Dated: February 2, 2007.

Mamie A. Parker,

Acting Director.

[FR Doc. E7-2872 Filed 2-20-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-55-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-593]

In the Matter of Certain Digital Cameras and Component Parts Thereof; Notice of Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Institution of investigation pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1337.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on January 19, 2007, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, on behalf of St. Clair Intellectual Property Consultants, Inc., of Grosse Pointe, Michigan. Letters supplementing the Complaint were filed on February 7 and February 9, 2007. The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain digital cameras and component parts thereof by reason of infringement of claim 16 of U.S. Patent No. 5,138,459; claims 1-3, 8, 10, 12, and 16-18 of U.S. Patent No. 6,094,219; claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,233,010; claims 1-4 of U.S. Patent No. 6,323,899; and claims 5, 6, and 9-12 of U.S. 6,496,222. The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

The complainant requests that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue a permanent exclusion order and permanent cease and desist orders.

ADDRESSES: The complaint and supplements, except for any confidential information contained therein, are available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Room 112, Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202–205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at http://www.usitc.gov. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David O. Lloyd For Office of Unfair

David O. Lloyd, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205–2576.

Authority: The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in section 210.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2006).

Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on February 13, 2007, ordered that—

- (1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain digital cameras and component parts thereof by reason of infringement of one or more of claim 16 of U.S. Patent No. 5,138,459; claims 1-3, 8, 10, 12, and 16-18 of U.S. Patent No. 6,094,219; claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,233,010; claims 1-4 of U.S. Patent No. 6.323.899; and claims 5, 6, and 9-12 of U.S. 6,496,222; and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337:
- (2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:
- (a) The complainant is St. Clair Intellectual Property Consultants, Inc., 16845 Kercheval Avenue, Suite No. 2, Grosse Pointe, Michigan 48230.
- (b) The respondent is the following entity alleged to be in violation of section 337, and is the party upon which the complaint is to be served: Eastman Kodak Company, 343 State Street, Rochester, New York 14650.
- (c) The Commission investigative attorney, party to this investigation, is David O. Lloyd, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Room 401–M, Washington, DC 20436; and
- (3) For the investigation so instituted, the Honorable Robert L. Barton, Jr., is

designated as the presiding administrative law judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13, Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(d) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of the respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of a limited exclusion order or cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission. Issued: February 14, 2007.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 07–749 Filed 2–20–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-60,916]

AVX Corporation Raleigh, NC; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on February 7, 2007 in response to a petition filed by a company official on behalf of workers at AVX Corporation, Raleigh, North Carolina.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 9th day of February, 2007.

Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E7-2865 Filed 2-20-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-60,267]

Guide Louisiana, LLC, Including Onsite Leased Workers of Securitex, Ouachita Parish School Board, Continental Design & Engineering, Prestige Technical Services, and GE Manufacturing, Monroe, LA; Amended Determinations Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273), and Section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974 (26 U.S.C. 2813), as amended, the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance on November 17, 2006, applicable to workers of Guide Louisiana LLC, Monroe, Louisiana.

At the request of the State agency representative, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. New information shows that leased workers of Securitex, Ouachita Parish School Board, Continental Design & Engineering, Prestige Technical Services, and GE Manufacturing were employed on-site at the Monroe, Louisiana location of Guide Corporation.

Based on these findings, the
Department is amending this
certification to include leased workers
of Securitex, Ouachita Parish School
Board, Continental Design &
Engineering, Prestige Technical Services
and GE Manufacturing working on-site
at Guide Louisiana LLC, Monroe,
Louisiana.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers employed at Guide Louisiana LLC, Monroe, Louisiana, who were involved in production and were adversely affected by increased customer imports.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-60,267 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of Guide Louisiana LLC, including on-site leased workers of Securitex, Ouachita Parish School Board, Continental Design & Engineering, Prestige Technical Services and GE Manufacturing, Monroe, Louisiana, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after November 19, 2006, through November 17, 2008, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, and are also eligible to apply for alternative trade adjustment assistance under Section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 9th day of February 2007.

Richard Church,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance. [FR Doc. E7–2864 Filed 2–20–07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-60,931]

Renfro Charleston, LLC, a/k/a Charleston Hosiery, Inc., Fort Payne, AL; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on February 9, 2007 in response to a worker petition filed by a company official on behalf of workers at Renfro Charleston, LLC, a/k/a Charleston Hosiery, Inc., Fort Payne, Alabama.

The petitioning group of workers is covered by an active certification (TA–W–56,770), which expires on April 7, 2007. Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC this 9th day of February, 2007.

Richard Church,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E7–2862 Filed 2–20–07; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4510–FN–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Notice of Determinations Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor herein presents summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance for

workers (TA–W) number and alternative trade adjustment assistance (ATAA) by (TA–W) number issued during the period of February 5 through February 9, 2007.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made for workers of a primary firm and a certification issued regarding eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance, each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222(a) of the Act must be met.

I. Section (a)(2)(A) all of the following must be satisfied:

A. A significant number or proportion of the workers in such workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision of the firm, have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

B. The sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision have decreased

absolutely; and

C. Increased imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by such firm or subdivision have contributed importantly to such workers' separation or threat of separation and to the decline in sales or production of such firm or subdivision; or

II. Section (a)(2)(B) both of the following must be satisfied:

A. A significant number or proportion of the workers in such workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision of the firm, have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

B. There has been a shift in production by such workers' firm or subdivision to a foreign country of articles like or directly competitive with articles which are produced by such firm or subdivision; and

C. One of the following must be satisfied:

1. The country to which the workers' firm has shifted production of the articles is a party to a free trade agreement with the United States;

2. The country to which the workers' firm has shifted production of the articles to a beneficiary country under the Andean Trade Preference Act, African Growth and Opportunity Act, or the Caribbean Basin Economic Recovery Act; or

3. There has been or is likely to be an increase in imports of articles that are like or directly competitive with articles which are or were produced by such firm or subdivision.

Also, in order for an affirmative determination to be made for secondarily affected workers of a firm and a certification issued regarding eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance, each of the group