPUBLIC OF KOREA; National Association of College Stores (NACS), Oberlin, OH; TIDIA Ae FAPESP Project, Sao Paulo, BRAZIL; and UOC—Universitat Oberta de Catalunya, Barcelona, SPAIN have been added as parties to this venture. Also, Apple Computer, Cupertino, CA has withdrawn as a party to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and IMS Global Learning Consortium, Inc. intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On April 7, 2000, IMS Global Learning Consortium, Inc. filed its original notification pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the

Act on September 13, 2000 (65 FR 55283).

The last notification was filed with the Department on August 7, 2007. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on September 11, 2007 (72 FR 51840).

**Patricia A. Brink,**

*Deputy Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.*

[FR Doc. 07-5898 Filed 11-30-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-11-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-62,243]

#### Electric Mobility Corporation; Sewell, NJ; Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated November 19, 2007, the petitioner requested administrative reconsideration of the Department of Labor's Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance, applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm. The denial notice was signed on November 1, 2007 and published in the **Federal Register** on November 15, 2007 (72 FR 64247).

The initial investigation resulted in a negative determination based on the finding that the subject firm did not separate or threaten to separate a significant number or proportion of workers as required by Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974.

In the request for reconsideration, the petitioner provided additional information regarding the subject firm's employment.

The Department has reviewed the workers' request for reconsideration and the existing record, and has determined that an administrative review is appropriate. Therefore, the Department will conduct further investigation to determine if the workers meet the eligibility requirements of the Trade Act of 1974.

#### Conclusion

After careful review of the application, I conclude that the claim is of sufficient weight to justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. The application is, therefore, granted.

<sup>1</sup> The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR § 207.2(f)).

Signed in Washington, DC, this 26th day of November, 2007.

**Elliott S. Kushner,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. E7-23375 Filed 11-30-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-62,021]

#### **Emcore Corporation; Emcore Fiber Optics Division; Naperville, IL; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration**

By application dated October 12, 2007, a worker requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility for workers and former workers of Emcore Corporation, Emcore Fiber Optics Division, Naperville, Illinois (the subject firm) to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance (ATAA). The negative determination was issued on September 28, 2007. On October 12, 2007, the Department's Notice of negative determination was published in the **Federal Register** (72 FR 58131). Workers at the subject firm design, assemble, test, troubleshoot, disassemble, and repair LX4 digital fiber optic components for semiconductors. Workers are not separately identifiable by product.

The negative determination was based on the Department's findings that after the subject firm shifted production of digital fiber optic components to Thailand, the subject firm did not import and did not intend to import articles like or directly competitive with those produced by the subject firm.

In the request for reconsideration, the worker alleges that production of digital fiber optic components shifted from the subject firm to Thailand and that the shift of production was followed by increased imports of articles like or directly competitive with those produced at the subject firm.

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c), administrative reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

(1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;

(2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake

in the determination of facts not previously considered; or

(3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a mis-interpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

After careful review of the request for reconsideration and previously submitted materials, the Department determines that there is no new information that supports a finding that there was a shift of production to a country that is a party to a free trade agreement with the United States or a country that is named as a beneficiary under the Andean Trade Preference Act, the African Growth and Opportunity Act, or the Caribbean Basin Economic Recovery Act.

Thailand does not have a free trade agreement with the United States and is not a party to any of the previously-identified Acts.

After careful review of the request for reconsideration and previously submitted materials, the Department also determines that there is no new information that supports a finding that there were increased imports (actual or likely) of articles like or directly competitive with those produced by the subject firm following the subject firm's shift of production abroad, and that there was no mistake or misinterpretation of the facts or of the law regarding the Department's initial determination that the subject workers are not eligible to apply for TAA and ATAA.

#### **Conclusion**

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 20th day of November 2007.

**Elliott S. Kushner,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. E7-23373 Filed 11-30-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-62,463]

#### **Franklin Pump Systems, Inc., Little Rock, AR; Notice of Termination of Investigation**

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on November 14, 2007 in response to a worker petition filed by a state agency representative on behalf of workers of Franklin Pump Systems, Inc., Little Rock, Arkansas.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 27th day of November 2007.

**Linda G. Poole,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. E7-23370 Filed 11-30-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-62,368]

#### **G-Tech Professional Staffing, Inc., Leased On-Site Workers Employed at Ford Motor Company Wixom Assembly Plant; Wixom, MI; Notice of Termination of Investigation**

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on October 26, 2007 in response to a worker petition filed by the State Workforce Office on behalf of workers of G-Tech Professional Staffing employed at Ford Motor Company Wixom Assembly Plant in Wixom, Michigan.

The petitioning group of workers is covered by an active certification, TA-W-61,324. That certification was part of an Amended Notice of Revised Determination issued on November 14, 2007, and expiring on August 22, 2009.

Signed at Washington, DC this 26th day of November 2007.

**Richard Church,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. E7-23377 Filed 11-30-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P