invited to propose significant alternatives that serve the goals of these proposals. We expect that the record will develop to demonstrate significant alternatives.

Federal Rules That May Duplicate, Overlap, or Conflict With the Proposed Rules

23. None.

#### III. ORDERING CLAUSES

24. The Commission's Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau, Reference Information Center, shall send a copy of this Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking including the Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis, to the Chief Counsel for Advocacy of the Small Business Administration.

Federal Communications Commission.

#### Marlene H. Dortch,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 07–5331 Filed 11–1–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

#### National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

49 CFR Parts 571 and 579

[Docket No. NHTSA-07-29294]

#### Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standards; Small Business Impacts of Motor Vehicle Safety

**AGENCY:** National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), Department of Transportation (DOT). **ACTION:** Notice of regulatory review; request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** NHTSA seeks comments on the economic impact of its regulations on small entities. As required by Section 610 of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, we are attempting to identify rules that may have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. We also request comments on ways to make these regulations easier to read and understand. The focus of this notice is rules that specifically relate to passenger cars, multipurpose passenger vehicles, trucks, buses, trailers, incomplete vehicles, motorcycles, and motor vehicle equipment.

**DATES:** You should submit comments early enough to ensure that Docket Management receives them not later than January 2, 2008.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments [identified by DOT Docket ID Number NHTSA-07-29294] by any of the following methods:

- Federal Rulemaking Portal: Go to http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- Mail: Docket Management Facility: U.S. Department of Transportation, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, Washington, DC 20590–0001
- Hand Delivery or Courier: West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m. ET, Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.
  - Fax: 202-493-2251

Instructions: For detailed instructions on submitting comments and additional information see the Comments heading of the Supplementary Information section of this document. Note that all comments received will be posted without change to <a href="http://www.regulations.gov">http://www.regulations.gov</a>, including any personal information provided. Please see the Privacy Act heading below.

Privacy Act: Anyone is able to search the electronic form of all comments received into any of our dockets by the name of the individual submitting the comment (or signing the comment, if submitted on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). You may review DOT's complete Privacy Act Statement in the Federal Register published on April 11, 2000 (65 FR 19477–78) or you may visit http://DocketsInfo.dot.gov.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Juanita Kavalauskas, Office of Regulatory Analysis, Office of Regulatory Analysis and Evaluation, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, U.S. Department of Transportation, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590 (telephone 202–366–2584, fax 202–366–3189).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# I. Section 610 of the Regulatory Flexibility Act

### A. Background and Purpose

Section 610 of the Regulatory
Flexibility Act of 1980 (Pub. L. 96–354),
as amended by the Small Business
Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of
1996 (Pub. L. 104–121), requires
agencies to conduct periodic reviews of
final rules that have a significant
economic impact on a substantial
number of small business entities. The
purpose of the reviews is to determine
whether such rules should be continued
without change, or should be amended
or rescinded, consistent with the
objectives of applicable statutes, to
minimize any significant economic

impact of the rules on a substantial number of such small entities.

#### B. Review Schedule

The Department of Transportation (DOT) published its Semiannual Regulatory Agenda on November 22, 1999, listing in Appendix D (64 FR 64684) those regulations that each operating administration will review under section 610 during the next 12 months. Appendix D also contains DOT's 10-year review plan for all of its existing regulations.

The National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA, "we") has divided its rules into 10 groups by subject area. Each group will be reviewed once every 10 years, undergoing a two-stage process—an Analysis Year and a Review Year. For purposes of these reviews, a year will coincide with the fall-to-fall publication schedule of the Semiannual Regulatory Agenda. Thus, Year 1 (1998) began in the fall of 1999; Year 2 (1999) began in the fall of 1999 and ended in the fall of 2000; and so on.

During the Analysis Year, we will request public comment on and analyze each of the rules in a given year's group to determine whether any rule has a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities and, thus, requires review in accordance with section 610 of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. In each fall's Regulatory Agenda, we will publish the results of the analyses we completed during the previous year. For rules that have subparts, or other discrete sections of rules that do have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities, we will announce that we will be conducting a formal section 610 review during the following 12 months.

The section 610 review will determine whether a specific rule should be revised or revoked to lessen its impact on small entities. We will consider: (1) The continued need for the rule; (2) the nature of complaints or comments received from the public; (3) the complexity of the rule; (4) the extent to which the rule overlaps, duplicates, or conflicts with other federal rules or with State or local government rules; and (5) the length of time since the rule has been evaluated or the degree to which technology, economic conditions, or other factors have changed in the area affected by the rule. At the end of the Review Year, we will publish the results of our review. The following table shows the 10-year analysis and review schedule:

#### NHTSA Section 610 Review Plan

Year	Regulations to be reviewed	Analysis year	Review year
1	49 CFR parts 501 through 526 and 571.213	1998	1999
		1999	2000
3	49 CFR 571.101 through 571.110 and 571.135	2000	2001
4	49 CFR parts 529 through 579, except part 571	2001	2002
5	49 CFR 571.111 through 571.129 and parts 580 through 588	2002	2003
	49 CFR 571.201 through 571.212	2003	2004
7	49 CFR 571.214 through 571.219, except 571.217	2004	2005
	49 CFR parts 591 through 594	2005	2006
		2007	2008
	23 CFR parts 1200 and 1300 and new parts and subparts under 23 CFR	2008	2009

#### C. Regulations Under Analysis

During Year 9, we will conduct a preliminary assessment of the following

sections of 49 CFR parts 571.223 through 571.500 and part 579.

Section	Title
571.223	Rear impact guards.
571.224	Rear impact protection.
571.225	Child restraint anchorage systems.
571.301	Fuel system integrity.
571.302	Flammability of interior materials.
571.303	Fuel system integrity of compressed natural gas vehicles.
571.304	Compressed natural gas fuel container integrity.
571.305	Electric-powered vehicles: electrolyte spillage and electrical shock protection.
571.401	Interior trunk release.
571.403	Platform lift systems for motor vehicles.
571.404	Platform lift installations in motor vehicles.
571.500	Low-speed vehicles.
Part 579	Reporting of information and communications about potential defects.

We are seeking comments on whether any requirements in 49 CFR 571.223 through 571.500 and part 579 have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. "Small entities" include small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations under 50,000. Business entities are generally defined as small businesses by Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) code, for the purposes of receiving Small Business Administration (SBA) assistance. Size standards established by SBA in 13 CFR 121.201 are expressed either in number of employees or annual receipts in millions of dollars, unless otherwise specified. The number of employees or annual receipts indicates the maximum allowed for a concern and its affiliates to be considered small. If your business or organization is a small entity and if any of the requirements in 49 CFR 571.223 through 571.500 or part 579 have a significant economic impact on your business or organization, please submit a comment to explain how and to what degree these rules affect you, the extent of the economic impact on your

business or organization, and why you believe the economic impact is significant.

If the agency determines that there is a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities, it will ask for comment in a subsequent notice during the Review Year on how these impacts could be reduced without reducing safety.

#### II. Plain Language

#### A. Background and Purpose

Executive Order 12866 and the President's memorandum of June 1, 1998, require each agency to write all rules in plain language. Application of the principles of plain language includes consideration of the following questions:

- Have we organized the material to suit the public's needs?
- Are the requirements in the rule clearly stated?
- Does the rule contain technical language or jargon that is not clear?
- Would a different format (grouping and order of sections, use of headings, paragraphing) make the rule easier to understand?
- Would more (but shorter) sections be better?

- Could we improve clarity by adding tables, lists, or diagrams?
- What else could we do to make the rule easier to understand?

If you have any responses to these questions, please include them in your comments on this document.

#### B. Review Schedule

In conjunction with our section 610 reviews, we will be performing plain language reviews over a ten-year period on a schedule consistent with the section 610 review schedule. We will review 49 CFR 571.223 through 571.500 and part 579 to determine if these regulations can be reorganized and/or rewritten to make them easier to read, understand, and use. We encourage interested persons to submit draft regulatory language that clearly and simply communicates regulatory requirements, and other recommendations, such as for putting information in tables that may make the regulations easier to use.

#### Comments

How do I prepare and submit comments?

Your comments must be written and in English. To ensure that your comments are correctly filed in the Docket, please include the docket number of this document in your comments.

Your comments must not be more than 15 pages long. (49 CFR 553.21.) We established this limit to encourage you to write your primary comments in a concise fashion. However, you may attach necessary additional documents to your comments. There is no limit on the length of the attachments.

Please submit two copies of your comments, including the attachments, to Docket Management at the address given above under ADDRESSES.

Please note that pursuant to the Data Quality Act, in order for substantive data to be relied upon and used by the agency, it must meet the information quality standards set forth in the OMB and DOT Data Quality Act guidelines. Accordingly, we encourage you to consult the guidelines in preparing your comments. OMB's guidelines may be accessed at <a href="http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/fedreg/reproducible.html">http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/fedreg/reproducible.html</a>. DOT's guidelines may be accessed at <a href="http://dmses.dot.gov/submit/DataQualityGuidelines.pdf">http://dmses.dot.gov/submit/DataQualityGuidelines.pdf</a>.

How can I be sure that my comments were received?

If you wish Docket Management to notify you upon its receipt of your comments, enclose a self-addressed, stamped postcard in the envelope containing your comments. Upon receiving your comments, Docket Management will return the postcard by mail.

How do I submit confidential business information?

If you wish to submit any information under a claim of confidentiality, you should submit three copies of your complete submission, including the information you claim to be confidential business information, to the Chief Counsel, NHTSA, U.S. Department of Transportation, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590. In addition, you should submit two copies, from which you have deleted the claimed confidential business information, to Docket Management at the address given above under ADDRESSES. When you send a comment containing information claimed to be confidential business information, you should include a cover letter setting forth the information specified in our confidential business information regulation. (49 CFR part 512.)

Will the agency consider late comments?

We will consider all comments that Docket Management receives before the close of business on the comment closing date indicated above under **DATES**. To the extent possible, we will also consider comments that Docket Management receives after that date.

How can I read the comments submitted by other people?

You may read the comments received by Docket Management at the address given above under **ADDRESSES**. The hours of the Docket are indicated above in the same location.

You may also see the comments on the Internet. To read the comments on the Internet, take the following steps:

- (1) Go to the Federal Docket Management System (FDMS) at http:// regulations.gov.
- (2) FDMS provides two basic methods of searching to retrieve dockets and docket materials that are available in the system: (a) "Quick Search" to search using a full-text search engine, or (b) "Advanced Search," which displays various indexed fields such as the docket name, docket identification number, phase of the action, initiating office, date of issuance, document title, document identification number, type of document, Federal Register reference, CFR citation, etc. Each data field in the advanced search may be searched independently or in combination with other fields, as desired. Each search vields a simultaneous display of all available information found in FDMS that is relevant to the requested subject or topic.
- (3) You may download the comments. However, since the comments are imaged documents, instead of word processing documents, the "pdf" versions of the documents are word searchable.

Please note that even after the comment closing date, we will continue to file relevant information in the Docket as it becomes available. Further, some people may submit late comments. Accordingly, we recommend that you periodically check the Docket for new material.

#### Joseph Carra,

Associate Administrator for the National Center for Statistics and Analysis. [FR Doc. E7–21628 Filed 11–1–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–59–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

**Surface Transportation Board** 

49 CFR Parts 1114, 1121, 1150, and 1180

[STB Ex Parte No. 575 (Sub-No. 1)]

## Disclosure of Rail Interchange Commitments

**AGENCY:** Surface Transportation Board, DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking.

**SUMMARY:** The Surface Transportation Board is requesting comments on proposed rules to require that parties seeking to exempt, or to invoke class exemptions covering, transactions involving the sale or lease of railroad lines, identify provisions in their agreements that restrict the ability of the purchaser or tenant railroad to interchange traffic with rail carriers other than the seller or landlord railroad (interchange commitments). The proposed rules also provide a procedure whereby shippers or other affected parties may obtain access to such provisions. The Board is taking this action to facilitate the case-specific review of the reasonableness of interchange commitments and to facilitate the Board's monitoring of their

**DATES:** Opening comments may be filed by any interested member of the public by January 2, 2008. Reply comments may be filed by January 22, 2008. Since OMB is required to make a decision concerning the STB's request for approval of this information collection between 30 and 60 days after November 2, 2007, a comment to OMB is best assured of having its full effect if OMB receives it by December 3, 2007.

**ADDRESSES:** Any comments submitted in this proceeding must refer to STB Ex Parte No. 575 (Sub-No. 1) and may be submitted either via the Board's e-filing format or in the traditional paper format. Any person using e-filing must attach a document and otherwise comply with the instructions found on the Board's http://www.stb.dot.gov Web site, at the "E-FILING" link. Any person submitting a filing in the traditional paper format must submit an original and 10 paper copies of the filing (and also an electronic version) to: Surface Transportation Board, 395 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20423-0001. Because all comments will be posted to the Board's Web site, persons filing them with the Board need not serve them on other participants but must furnish a hard copy on request to any participant.